I. **Introductions**

II. **Approve Agenda**

III. **Minutes**

IV. **Medical Issues**
   A. Policy 705.08: Cardiac Arrest-VF/VT
   B. Policy 705.09: Chest Pain
   C. Policy 705.25: Ventricular Tachycardia
   D. Policy 705.10: Childbirth
   E. Policy 507: Critical Care Transports
   F. Policy 732: Use of Restraints
   I. Other

V. **New Business**
   A. Stroke System
   B. Other

VI. **Old Business**
   A. Impedance Threshold Device/King Airway Study Report – D. Chase
   B. Other

VII. **Informational Topics**
   A. Pharmacology Manual
   B. Other

VIII. **Policies for Review**
   A. Policy 210: Child, Dependent Adult, or Elder Abuse Reporting
   B. Policy 400: Ventura County Emergency Departments
   C. Policy 605: Interfacility Transfer of Patients
   D. Policy 606: Withholding or Termination of Resuscitation and Determination of Death
   E. Policy 620: EMT Administration of Oral Glucose
   F. Policy 624: Patient Medications
   G. Policy 716: Use of Pre-Existing Vascular Access Devices
   H. Policy 717: Intralingual Injection – Possible deletion
   I. Policy 723: Continuous Positive Airway Pressure (CPAP)
   J. Policy 725: Patients after TASER Use
   K. Other

IX. **Reports**
   TAG Report

X. **Agency Reports**
   A. ALS Providers
   B. BLS Providers
   C. Base Hospitals
   D. Receiving Hospitals
   E. ALS Education Programs
   F. Trauma System Report
   G. EMS Agency
   H. Other

XI. **Closing**
TEMPORARY PARKING PASS
Expires August 11, 2011

Health Care Services
2240 E. Gonzales Rd
Oxnard, CA 93036
For use in "Green Permit Parking" Areas only, EXCLUDES Patient parking areas

Parking Instructions: Parking at workshop venue is limited. Arrive early to allow for offsite parking if venue parking lot is full.

2240 Gonzales Rd. location
If you park in a designated "green permit parking" slot, fold this flyer in half and place pass face-up on the dash of your car, to avoid receiving a ticket.

2100 Solar Drive
An additional amount of "Green Permit Parking" spaces (only 30) are available in adjacent parking lot, those that back-up against venue parking area, (Enter this parking lot off of Gonzales[3rd driveway] or Solar Drive). Place this flyer on your dash. If all of those stalls are occupied, overflow parking is available at The Palms shopping area or side streets.

The Palms - shopping mall
Enter The Palms at Lombard and Gonzales. Allow for a ten minute walk to venue location.

Additional parking is available on side streets, Lombard, Solar and Wankel Way.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Discussion</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Assigned</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I. <strong>Introductions</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II. <strong>Approve Agenda</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III. <strong>Minutes</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>It was M/S/C to approve the minutes as submitted.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV. <strong>Medical Issues</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. AHA 2010 CPR/ECC Guidelines</td>
<td></td>
<td>No report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Other</td>
<td>Amiodarone – If we are going to incorporate, will have for next meeting. We would also need EMSA approval and that would not be until September. IO – training will go out soon Atropine – on hold</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V. <strong>New Business</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Policy 1135:</td>
<td>Any clinical/hospital training needs to be controlled by EMS same as hospitals. We received a call from Universal Careers/UC Paramedics (Max Lund) which is an on-line paramedic program. We have some concerns regarding this program. Program is stating that they have agreements secured within the county. If you receive a call, please contact EMS. There has been no approval for this program.</td>
<td>Approved. Effective date is today, June 9, 2011.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Policy Paramedic Program Approval – C. Rosa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI. <strong>Old Business</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Impedance Threshold Device/King Airway Study Report – D. Chase</td>
<td>Nothing new at this time.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VII. <strong>Informational Topics</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. 1402: Trauma Review Committee</td>
<td>Policy is currently in place. Final policy will be sent out by e-mail and will be posted on the EMS website.</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. 1404: Guidelines for Interfacility Transfer of Patients to a Trauma Center</td>
<td>There have been minor changes to policy. Final policy will be sent out by e-mail and will be posted on the EMS website.</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. 1407: Code Trauma*: Emergent Transfer of Patients with Critical Trauma</td>
<td>There have been minor changes to policy. Final policy will be sent out by e-mail and will be posted on the EMS website.</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E.</td>
<td>Policy 322: Mobile Intensive Care Nurse: Reauthorization Requirements</td>
<td>Additional lines are needed for recording hours. Should be 12/12/12. Policy approved with change (T. Norton/K. McShea).</td>
<td>Approved with change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H.</td>
<td>Policy 1001: Paramedic/BH Communication Record</td>
<td>Form will be deleted. Form can become part of the EPCR program. Policy tabled until EPCR.</td>
<td>Tabled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.</td>
<td>Policy 1105: MICN Developmental Course and Exam</td>
<td>Minor language change needed for exam. Second F will be deleted. Language change will be forwarded to Debbie from K. McShea. Policy approved with change (T. Norton/K. McShea)</td>
<td>Approved with change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J.</td>
<td>Policy 1130: Advanced Life Support Continuing</td>
<td>Implement current AHA/ECC guidelines should be added. Policy approved with change (T. Norton/K. McShea)</td>
<td>Approved with change</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Educations Lectures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>K.</th>
<th>Policy 1131: Field Care Audit</th>
<th>Review regulations for the ability for agencies other than BH to hold FCA.</th>
<th>Tape: changed to recording.</th>
<th>Tabled for regulation review.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M.</td>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### IX. Reports

| TAG Report | There are no new CQI projects this month. All teams are continuing with their current projects. |

