# OAK PARK MUNICIPAL ADVISORY COUNCIL MINUTES of an official Meeting, May 28, 2019. The Oak Park MAC held an official meeting on Tuesday, May 28, 2019 at 7:00 PM. Oak Park High School Room G-9, 899 N. Kanan Road, Oak Park

# 1. Call to Order of the meeting

The meeting was called to order by *Chair Seema Chandra* at 7:00 PM. *Jane Nye, Alon Glickstein, JL Diaz, and Janice Smets* were also present. At 8 PM *Alon Glickstein* left the meeting, asking that the MAC consider postponing agenda item #11. a. so that the School District could participate in the discussion.

#### 2. Flag Salute

*Webelos Troop # 3789* of the Boy Scouts of America opened the meeting with a flag ceremony and by leading the Pledge of Allegiance.

#### 3. Adoption of the Agenda

The MAC voted 5-0 to adopt the agenda, with *Alon Glickstein* making the motion seconded by *Jane Nye*. The motion included moving agenda item #11. B. to after agenda item #6.

### 4. Approval of the Minutes of April 23, 2019

Alon Glickstein made a motion to approve the amended draft minutes seconded by Jane Nye, and passed 5-0, with a sentence added by Janice Smets as the amendment, "Janice Smets pointed out she could not find many of the trees as proposed by location. Charles Alvarez suggested for Seema Chandra and Janice Smets to have a tour with Greg Epstein, Enhanced Landscape, to review the proposed job."

#### 5. Public Safety reports

Ventura County Sheriff's Senior Deputy John Chiaromonte responded to questions about the arrests earlier in the year of people responsible for home burglaries in Oak Park. Janice Smets asked if the crime spree had resulted in an increased law enforcement presence in Oak Park, and he replied that it had meant more officers working in the affected area which included North Ranch. Janice Smets asked about law enforcement activity related to the opening of a smoke shop in Oak Park and Senior Deputy Chiaromonte responded that there had been an arrest made after a sting operation that had included the smoke shop.

**CHP Community Liaison Officer Ben Susanka** said that there was nothing major to report related to the past month's patrols in Oak Park. He noted that a new senior volunteer with the CHP would be serving in Oak Park. The volunteer would drive a regular CHP vehicle, begin work as early as 7 AM in the morning, could work near schools, and could mark vehicles for parking enforcement but not issue any tickets.

#### 6. Comments of Ventura County Supervisor Linda Parks

Supervisor Parks reminded everyone of the June 1 deadline for completing mandatory brush clearance. She said that the Board of Supervisors had been working on budgets for the new fiscal year that would begin in July. She welcomed County staff members Charles Alvarez, Valerie Lopez, Debra Cavaletto, and Joan Araju in connection with the CSA #4 budget review. She noted that there would be increasing costs for the Kanan Shuttle operations in the coming fiscal year, but she was confident that the focus of Agoura Hills on solving traffic congestion would help lead to continuing support for the Kanan Shuttle. Cutting back the number of Shuttle trips was also being considered to cut back on the costs. Approximately 7000 riders use the Kanan Shuttle each month. Alon Glickstein asked whether it was permissible to stand on a moving Shuttle; students had reported that the standing policy was inconsistent in practice. Supervisor

**Parks** said that the support of Oak Park residents was valuable when the Board of Supervisors voted to study alternatives to the use of glyphosates on County maintained property. **Janice Smets** asked where residents could dispose of glyphosate products, and was told that the City of Thousand Oaks website had information for unincorporated Oak Park residents who wanted to safely dispose of it. She also commented that insecticidal soaps could be used safely, and **Supervisor Parks** said that this information could be passed on to the County of Ventura General Services Agency (GSA).

# 7. Information items

There were none.

### 8. Public Comments

There were none.

### 9. Council Comments

*Alon Glickstein* said that he had attended the RSRPD Park District Board meeting dealing with the pickleball and tennis courts issue, and that Oak Park residents' protests and messages about the courts had come through clearly. He said that he thought the results of the meeting were quite fair, but that in general Oak Park residents should have more control over facilities in Oak Park. He said that no tournaments for pickleball would be planned for Oak Park courts anymore, but that he wished the pickleball classes could be moved to Simi Valley as well. He praised the graduation ceremony at Oak Park High School, saying that everything was very well done.

*Janice Smets* said that she had sent an e-mail to the other Recreation and Park Planning Advisory Committee members expressing her concerns about the park District's plan to let the pond by the Community Center dry up. She said that she had also obtained a hard copy of the Park District manual from the Park District Manager, and that she would work to get it posted online.

*Jane Nye* reported on the OPUSD Board meeting of May 14, highlighting the out of district Simi Valley parents who attended to express their appreciation to the Board.

