



INFORMATION SYSTEMS DEPARTMENT

Hall of Administration L# 1100
800 South Victoria Avenue
Ventura, California 93009
(805) 654-3543
FAX: (805) 654-3394

M. Lowry Gilbert
Assistant Director
Telecommunications

Vern Holzwarth
Systems and Programming

Matt Carroll
Applications Development

Mark Wachtmann
Technical Services

Reuben Campos
Administration

March 20, 1996

Lin Koester
Chief Administrative Officer
800 South Victoria Avenue
Ventura, California 93009

SUBJECT: RESPONSE TO THE 1995-96 VENTURA COUNTY GRAND JURY REPORT

As requested in your memo of February 12, 1996, here are the Information Systems Department's responses to the Recommendations as listed and numbered on Pages 14 and 15 of the 1995-96 Grand Jury's First Final Report. The responses have been reviewed by the Information Technology Committee and have met with their approval.

RECOMMENDATION

1. The County should develop an integrated strategy to guide the development of systems both inside and outside of ISD. The Board of Supervisors and the CAO should see that resources are shared more effectively. The decisions about computer technology should involve all affected users. Users should form creative relationships to combine their

resources and implement systems which can serve several County organizations. Users do not have to look far for a model to follow; namely the cooperative efforts fostered by the Courts.

RESPONSE

One of the first documents to be presented to the newly created Information Technology Committee (ITC) for their review and approval was the **Ventura County Information Technology Strategy**. The Strategy includes principles and criteria for decisions on technology investments, policy statements and guidelines on key management issues, as well as a description of and a plan for Information Systems architecture and infrastructure. The proposed overriding policy will **permit County departments to individually manage the use of technology to achieve their goals and objectives, while the Information Systems Department (ISD) and the Chief Administrative Office (CAO) will manage the use of technology to support and ensure Countywide planning and collaboration on systems for common services and functions.** ISD will continue to update and maintain its common, standards-based, Countywide Information Technology infrastructure for collaboration and use between departments and other work groups.

RECOMMENDATION

2. The Board of Supervisors should be proactive in defining responsibilities for computer technology. The Board of Supervisors and ISD have formed an Executive Committee to oversee computer technology planning. There is a concern that this well meaning initiative will not succeed if it is viewed by the users as an attempt to preserve the old ways of planning County systems. It is easy for ISD to promote centralization as an efficient structure; however, it discourages user participation and effective team effort. Therefore, it is imperative to strike a balance between centralized and decentralized managerial style that insures key users participation, including the users who develop or purchase systems independently of ISD. Furthermore, the participating members of the

Board of Supervisors should assume an active role and make computer technology a key area of interest.

RESPONSE

Prior to its recommendation toward the creation of the Information Technology Committee (ITC), ISD indicated that it was necessary "to bring our distributed departmental systems back into the fold, under a more formal centralized management, through the establishment of policies, standards and guidelines which will support and provide for individual departmental initiatives and at the same time, provide for the needs of the County and its established technological infrastructure." ISD's approach will continue to be one of consultation with our users to help them to find the best combination of technology available to meet their needs in serving their clientele. Users who develop or purchase systems independently of ISD must still abide by the policies, standards and guidelines established by the centralized management, whether that be embodied in the Board of Supervisors, the CAO, the ITC or ISD.

Early actions on the part of the Board members participating on the Information Technology Committee reflect a strong desire to be proactive and to play an active role in the continued implementation and use of technology in Ventura County.

RECOMMENDATION

3. The County should intensify its employee training programs and bolster the training budget to accomplish it. The CAO and ISD should lead implementation of countywide programs for training users. Training in technology should be a mandatory line item in each department's budget. The amount can be set by some formula that will guarantee that training is not the first casualty of tight budgets.

Advanced training for users is extremely important for the purpose of identifying opportunities for automation, conducting cost/benefit studies, designing systems and managing development and acquisition projects.

RESPONSE

ISD and the CAO agree on the value of training County employees in the proper and advanced use of any technology installed and implemented throughout the County. The CAO, ISD and Personnel Department, in response to the Auditor's Countywide Review of Personal Computers and the Final Report of the 1994-95 Grand Jury, agreed, after a number of meetings, **that the Personnel Department was better suited to coordinate, administer and conduct the required departmental training.** ISD, however, can provide technical guidance to the Personnel Department in the development of appropriate training classes as well as provide instructors in training users in the latest or newer technologies. ISD will continue to provide user-specific and county-standard specific training to users when required or requested.

The Personnel Department has recently informed the CAO of the creation of a group called PC Power, whose purpose is to provide a forum by which departmental office systems coordinators can communicate with the Personnel Department and ComputerFocus, the County's computer training provider. Participants in PC Power can provide detailed insight into their PC training needs and provide the proper focus toward the pertinence and quality of training. The group has also expressed an interest in working with ISD, the CAO and the Information Technology Committee regarding issues related to PC training and PC usage.

The Personnel Department, in a memo dated February 15, 1996, has responded to this recommendation as well. A copy of that memo is attached.

The standards and guidelines established by the Information Technology Committee, and the **Ventura County Computer Architecture** document proposed for promulgation by the Committee and the CAO, will assist both the Personnel Department and ISD in providing the proper focus for training classes on the part of County employees.

The amount of County funding made available in individual departmental budgets is, of course, an appropriate concern of the Board of Supervisors

and the CAO. The Information Technology Committee, however, may consider other Countywide approaches to the providing and funding of technology training for County employees.

RECOMMENDATION

4. The CAO should get a clear picture of the County's state of computer technology. The CAO with ISD assistance must evaluate existing systems and recommend a plan for the modernization of aging, overburdened, obsolete and costly applications.

RESPONSE

The CAO and ISD are working together in the preparation of the **Ventura County Computer Architecture** document for presentation to the Information Technology Committee. This document will adequately describe the technology currently in use in the County. The CAO and ISD are also collaborating on the preparation of the Interim Five-Year Projection of implementation and possible funding of information technology in the County over the next five fiscal years. ISD's Goals and Objectives for Fiscal Year 1996-97 include the presentation of the **final Information Technology Plan** to the CAO, the Information Technology Committee and to the Board of Supervisors in a July-September 1996 time-frame.

RECOMMENDATION

5. The budget system must better support the financial management of computer technology projects. The CAO should review the budget and the project justification process with an eye towards a process that is more conducive to financing long range technology projects shared by one or more departments. In addition, departments should be directed to implement a uniform method of showing the true cost for computer systems in their budget.

M. Lin Koester
March 20, 1996
Page 6

RESPONSE

The CAO and ISD, in collaboration with the Information Technology Committee, are exploring possible funding sources and processes toward the financial management of information technology projects. Particular attention will be paid to the processes concerned with financing long-range technology projects shared by more than one department.

ISD, in compiling its proposed budget for FY 1996-97, will be accompanied by the pertinent CAO analysts in its deliberation with each of its users. This will afford the CAO the opportunity to evaluate existing systems, as suggested in Recommendation 4, as well as the budget and project review suggested in Recommendation 5.

If you have any questions regarding the above material, or if you would like additional information or clarification on specific responses, please feel free to call me at 654-3543.

Respectfully submitted,



GEORGE E. MATHEWS, Director
Information Systems Department

GEM:ca

Attachment

f:\users\carol\wp51\gj_resp