### X. Agency Reports

| A. ALS Providers | • VNC - Academy in process with 5 Paramedics. Working on ResQpod data and ETCO2 Study. Training in July for Easy IO  
• AMR has attempted use of the Airtraq device 8 times. 3 of 8 were successful. The failed attempts were plagued by strange anatomy, swollen tongues, fluid in the airway, blurry screen etc. Dr. Salvucci would like AMR to continue to try this device as we had very low numbers of use. |
| B. BLS Providers | No report |
| C. Base Hospitals | SJ RMC: July 1st both PVH and SJ RMC would have new medical directors. |
| D. Receiving Hospitals | No report |
| E. ALS Education Programs | |
| F. Trauma System Report | • TORC has added a subcommittee which will be the working group for this committee.  
• Trauma exam data. Data will be sent out shortly.  
• Code Trauma, 11 so far and no trauma continuation. Of the 11 code traumas, only two met criteria. Urge review of the policy with staff.  
• Discussing having trauma committee member to this committee. Committee is in favor of inviting. Trauma director and trauma program manager will be added to the distribution list but will not be considered voting members. Policy 106 needs review. |
| G. EMS Agency | • Thanks to Katy and Chris for first year, look forward to many more. EMS has a very great team now.  
• Final stages of remodel next door.  
• EPO is now located within the EMS Agency Office. Their phone numbers did not change.  
• Homeland Security funds have decreased, therefore, we are prioritizing the various projects.  
  o AED replacement in County building will be placed further down the list.  
  o DuoDote supply will remain. Please review stock and get back to C. |
### Rosa, DuoDote are now Mark I kits.
- Radio reprogram will be done in 3 phases starting in July
- Satellite capability is being looked at for the hospitals. This will be a back up for ReddiNet. If internet goes down will kick in automatically. Hospital input will be needed.

- EMSAAC conference was held earlier this week. The conference was great. 11.5 hours CE. Recommend for next year.
- Pediatric readiness survey – group of physicians from L.A. County is working with the state to poll hospitals to determine pediatric readiness. All hospitals will be required to complete the survey and may be distributed through the EMS Agency. The survey should take about an hour to complete.
- S. Carroll announced that he will be on vacation starting next week and return the second week of July. Katy and Chris will be available if any issues come up.

### XI. Closing

The meeting adjourned at 10:35 a.m.

Respectfully submitted
Debbie Haney
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AMR</td>
<td>Clay</td>
<td>Nick</td>
<td>NC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMR</td>
<td>Panke</td>
<td>Chad</td>
<td>CP</td>
<td>CP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMH - ER</td>
<td>Canby</td>
<td>Neil</td>
<td>NC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMH - ER</td>
<td>Cobb</td>
<td>Cheryl</td>
<td>CC</td>
<td>CC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFD</td>
<td>Herrera</td>
<td>Bill</td>
<td>BH</td>
<td>BH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFD</td>
<td>Hall</td>
<td>Jim</td>
<td>JH</td>
<td>JH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCA</td>
<td>Norton</td>
<td>Tony</td>
<td>TN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCA</td>
<td>Stillwagon</td>
<td>Mike</td>
<td>MS</td>
<td>MS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifeline</td>
<td>Kuroda</td>
<td>Brian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifeline</td>
<td>Winter</td>
<td>Jeff</td>
<td>JW</td>
<td>JW</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LRRMC - ER</td>
<td>David</td>
<td>Paul</td>
<td>PD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LRRMC - ER</td>
<td>Licht</td>
<td>Debbie</td>
<td>DL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OFD</td>
<td>Carroll</td>
<td>Scott</td>
<td>SC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OFD</td>
<td>Huhn</td>
<td>Stephanie</td>
<td>SPH</td>
<td>SPH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OVCH</td>
<td>Boynton</td>
<td>Stephanie</td>
<td>SB</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OVCH</td>
<td>Patterson</td>
<td>Betsy</td>
<td>BP</td>
<td>BP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SJPVH</td>
<td>Hernandez</td>
<td>Sandi</td>
<td>SH</td>
<td>SH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SJRMC</td>
<td>McShea</td>
<td>Kathy</td>
<td>KM</td>
<td>KM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SJRMC - SJPVH</td>
<td>Larsen</td>
<td>Todd</td>
<td>TL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPFD</td>
<td>Dowd</td>
<td>Andrew</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVH - ER</td>
<td>Tilles</td>
<td>Ira</td>
<td>IT</td>
<td>IT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVH - ER</td>
<td>Hoffman</td>
<td>Jennie</td>
<td>JH</td>
<td>JH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V/College</td>
<td>Mundell</td>
<td>Meredith</td>
<td>MM</td>
<td>MM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCFD</td>
<td>Merman</td>
<td>Nancy</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCFD</td>
<td>Dingman</td>
<td>Rodney</td>
<td>RD</td>
<td>RD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VNC</td>
<td>Plott</td>
<td>Norm</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>MNP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VNC</td>
<td>Black</td>
<td>Shannon</td>
<td>SB</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VNC</td>
<td>Shedlosky</td>
<td>Robin</td>
<td>RS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCMC - ER</td>
<td>Chase</td>
<td>David</td>
<td>DC</td>
<td>DC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCMC - ER</td>
<td>Utley</td>
<td>Dede</td>
<td>DU</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCMC-SPH</td>
<td>Daucett</td>
<td>Michelle</td>
<td>MD</td>
<td>MD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCMC-SPH</td>
<td>Beatty</td>
<td>Karen</td>
<td>CH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCSO SAR</td>
<td>Hadland</td>
<td>Don</td>
<td>DH</td>
<td>DH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCSO SAR</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>Don</td>
<td>DW</td>
<td>DW</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VFF</td>
<td>Rhoden</td>
<td>Crystal</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VFF</td>
<td>Jones</td>
<td>Brad</td>
<td>BJ</td>
<td>BJ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Eligible to Vote</strong></td>
<td>Date Change/cancelled - not c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Non Voting Members</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMS</td>
<td>Carroll</td>
<td>Steve</td>
<td>SC</td>
<td>SC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMR</td>
<td>Dreh sen</td>
<td>Charles</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCMC</td>
<td>Duncan</td>
<td>Thomas</td>
<td>TD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMS</td>
<td>Fisher</td>
<td>Barry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LMT</td>
<td>Frank</td>
<td>Steve</td>
<td>SF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMS</td>
<td>Hadduck</td>
<td>Katy</td>
<td>KH</td>
<td>KH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMS</td>
<td>Haney</td>
<td>Debora</td>
<td>DH</td>
<td>DH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMS</td>
<td>Lara-Jenkins</td>
<td>Stephanie</td>
<td>SLJ</td>
<td>SLJ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMS</td>
<td>Rosa</td>
<td>Chris</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMS</td>
<td>Salvucci</td>
<td>Angelo</td>
<td>AS</td>
<td>AS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MEMORANDUM

Date: August 11, 2011
To: PSC Committee
From: Angelo Salvucci, MD
EMS Medical Director
Subject: Amiodarone

The 2010 AHA Guidelines are stronger than the 2005 Guidelines in recommending Amiodarone over lidocaine for shock-resistant VF. Attached is an extract from the current Guidelines and the evidence-review worksheet. There is still no evidence that Amiodarone (or other drugs) improves survival to hospital discharge, and attached is the most recent trial. However, it does not appear to be worse than lidocaine. I would recommend that for the treatment of shock-resistant VF in policy 705_08 (Cardiac Arrest) we move from lidocaine to Amiodarone OR use no antiarrhythmic. Either is reasonable and there are examples of both approaches in other California EMS systems.