Seema Chandra said that she attended the retirement party for the school District retirees along with Jane Nye, and that it was excellent. She described the landscaping walk through with Enhanced Landscape and Real Estate Services, and said that it was a great tour and that she learned a great deal and appreciates the time and effort devoted to Oak Park's public landscaping. She noted that an amended proposal for removing trees resulted from the walk through. *Supervisor Parks* thanked the MAC members for becoming involved with the landscaping issues and participating in the walk through.

#### **10.** Written Communications

Jane Nye noted an e-mail from the School District with information about where crossing guards are assigned.

### 11. Advisory Matters

a. OPUSD request for the addition of a 13<sup>th</sup> crossing guard position, at the intersection of Kanan Road and Deerhill Road; for an estimated \$10,817 annual cost in 2018/19, and \$11,606 annual cost beginning in 2019/20

The item was continued to the following MAC meeting, on a 4-0 vote after a motion made by *Seema Chandra* and seconded by *JL Diaz*.

# b. Draft Fiscal Year 2019-2020 Community Services Area #4 budget

*Real Estate Services Director Charles Alvarez* introduced staff members *Valerie Lopez*, *Debra Cavaletto*, and *Joan Araju*, and he made a presentation about the draft budget for CSA #4. The

draft budget is attached here. Jane Nye asked if the project described for Oak Park by Watershed Protection District staff earlier in the year was included in the draft budget, and the response was that it would not be included before 2020. Seema Chandra asked why expenses were increasing and **Debra Cavaletto** replied that the cost of water accounted for much of the increase, and that worst case scenarios were included in other expense categories as well. She also noted that about 6 ½% of the \$150K Wooley Fire landscaping damage expenses covered by federal funding would need to be paid by CSA #4, and that this 6 1/2% would be reflected in a later budget. Janice Smets asked why water costs were not being reduced, especially when trees were dying despite the grass being watered, and when Enhanced Landscape was supportive of turf removal. Jane Nye asked whether it was time for adding funds for turf removal to the CSA #4 budget. Charles Alvarez noted that of the \$75K already being built into the budget for contingencies, some could go towards turf removal, and done incrementally, and that mid-year budget adjustments could be made; at this point the MAC could begin selecting areas for turf removal. The MAC members expressed interest in looking into future turf replacement projects and discussing the options with Enhanced Landscape. Jane Nye pointed out that some contingency funding could be needed for tree replacements. Seema Chandra asked about the increase in the cost of street sweeping, and *Charles Alvarez* replied that the bid for street sweeping was not yet closed and that it was possible the cost increase could be smaller. On a motion made by Alon Glickstein and seconded by Jane Nye, the MAC voted 3-2 to recommend approval of the budget, with Janice Smets dissenting and JL Diaz abstaining from the vote.

# c. Summer MAC meeting dates: cancelation of July 23 meeting; hold meetings June 25 and August 27

The MAC members agreed with the tentative schedule for meetings.

12. Adjournment to the June 25 meeting in Oak Park Library room The Chair adjourned the meeting at 8:05 PM.

#### COUNTY SERVICE AREA NO. 4 - Oak Park FY 19 Budget and Estimated FY 20 Budget Request in Progress

FY 20 Budget Request in Progress		FY 19 Adopted Budget		FY 19 Actual to 04/30/19		FY 19 Estimated Year End		FY 20 Requested Budget
Property Taxes	\$	740 200	e	744 808	•	750 500		
Interest Earned	4	749,200 29,800	ф	744,808	\$	750,500	\$	782,000
Special Assessment (1)		29,600		13,377		29,800		39,600
Other Revenue - Misc		51,000		50,357		91,600		91,600
Sub-Total Revenue		870,600		808,542		871,900		043 000
Fund Balance Carry-over		244,400		N 8		165,900		913,200
Total Revenue and Fund Balance	\$	1,115,000	\$	808,542	\$	1,037,800	s	276,400
EXPENSES						A		
Landscape/Street Sweeping		5						
Landscape Contract Maintenance	\$	140,000	¢	110,250	æ	447 000		
Landscape Repair/Remediation/Emergency Work/Consulting	1°	205,000	Φ	41,053	ф	147,000	\$	154,500
Street Sweeping (26 times per year)		46,000		32,400		219,710		205,000
Minor Equipment repair and/or replacement/other		5,000		52,400		43,200		67,000
Total Landscape/Street Sweeping Expense		396,000	_	183,703		5,000	_	5,000 431,500
Utilities		0.04034248040404040				11,010		431,000
Landscape irrigation- Oak Park Water								
Landscape inigation - SCE		310,000		125,182		260,500		315,000
Street Lighting - SCE		26,000		5,535		6,573		30,000
Total Utility Expense		103,000		72,381		105,127		111,300
		439,000		203,097		372,200		456,300
Community Support/Services	1							
School Crossing Guard Reimbursement (12 guards)		124,000		73,841		124,030		144,100
Kanan Road Shuttle		30,000				30,000		30,000
MAC Support		5,000		-				5,000
Elections (Unit 1921) - ballots	1	5,000		518		5,500		0,000
CERT Support & SDE		3,500		2,461		2,460		2,500
Volunteers in Policing (VIP) Program		27,000		*		¥		28,000
Total Community Support/Services		194,500		76,820		161,990		209,600
Administration Support	1.							
Voice/Data	1	122		-				
Indirect Cost Recovery		5,500		5,544		5,500		4 700
Purchasing Charges / Materials ISF		2,300		1,904		2,300		4,700
Administration (PWA charges-RES)		24,900		21,213		2,300		2,100
Administration (Fiscal Services)		32,700		24,525		32,700		34,400
Attorney Services		10,000		1,853		10,000		29,600
Collection & Billing Svcs (ACO Collection & Admin Fees)		10,100		1,000		11,000		10,000
Total Administration Support		85,500		55,039		88,700		11,400 92,200
TOTAL EXPENSES		4 445 555						
Contingency		1,115,000 0		<b>518,659</b> 0		<b>1,037,800</b> 0		1,189,600
TOTAL PROPOSED BUDGET					2		2	0
	\$	1,115,000		518,659	5	1,037,800	\$	1,189,600