Amiodarone may be more effective in converting stable VT (though the evidence is limited), so for Policy 705_25 (Ventricular Tachycardia), I’d recommend that if we decide to add Amiodarone to our SOP we move from lidocaine to Amiodarone here.

For Policy 705_09 (Chest Pain) and for post-VF conversion in Policy 705_08, the Guidelines no longer recommend prophylactic antiarrhythmic medications, so I recommend we delete lidocaine here.

Stroke System:

I would like to propose that we consider organizing a stroke system of care. This is being done in a number of other California EMS systems. The Joint Commission has a Primary Stroke Center Certification Program (attached) that is typically used in these systems. Steve and I have begun discussing this with the hospitals. Our intent is to give time for any hospital interested in designation to do so – perhaps about a year – before turning on the system. If all hospitals are designated then there will be no change in destination decisions. Once the hospitals have had a chance to review the requirements we can consider approving this plan and set a firm date for implementation.
Part 1, p. S641

At the time of the 2010 International Consensus Conference there were still insufficient data to demonstrate that any drugs or mechanical CPR devices improve long-term outcome after cardiac arrest.

Part 8, p. S739

Drug Therapy in VF/Pulseless VT

When VF/pulseless VT persists after at least 1 shock and a 2-minute CPR period, a vasopressor can be given with the primary goal of increasing myocardial blood flow during CPR and achieving ROSC (see “Medications for Arrest Rhythms” below for dosing) (Class IIb, LOE A). The peak effect of an intravenous (IV)/intraosseous (IO) vasopressor given as a bolus dose during CPR is delayed for at least 1 to 2 minutes. The optimal timing of vasopressor administration during the 2-minute period of uninterrupted CPR has not been established. If a shock fails to generate a perfusing rhythm, then giving a vasopressor soon after the shock will optimize the potential impact of increased myocardial blood flow before the next shock. However, if a shock results in a perfusing rhythm, a bolus dose of vasopressor at any time during the subsequent 2-minute period of CPR (before rhythm check) could theoretically have detrimental effects on cardiovascular stability. This may be avoided by using physiologic monitoring such as quantitative waveform capnography, intra-arterial pressure monitoring, and continuous central venous oxygen saturation monitoring to detect ROSC during chest compressions. However, adding an additional pause for rhythm and pulse check after shock delivery but before vasopressor therapy will decrease myocardial perfusion during the critical postshock period and could reduce the chance of achieving ROSC.

Amiodarone is the first-line antiarrhythmic agent given during cardiac arrest because it has been clinically demonstrated to improve the rate of ROSC and hospital admission in adults with refractory VF/pulseless VT. Amiodarone may be considered when VF/VT is unresponsive to CPR, defibrillation, and vasopressor therapy (Class IIb, LOE A). If amiodarone is unavailable, lidocaine may be considered, but in clinical studies lidocaine has not been demonstrated to improve rates of ROSC and hospital admission compared with amiodarone (Class IIb, LOE B). Magnesium sulfate should be considered only for torsades de pointes associated with a long QT interval (Class IIb, LOE B).
Antiarrhythmics

There is no evidence that any antiarrhythmic drug given routinely during human cardiac arrest increases survival to hospital discharge. Amiodarone, however, has been shown to increase short-term survival to hospital admission when compared with placebo or lidocaine.

Amiodarone

IV amiodarone affects sodium, potassium, and calcium channels and has α- and β-adrenergic blocking properties. It can be considered for treatment of VF or pulseless VT unresponsive to shock delivery, CPR, and a vasopressor. In blinded randomized controlled clinical trials in adults with refractory VF/pulseless VT in the out-of-hospital setting, paramedic administration of amiodarone (300 mg or 5 mg/kg) improved hospital admission rates when compared with administration of placebo or 1.5 mg/kg of lidocaine. Additional studies documented consistent improvement in termination of arrhythmias when amiodarone was given to humans or animals with VF or hemodynamically unstable VT. A higher incidence of bradycardia and hypotension was reported for amiodarone in one out-of-hospital study. A canine study noted that administration of a vasoconstrictor before amiodarone prevented hypotension. The adverse hemodynamic effects of the IV formulation of amiodarone are attributed to vasoactive solvents (polysorbate 80 and benzyl alcohol). When administered in the absence of these solvents, an analysis of the combined data of 4 prospective clinical trials of patients with VT (some hemodynamically unstable) showed that amiodarone produced no more hypotension than lidocaine. A formulation of IV amiodarone without these vasoactive solvents was approved for use in the United States.

Amiodarone may be considered for VF or pulseless VT unresponsive to CPR, defibrillation, and a vasopressor therapy (Class IIb, LOE B). An initial dose of 300 mg IV/IO can be followed by 1 dose of 150 mg IV/IO. Although anecdotally administered IO without known adverse effects, there is limited experience with amiodarone given by this route.

Lidocaine

A retrospective review demonstrated an association between improved hospital admission rates and use of lidocaine (compared with standard treatment) in patients with out-of-hospital VF cardiac arrest. But there is inadequate evidence to recommend the use of lidocaine in patients who have refractory VT/VF, defined as VT/VF not terminated by defibrillation or that continues to recur after defibrillation during out-of-hospital cardiac arrest or in-hospital cardiac arrest.

Lidocaine is an alternative antiarrhythmic of long-standing and widespread familiarity with fewer immediate side effects than may be encountered with other antiarrhythmics. Lidocaine, however, has no proven short- or long-term efficacy in cardiac arrest. Lidocaine may be considered if amiodarone is not available (Class IIb, LOE B). The initial dose is 1 to 1.5 mg/kg IV. If VF/pulseless VT persists, additional doses of 0.5 to 0.75 mg/kg IV push may be administered at 5- to 10-minute intervals to a maximum dose of 3 mg/kg.
Therapy for Regular Wide-Complex Tachycardias

For patients who are stable with likely VT, IV antiarrhythmic drugs or elective cardioversion is the preferred treatment strategy. If IV antiarrhythmics are administered, procainamide (Class IIa, LOE B), amiodarone (Class IIb, LOE B), or sotalol (Class IIb, LOE B) can be considered. Procainamide and sotalol should be avoided in patients with prolonged QT. If one of these antiarrhythmic agents is given, a second agent should not be given without expert consultation (Class III, LOE B). If antiarrhythmic therapy is unsuccessful, cardioversion or expert consultation should be considered (Class IIa, LOE C).

One randomized comparison found procainamide (10 mg/kg) to be superior to lidocaine (1.5 mg/kg) for termination of hemodynamically stable monomorphic VT. Procainamide can be administered at a rate of 20 to 50 mg/min until the arrhythmia is suppressed, hypotension ensues, QRS duration increases >50%, or the maximum dose of 17 mg/kg is given. Maintenance infusion is 1 to 4 mg/min. Procainamide should be avoided in patients with prolonged QT and congestive heart failure.

IV sotalol (100 mg IV over 5 minutes) was found to be more effective than lidocaine (100 mg IV over 5 minutes) when administered to patients with spontaneous hemodynamically stable sustained monomorphic VT in a double-blind randomized trial within a hospital setting. In a separate study of 109 patients with a history of spontaneous and inducible sustained ventricular tachyarrhythmias, infusing 1.5 mg/kg of sotalol over ≤5 minutes was found to be relatively safe and effective, causing hypotension in only 2 patients, both of whom responded to IV fluid. Package insert recommends slow infusion, but the literature supports more rapid infusion of 1.5 mg/kg over 5 minutes or less. Sotalol should be avoided in patients with a prolonged QT interval.

Amiodarone is also effective in preventing recurrent monomorphic VT or treating refractory ventricular arrhythmias in patients with coronary artery disease and poor ventricular function. It is given 150 mg IV over 10 minutes; dosing should be repeated as needed to a maximum dose of 2.2 g IV per 24 hours. Higher doses (300 mg) were associated with an increased frequency of hypotension, although some reports attributed the hypotension to the vasoactive solvents that are not present in a new form of the drug recently approved for use in the US.

By comparison, lidocaine is less effective in terminating VT than procainamide, sotalol, and amiodarone, and when given to patients with or without a history of MI with spontaneous sustained stable VT in the hospital setting. Lidocaine has been reported to variably terminate VT when administered intramuscularly to patients with AMI and VT in the out-of-hospital setting. Thus, while occasionally effective, lidocaine should be considered second-line antiarrhythmic therapy for monomorphic VT. Lidocaine can be administered at a dose of 1 to 1.5 mg/kg IV bolus. Maintenance infusion is 1 to 4 mg/min (30 to 50 mcg/kg per minute).
Ventricular Rhythm Disturbances

Treatment of ventricular arrhythmias during and after AMI has been a controversial topic for three decades. Primary VF accounts for the majority of early deaths during AMI. The incidence of primary VF is highest during the first 4 hours after onset of symptoms, but remains an important contributor to mortality during the first 24 hours. Secondary VF occurring in the setting of CHF or cardiogenic shock can also contribute to death from AMI. VF is a less common cause of death in the hospital setting with the use of fibrinolytics and percutaneous revascularization as early reperfusion strategies. Broad use of β-blockers also contributes significantly in the reduction of VF incidence in the after AMI.

Although prophylaxis with lidocaine reduces the incidence of VF, an analysis of data from ISIS-3 and a meta-analysis suggest that lidocaine increased all-cause mortality rates. Thus, the practice of prophylactic administration of lidocaine is not recommended (Class III, LOE A).

Sotalol has not been adequately studied (Class IIb, LOE C).

Amiodarone in a single RCT did not appear to improve survival in low doses and may increase mortality in high doses when used early in patients with suspected myocardial infarction (Class IIb, LOE C).

Twenty published studies including 14 RCTs and 4 meta-analyses/reviews provide no good evidence that prophylactic antiarrhythmics improve outcomes (survival to discharge, 30/60 day mortality) and despite a documented decrease in the incidence of malignant ventricular arrhythmias, they may cause harm. Therefore prophylactic antiarrhythmics are not recommended for patients with suspected ACS or myocardial infarction in the prehospital or ED (Class III, LOE A).

Routine IV administration of β-blockers to patients without hemodynamic or electric contraindications is associated with a reduced incidence of primary VF (Class IIb, LOE C).

Low serum potassium, but not magnesium, has been associated with ventricular arrhythmias. It is prudent clinical practice to maintain serum potassium >4 mEq/L and magnesium >2 mEq/L (Class IIb, LOE A).

Routine administration of magnesium to patients with MI has no significant clinical mortality benefit, particularly in patients receiving fibrinolytic therapy. ISIS-4 enrolled >58 000 patients and showed a trend toward increased mortality rates when magnesium was given in-hospital for primary prophylaxis to patients within the first 4 hours of known or suspected AMI.

Following an episode of VF, there is no conclusive data to support the use of lidocaine or any particular strategy for preventing VF recurrence. Further management of ventricular rhythm disturbances is discussed in Part 8.2: “Management of Cardiac Arrest” and Part 8.3: “Management of Symptomatic Bradycardia and Tachycardia.”
**Clinical question.**

"In adult cardiac arrest (asystole, pulseless electrical activity, pulseless VT and VF) (prehospital [OHCA], in-hospital [IHCA]) (P), does the use of antiarrhythmic drugs (lidocaine, procainamide, amiodarone, bretylium, magnesium) or combination with other drugs (I) compared with not using drugs (or a standard drug regimen) (C), improve outcomes (eg. ROSC, survival) (O)."