ſ

(1) Annual Assessment: 5,301 units assessed at \$17.28 per unit = \$91,601.28

Ending Cash Balance April 30, 2019 Accounts Payable as of FY19, AP10 Accounts Receivable as of FY19, AP10	\$	2,037,176
Adjusted Engine Cost Polence Artillon 2040		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Adjusted Ending Cash Balance April 30, 2019		2,037,176
Estimated Revenues to June 30, 2019 Estimated Expenditures to June 30, 2019	-72	63,358 (519,141)
Estimated Ending Fund Balance June 30, 2019	\$	1,581,393

#### COUNTY SERVICE AREA NO. 4 - Oak Park 6-Year Fiscal Projection

REVENUE		FY 19 Adopted Budget		FY 19 Estimated Year End		FY 20 Requested Budget		FY 21 Projected Budget	F	FY 22 Projected Budget	1	FY 23 Projected Budget	F	FY 24 Projected Budget	
Property Taxes Interest Earned Special Assessment	\$	749,200 29,800 91,600	\$	750,500 29,800 91,600	\$	782,000 39,600 91,600	\$	805,500 29,600 91,600	\$	829,700 21,400 91,600	\$	864,600 12,600 91,600	\$	880,200 2,800 91,600	(1) (2) (3)
Other Revenue - Misc TOTAL REVENUE	\$		\$	871,900	\$	913,200	\$	928,700	\$	942,700	\$	958,800	\$	974,500	(3)
EXPENSES															
Landscape/Street Sweeping Landscape Contract Maintenance	\$	140,000	¢	147,000	\$	154,500	\$	154,500	¢	159,100	\$	163,900	\$	168,800	(4)
Landscape Repair/Remediation/Emergency Work/Consulting		205,000		219,710	٣	205,000	Ψ	205,000	Ŷ	206,000	Ψ	205,000	Ψ	205,000	(4)
Street Sweeping (26 times per year)		46,000		43,200		67,000		67,000		67,000		67,000		67,000	
Minor Equipment repair and/or replacement/other		5,000		5,000		5,000		5,000		5,000		5,000		5,000	
Total Landscape/Street Sweeping Expense		396,000		414,910		431,500		431,500		436,100		440,900		445,800	
Utilities															
Landscape irrigation- Oak Park Water		310,000		260,500		315,000		333,900		353,900		375,100		397,600	(5)
Landscape irrigation - SCE		26,000		6,573		30,000		31,500		33,100		34,800		36,500	(6)
Street Lighting - SCE	-	103,000	_	105,127		111,300		116,900		122,700	_	128,800	_	135,200	(6)
Total Utility Expense		439,000		372,200		458,300		482,300		509,700		538,700		569,300	
Community Support/Services															
School Crossing Guard Reimbursement (Currently 12 guards)		124,000		124,030		144,100		153,809		164,364		175,541		187,477	(7)
Kanan Road Shuttle		30,000		30,000		30,000		87,942		91,460		95,116		98,923	(8)
MAC Support		5,000		200		5,000		5,000		•		5,000		5,000	
Elections (Unit 1921) - bailots		5,000		5,500		-		2,500		*		2,500			(9)
CERT Support Volunteers in Policing (VIP) Program		3,500 27,000		2,460		2,500 28,000		2,500 28,773		2,500 29,780		2,500		2,500 31,901	(10)
Total Community Support/Services		194,500		161,990	-	209,600		280,614		288,104		311,481	-	325,802	(10)
Administration Support															
Volce/Data Indiract Cost Recovery		5,500		5,500		4,700		4 000		4 6 6 6		5 000		5 000	(11)
Purchasing Charges / Materials ISF		2,300		2,300		2,100		4,600 2,200		4,900 2,300		5,000 2,400		5,200 2,500	(11) (11)
Administration (PWA charges-RES)		24,900		27,200		34,400		35,400		36,500		37,600		38,700	(12)
Administration (Fiscal Services)		32,700		32,700		29,600		30,500		31,400		32,300		33,300	(12)
Attomey Services		10,000		10,000		10,000		10,000		10,000		10,000		10,000	11
Collection & Billing Svcs (ACO Collection & Admin Fees)	-	10,100	_	11,000		11,400		11,400		11,400		11,400		11,400	(13)
Total Administration Support		85,500		88,700		92,200		94,300		96,500		98,700		101,100	
TOTAL EXPENSES		1,115,000		1,037,800		1,189,600		1,288,714		1,330,404		1,389,781		1,442,002	
Contingency		0		0		0	_	0		0		0		0	
TOTAL PROPOSED BUDGET	\$	1,115,000	s	1,037,800	\$	1,189,600	\$	1,288,714	s	1,330,404	\$	1,389,781	\$	1,442,002	
						,	,			,,	+	1		.,,	