Is this question addressing an intervention/therapy, prognosis or diagnosis? intervention/therapy

State if this is a proposed new topic or revision of existing worksheet: revision

**Conflict of interest specific to this question**

Do any of the authors listed above have conflict of interest disclosures relevant to this worksheet? No

**Search strategy (including electronic databases searched).**

Dr Ong’s search strategy

PubMed “heart arrest” or “cardiopulmonary resuscitation” or “cardiac arrest” as MESH (headings) AND “Anti-Arrhythmia Agents” or “Lidocaine” or “Lignocaine” or “procainamide” or “amiodarone” or “bretylium” or “magnesium” as textword in headings or abstract

EMBASE search using text words (all fields) “Anti-Arrhythmia Agents” or “Lidocaine” or “Lignocaine” or “procainamide” or “amiodarone” or “bretylium” or “magnesium” AND (cardiac arrest OR resuscitation)


Review of references from articles. Forward search using SCOPUS and Google scholar.

Repeat review of references on 22 Aug 2009

Dr Link’s search strategy

Two different search strategies have been pursued, both targeting the same population: cardiac arrest, heart arrest, cardiopulmonary, resuscitation, post-cardiac arrest, and postresuscitation (textword and MeSH headings when applicable).

As for the intervention, search strategy #1 focused on the keywords arrhythmia, anti-arrhythmic, and unstable (MeSH headings when applicable), while search strategy #2 looked at prophylactic use of single antiarrhythmic agents.

Database searched: PubMed, Cochrane Library (including Cochrane database for systematic reviews and Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials), Embase, and AHA EndNote Master Library.

Moreover, cross-references from articles and reviews, and forward search using SCOPUS and Google scholar are ongoing.

Details of search are reported below.

PubMed

Search strategy #1: (("Heart Arrest"[Mesh]) OR (cardiac arrest) OR (cardiopulmonary resuscitation) OR ("Resuscitation"[Mesh])) AND ((Arrhythmia) OR (Anti-Arrhythmic) OR (Unstable)) AND ((Post-Cardiac Arrest) OR (postresuscitation))

Search strategy #2: ((("Amiodarone"[Mesh]) OR ("Lidocaine"[Mesh]) OR ("Procainamide"[Mesh]) OR ("Magnesium Sulfate"[Mesh]) OR ("Diltiazem"[Mesh]) OR ("Verapamil"[Mesh]) OR ("Digoxin"[Mesh]) OR ("Flecainide"[Mesh]) OR ("Propafenone"[Mesh]) OR ("Sotalol"[Mesh]) OR ("esmolol"[Substance Name])) OR ("Adenosine"[Mesh]) OR ("Esmolol"[Substance Name])) AND (cardiac arrest OR resuscitation)
Atenolol OR Metoprolol AND prophylactic OR Post-Cardiac Arrest OR postresuscitation AND Resuscitation OR Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation OR Heart Arrest OR cardiac arrest

Cochrane
Search strategy #1: prophylactic:ti,ab,kw OR Arrhythmia:ti,ab,kw OR Anti-Arrhythmia Agents:Mesh OR Heart Arrest:Mesh OR Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation:Mesh
Search strategy #2: single antiarrhythmic agents:Mesh AND prophylactic:ti,ab,kw OR Heart Arrest:Mesh OR Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation:Mesh

Embase
Search strategy #1: Heart Arrest:Mesh OR Resuscitation:Mesh OR Arrhythmia:Mesh OR Anti-Arrhythmic:Mesh OR Unstable:Mesh OR Post-Cardiac Arrest:Mesh OR postresuscitation:Mesh
Search strategy #2: single antiarrhythmic agents:Mesh AND prophylactic:ti,ab,kw OR Heart Arrest:Mesh OR Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation:Mesh

EndNote
Search strategy #1: Cardiac Arrest OR Resuscitation AND Arrhythmia OR Anti-Arrhythmic OR Unstable OR Post-Cardiac Arrest OR postresuscitation
Search strategy #2: single antiarrhythmic agents:Mesh AND prophylactic:ti,ab,kw OR Cardiac Arrest OR Resuscitation


Task force comments included. Combined submission with Dr Mark Link

State inclusion and exclusion criteria
Inclusion criteria included: human studies of adult cardiac arrest and anti-arrhythmic agents, peer-review
Exclusion criteria included: review articles and case reports, case series, not pertinent studies.

Number of articles/sources meeting criteria for further review:
PubMed “heart arrest” or “cardiopulmonary resuscitation” OR “cardiac arrest” as MESH (headings) AND “Anti-Arrhythmia Agents” or “Lidocaine” or “Lignocaine” or “procainamide” or “amiodarone” or “bretylium” or “magnesium” as textword in abstract 185 articles

On further evaluation of relevant articles:
25 studies met inclusion criteria for further review. Of these 9 were LOE 1, 2 LOE 2, 2 LOE 3, 5 LOE 4, 7 LOE 5.
# Summary of evidence

## Evidence Supporting Clinical Question

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level of evidence</th>
<th>Evidence Neutral to Clinical question</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>{Dorian, 2002, 884} B (amiodarone vs lidocaine) {Kudenchuk, 1999, 871} B (amio vs lido)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>{Nowak, 1981, 404} B (bretylium vs placebo)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>{Allegra, 2001, 245} A (Mg vs placebo) {Hassan, 2002, 57} A (Mg vs placebo) {Haynes, 1981, 353} C (bretylium vs lido)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>{Olson, 1984, 807} B (Bretylium vs Lido)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>{Ohshige, 2005, 53} C (lidocaine vs no lidocaine)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>{Kovoor, 2005, 518} C (sotalol vs lignocaine) {Thel, 1997, 1272} A (Mg vs placebo) {Fatovich, 1997, 237} A (Mg vs placebo)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>{Tahara, 2006, 442} B (nifekalant vs lido)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>{Rea, 2006, 1617} E (amio vs lido) {Pollak, 2006, 199} C (amio vs lido) {Stell, 1995, 264} B (bretylium, lido, procainamide) {Skrifvars M 2004, 582} E (amio)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>{Kowey, 1995, 3255} E (amio vs lido) {Levine JH 1996, 67} E (amio)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Level of evidence

A = Return of spontaneous circulation  
C = Survival to hospital discharge  
E = Other endpoint  
*Italic* = Animal studies

---

**Summary of evidence**

**Evidence Supporting Clinical Question**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Evidence Neutral to Clinical question</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>{Dorian, 2002, 884} B (amiodarone vs lidocaine) {Kudenchuk, 1999, 871} B (amio vs lido)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>{Nowak, 1981, 404} B (bretylium vs placebo)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>{Allegra, 2001, 245} A (Mg vs placebo) {Hassan, 2002, 57} A (Mg vs placebo) {Haynes, 1981, 353} C (bretylium vs lido)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>{Olson, 1984, 807} B (Bretylium vs Lido)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>{Ohshige, 2005, 53} C (lidocaine vs no lidocaine)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>{Kovoor, 2005, 518} C (sotalol vs lignocaine) {Thel, 1997, 1272} A (Mg vs placebo) {Fatovich, 1997, 237} A (Mg vs placebo)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>{Tahara, 2006, 442} B (nifekalant vs lido)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>{Rea, 2006, 1617} E (amio vs lido) {Pollak, 2006, 199} C (amio vs lido) {Stell, 1995, 264} B (bretylium, lido, procainamide) {Skrifvars M 2004, 582} E (amio)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>{Kowey, 1995, 3255} E (amio vs lido) {Levine JH 1996, 67} E (amio)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

### Level of evidence

A = Return of spontaneous circulation  
C = Survival to hospital discharge  
E = Other endpoint  
Italics = Animal studies
### Evidence Opposing Clinical Question

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Good</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>{Weaver, 1990, 2027} B (lido vs no lido)</td>
<td>{van Walraven, 1998, 544} B (lido vs no lido)</td>
<td>{Hallstrom, 1991, 1025} C (quinidine, proc vs no antiarrhythmic)</td>
<td>{Nademane, 2000, 742} C (amio, proc, bretylium vs no antiarrhythmic)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Level of evidence**

A = Return of spontaneous circulation  
B = Survival of event  
C = Survival to hospital discharge  
D = Intact neurological survival  
E = Other endpoint  
*Italics = Animal studies*
**REVIEWER'S FINAL COMMENTS AND ASSESSMENT OF BENEFIT / RISK:**

"In adult cardiac arrest (asystole, pulseless electrical activity, pulseless VT and VF) (prehospital [OHCA], in-hospital [IHCA]) (P), does the use of antiarrhythmic drugs (lidocaine, procainamide, amiodarone, bretylium, magnesium) or combination with other drugs (I) compared with not using drugs (or a standard drug regimen) (C), improve outcomes (eg. ROSC, survival) (O)."?

This is a revision of worksheet 21 from ILCOR 2005.

We have divided the three time frames of resuscitation and treatment into:
1) During resuscitation
2) After admission to the hospital/ED (implying ROSC has returned)
3) Prior to hospital discharge and continuing long-term (implying patient recovery)

Our question and the focus of this worksheet, ALS-D-025, addresses the first time frame. Another worksheet questions addresses time frame 2. There is no specific worksheet question which address time frame 3.

There are actually several parts to this question, and we have divided the evidence according to the type of antiarrhythmic drugs being studied in various publications. However we should note that nearly all of the studies report interventions for Ventricular Fibrillation (VF) and pulseless Ventricular Tachycardia (VT) rather than for asystole or PEA. Only one study (Nowak, 1981) included patients in asystole or PEA. Evidence from Randomised Controlled Trials (RCT) is scant, and most of the studies use another antiarrhythmic drug as a control, rather than a placebo or no treatment. Thus, conclusions are limited to the relative effectiveness of antiarrhythmic drugs.

**Studies looking at the use of Lidocaine in adult cardiac arrest:**

- **{Herlitz, 1997, 199} LOE3, Fair Quality, Supporting – OHCA retrospective review, looking at the use of Lidocaine for VF.** Reported increased ROSC with lidocaine
- **{Ohshige, 2005, 53} LOE3, Poor Quality, Supporting – OHCA controlled trial, looking at the use of Lidocaine for VF.** Found increased survival in the group treated with lidocaine
- **{Kovoor, 2005, 518} LOE1, Fair Quality, Neutral - OHCA RCT looking at the use of Lidocaine vs Sotalol for VF.** Reported no difference in ROSC.
- **{Weaver, 1990, 2027} LOE3, Fair Quality, Opposing - OHCA historical controls, looking at the use of lidocaine vs bicarbonate for VF.** Reported decreased survival to admission with lidocaine
- **{Tahara, 2006, 442} LOE2, Fair Quality, Neutral - OHCA historical controls, looking at the use of nifekalant and lidocaine for VF.** Reported decreased survival to admission for lidocaine
- **{van Walraven, 1998, 544} LOE4, Fair Quality, Opposing – In-hospital, retrospective review, looking at the use of Lidocaine for VF.** Reported decreased survival to 1h associated with lidocaine