Page 2 of 5

#### COUNTY SERVICE AREA NO. 4 - Oak Park **5-Year Fiscal Projection**

	_	FY 19 Adopted Budget		ed Estimated		FY 20 Requested Budget	FY 21 Projected Budget			FY 22 Projected Budget	FY 23 Projected Budget			FY 24 Projected Budget
FUND BALANCE REQUIRED TO BALANCE BUDGET	\$	244,400	\$	166,900	\$	276,400	\$	362,014	\$	387,704	\$	430,981	\$	467,402
Ending Cash Balance April 30, 2019 Accounts Payable as of FY19, AP10 Accounts Recelvable as of FY19, AP10 Adjusted Ending Cash Balance April 30, 2019			\$	2,037,176	-									
Estimated Revenues to June 30, 2019 Estimated Expenditures to June 30, 2019 Estimated Ending Fund Balance June 30, 2019			\$	63,358 (519,141) 1,581,393	\$	1,304,993	\$	942,979	\$	656,275	\$	124,294	5 I	(343,108)

Notes & Assumptions:

(1) Secured property taxes estimated to increase 3.5% for FY20 and then 3% thereafter.

(2) Interest Earnings is based on the average cash balance each year. Interest rate for FY20 is estimated at 2.27% and Fiscal Services estimates 2.27% thereafter.

(3) Annual Assessment: 5,301 units assessed at \$17.28 per unit = \$91,601.28
(4) Regular maintenance & upkeep - Contract awarding estimate at budget for FY20 and then estimated 3% annual increase thereafter.

(a) Regular mannenance & upkeep - Contract awarding estimate as bugget for F120 and increase mercanter. For F198, estimated fire damage replacement al approximately \$150K. Anlicipated FEMA reimbursement not projected until obligation letter received.
(5) Oak Park Water projection based on an estimated 6% annual increase, Rate vs usage analysis pending.
(6) Southern California Edison rates projection based on an estimated 5% annual increase.

Projection includes additional guard budgeted for FY20 and increase approximately 7% thereafter.
Kanan Shuttle - FY20 Budget and projection based on current assumptions:

Ridership is free of charge \*Current FY19 allocation based on previous approval: Oak Park School District \$25,800, City of Agoure \$25,000, and CSA 4 \$30,000. \*In FY20 CSA 4 shares estimated at \$30,000. \*In FY21 and thereafter, estimate of costs based on CSA 4 taking over full box ratio cost with an estimated increase of 4.0% annuelly.

(9) Fiscal Services estimated Election expenses for FY21 and FY23.

(10) Volunteers in Policing (VIP) - LAFCo Certificate of Completion on June 27, 2018.

On February 26, 2019, COV Board of Supervisors authorized signing of 5-year agreement with City of Thousand Oaks. (11) County Voice, Indirect Cost Recovery & Purchasing Charges for FY20 per Budget Development Manual. Estimated to increase 3% annually thereafter.

(12) Admin charges per budget. Estimated to increase 3% annually thereafter.

(13) Auditor and Tax Collector's Fees to administer property tax collection.

Page 3 of 5



Page 4 of 5



Page 5 of 5