**Studies looking at the use of Amiodarone in adult cardiac arrest:**

- **{Kudenchuk, 1999, 871} LOE1, Good Quality, Supporting – OHCA RCT looking at the use of Amiodarone vs placebo (although 92% of placebo group received antiarrhythmic drugs, predominantly lidocaine, before randomization and 82% received antiarrhythmic drugs after randomization) for VF.** Reported improved survival to admission for Amiodarone.
- **{Levine JH 1996, 67} LOE5, Fair Quality, Neutral - Trial in which in-patients with recurrent sustained hypotensive VT or VF who had failed treatment with procainamide, lidocaine and bretylium were given one of three doses of IV amiodarone. Of 273 patients 40% survived 24 hours without another arrhythmia episode. There was no clear difference between the three different doses of amiodarone.**
- **{Skrifvars M 2004, 582} LOE 4, Fair Quality, neutral- Retrospective case series of IV amiodarone use in Helsinki which shows that undiluted amiodarone can be used safely.**
- **{Tomlinson D 2008, 15} LOE 4, Fair Quality, Opposing- Small retrospective case series of patients with hemodynamically tolerated VT in which IV amiodarone terminated VT in 6/41 patients within 20 minutes, and 12/41 within 1 hour.**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Studies looking at the use of Magnesium in adult cardiac arrest:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>{Allegra, 2001, 245} LOE1, Good Quality, Neutral, {Hassan, 2002, 57} LOE1, Good Quality, Neutral – Prehospital RCT looking at the use of Mg vs placebo for VF Reported no difference in ROSC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>{Thel, 1997, 1272} LOE1, Fair Quality, Neutral - ICU, RCT, looking at the use of Mg vs placebo for VF. Reported no difference in ROSC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>{Fatovich, 1997, 237} LOE1, Fair Quality, Neutral - ED RCT looking at the use of Mg vs placebo for VF. Reported no difference in ROSC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Studies looking at the use of Bretylium in adult cardiac arrest:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>{Nowak, 1981, 404} LOE1, Fair Quality, Supporting – ED RCT, looking at the use of Bretylium vs placebo for all cardiac arrest rhythms. Found improved survival to admission for bretylium</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Studies looking at the use of Procainamide &amp; Lidocaine in adult cardiac arrest:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>{Gorgels, 1996, 43} LOE5, Fair Quality, Supporting - Inhospital, randomized prospective, looking at the use of Procainamide vs Lidocaine for sustained VT. Reported improved termination of VT with Procainamide. Not all patients were in cardiac arrest.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Studies looking at the use of Bretylium &amp; Amiodarone in adult cardiac arrest:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>{Kowey, 1995, 3255} LOE5, Fair Quality, Neutral – Inhospital, prospective trial, looking at the use of Bretylium &amp; Amiodarone for unstable VT or VF. However not all patients were in cardiac arrest. Reported no difference in survival to 48h.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Studies looking at the use of Limbic &amp; Amiodarone in adult cardiac arrest:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>{Dorian, 2002, 884} LOE1, Good Quality, Supporting – OHCA RCT looking at the use of Amiodarone vs Lidocaine for VF Reported improved survival to admission with Amiodarone.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>{Rea, 2006, 1617} LOE4, Fair Quality, Neutral – Inhospital, retrospective review, looking at the use of Amiodarone vs Lidocaine for VF Reported no difference in survival to 24h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>{Pollak, 2006, 199} LOE4, Fair Quality, Neutral – Inhospital, retrospective review, looking at the use of Amiodarone vs Lidocaine for VF. Reported no difference in survival.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>{Somberg, 2002, 853} LOE 5, Fair Quality, Supporting – Inhospital RCT, looking at the use of Amiodarone vs Lidocaine for VT. Reported improved survival to 1h with Amiodarone</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Studies looking at the use of Lomibic-procainamide-bretylium in adult cardiac arrest:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>{Stiell, 1995, 264} LOE4, Fair Quality, Neutral –Inhospital, retrospective review, looking at the use of antiarrhythmics for VF. Reported increased survival to 1h with procainamide, but no difference compared to patients who did not receive anti arrhythmics drugs with bretylium and lidocaine.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>{Nademarée, 2000, 742} LOE 5, Fair Quality, Opposing – Inhospital, controlled trial, looking at the use of antiarrhythmics vs sympathetic blockade for prevention of VF. Reported decreased survival with antiarrhythmics compared to sympathetic blockade.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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LOE1, Good Quality, Neutral , – Prehospital RCT looking at the use of Mg vs placebo for VF Reported no difference in ROSC


Randomized double-blind trial comparing amiodarone (n=180) with lidocaine (n=167) for refractory VF/VT demonstrating that amiodarone leads to substantially higher rates of survival to hospital admission. Refractory VF was defined as VF that did not terminate after a series of 3 shocks, epinephrine and fourth shock or VF that occurred for the first time when their initial cardiac arrest rhythm was asystole or PEA. The mean time interval from arrest to drug administration was 25 minutes. The treatment groups had similar clinical profiles. Following administration of amiodarone 22.8% of patients were admitted alive, as compared to 12.0% in the lidocaine group (p=0.009; odds ratio, 2.17). However, there was no difference in survival to hospital discharge. Among the 41 patients who survived to hospital admission after receiving amiodarone, 9 (5 percent of the entire group) survived to hospital discharge, as compared with 5 of the 20 initial survivors in the lidocaine group (3 percent of the entire group, P= 0.34). In addition, there was no placebo group, thus whether amiodarone was beneficial or lidocaine harmful could not be ascertained.

LOE 1, good quality, neutral for question which includes all antiarrhythmic drugs, but does show superiority of amidarone over lidocaine, B


LOE1, Fair Quality, Neutral - ED RCT looking at the use of Mg vs placebo for VF. Reported no difference in ROSC


LOE 5, Fair Quality, Supporting - Inhospital, randomized prospective, looking at the use of Procainamide vs Lidocaine for sustained VT, not cardiac arrest. Reported improved termination of VT with Procainamide.

LOE4, Fair Quality, Opposing - OHCA, retrospective review, looking at the use of antiarrhythmics for VF. Reported that use of procainamide & quinidine was associated with decreased survival.


LOE1, Good Quality, Neutral – Prehospital RCT looking at the use of Mg vs placebo for VF Reported no difference in ROSC


LOE5, Good Quality, Neutral – OHCA, randomised trial, looking at the use of Bretylium vs Lidocaine for VF. Reported no difference in survival


LOE4, Fair Quality, Supporting – A retrospective study of the use of lidocaine in cardiac arrest. There was an inherent bias in who received lidocaine in this study because only ambulances with nurses on board could give lidocaine in the field. Yet the patients receiving lidocaine were more likely to survive to hospital admission, but not to hospital discharge.


20 year retrospective review of survival in cardiac arrest. In multivariate analysis those give lidocaine in the ED had an improvement in survival (odds ratio of 1.64; 95% CI of 1.12 to 2.10).

LOE 4, retrospective, fair quality, supportive C


LOE1, Fair Quality, Neutral – Small OHCA RCT looking at the use of Lidocaine vs Sotalol for VF. Reported no difference in ROSC.


LOE5, Fair Quality, Neutral – Inhospital, prospective trial, looking at the use of Bretylium & Amiodarone for unstable VT or VF. However not all patients were in cardiac arrest. Reported no difference in survival to 48h.

LOE1, Good Quality, Supporting *Double-blind randomized controlled trial of amiodarone vs placebo in OOH VT or VF arrest resistant to 3 defibrillatory shocks. This study demonstrated an improved survival to hospital admission in patients administered amiodarone compared to placebo. Baseline characteristics of the 2 groups were similar (amiodarone n=246, placebo n=258). Elapsed time from arrest to amiodarone administration averaged 21.4 minutes. Post-ROSC hypotension or bradycardia were more frequent in the amiodarone group. Odds ratio favoring amiodarone for hospital admission was 1.6 (p=0.02). There was no difference in survival to hospital discharge (13.4 to 13.2%).*


LOE5, Fair Quality, Neutral - *Trial in which in-patients with recurrent sustained hypotensive VT or VF who had failed treatment with procainamide, lidocaine and bretylium were given one of three doses of IV amiodarone. Of 273 patients 40% survived 24 hours without another arrhythmic episode. There was no clear difference between the three different doses of amiodarone.*


LOE 5, Fair Quality, Opposing – *Inhospital, controlled trial, looking at the use of antiarrhythmics vs sympathetic blockade for prevention of VF. Reported decreased survival with antiarrhythmics compared to sympathetic blockade.*


LOE1, Fair Quality, Supporting – *ED RCT, looking at the use of Bretylium vs placebo for all cardiac arrest rhythms. Found improved survival to admission for bretylium*


LOE3, Poor Quality, Supporting – *OHCA controlled trial, looking at the use of Lidocaine for VF. Ambulances manned with physicians who were allowed to use epinephrine, lidocaine and atropine were compared to ambulances manned without physicians. Survival was improved in those patients lucky enough to be cared for by a more advanced EMS system in which lidocaine was allowed. However, this study suffers from so many confounders that it offers little support for lidocaine*

LOE2, Good Quality, Neutral – OHCA, randomised trials, looking at the use of Bretylium vs Lidocaine for VF. Reported no difference in survival


LOE4, Fair Quality, Neutral A retrospective study of in-hospital arrest. Inclusion criteria was VT or VF arrest. Of 95 patients, roughly a third received amiodarone and the remainder chiefly lidocaine. In this small study there was no difference in survival between the groups given amiodarone vs lidocaine


LOE4, Fair Quality, Neutral – Inhospital, retrospective review, looking at the use of Amiodarone vs Lidocaine for VF Reported no difference in survival to 24h


LOE 4, Fair Quality, neutral, Retrospective case series of IV amiodarone use in Helsinki which shows that undiluted amiodarone can be used safely.


LOE 5, Fair Quality, A very small multicenter double-blinded, parallel-designed, randomized trial evaluating the effectiveness of amiodarone (Amio-Aqueous) and lidocaine on shock resistant VT (lidocaine as control) Amiodarone was superior to lidocaine in: (1) termination of the VT, (2) survival at 1 hour, (3) survival at 24 hours (primary end point). However, there was no placebo group thus it is not clear whether amiodarone was beneficial or lidocaine harmful


LOE4, Fair Quality, Neutral –Inhospital, retrospective review, looking at the use of antiarrhythmics for VF. Reported increased survival to 1h with procainamide, but no difference compared to patients who did not receive anti arrhythmic drugs with bretylium and lidocaine.

LOE2, Fair Quality, Neutral - Retrospective study evaluating 120 OOH cardiac arrest patients refractory to 3 shocks from a defibrillator, epinephrine and a 4th shock who then received nifekalant (a class III AAD) or lidocaine. Nifekalant administration was associated with better ROSC and 24 hour survival. However there was no control group, thus whether nifekalant was beneficial or lidocaine detrimental could not be ascertained.


LOE1, Fair Quality, Neutral - ICU, RCT, looking at the use of Mg vs placebo for VF. Reported no difference in ROSC


LOE 4, Fair Quality, Opposing - Small retrospective case series of patients with hemodynamically tolerated VT in which IV amiodarone terminated VT in 6/41 patients within 20 minutes, and 12/41 within 1 hour


LOE4, Fair Quality, Opposing – Inhospital, retrospective review, looking at the use of Lidocaine for VF. Reported decreased survival to 1h associated with lidocaine


LOE3, Fair Quality, Opposing - OHCA historical controls, looking at the use of lidocaine vs bicarbonate for VF. Reported decreased survival to admission with lidocaine
Intravenous Drug Administration During Out-of-Hospital Cardiac Arrest
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Intravenous access and drug administration are integral parts of cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) guidelines.1 Millions of patients have received epinephrine during advanced cardiac life support (ACLS) with little or no evidence of improved survival to hospital discharge.1,2 The use of epinephrine is based on preclinical evidence of increased cerebral and coronary perfusion by redirected peripheral blood flow.1,2 Beneficial short-term effects of epinephrine have been shown in animal studies,3-5 but there is increasing concern for increased myocardial dysfunction6,7 and disturbed cerebral microcirculation after cardiac arrest.8 Epinephrine was an independent predictor of poor outcome in a large epidemiological study, possibly due to toxicity of the drug or cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) interruptions secondary to establishing an intravenous line and drug administration.9

Objective To determine whether removing intravenous drug administration from an ACLS protocol would improve survival to hospital discharge after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest.

Design, Setting, and Patients Prospective, randomized controlled trial of consecutive adult patients with out-of-hospital nontraumatic cardiac arrest treated within the emergency medical service system in Oslo, Norway, between May 1, 2003, and April 28, 2008.

Interventions Advanced cardiac life support with intravenous drug administration or ACLS without access to intravenous drug administration.

Main Outcome Measures The primary outcome was survival to hospital discharge. The secondary outcomes were 1-year survival, survival with favorable neurological outcome, hospital admission with return of spontaneous circulation, and quality of CPR (chest compression rate, pauses, and ventilation rate).

Results Of 1183 patients for whom resuscitation was attempted, 851 were included; 418 patients were in the ACLS with intravenous drug administration group and 433 were in the ACLS with no access to intravenous drug administration group. The rate of survival to hospital discharge was 10.5% for the intravenous drug administration group and 9.2% for the no intravenous drug administration group (P = .61), 32% vs 21%, respectively, (P < .001) for hospital admission with return of spontaneous circulation, 9.8% vs 8.1% (P = .45) for survival with favorable neurological outcome, and 10% vs 8% (P = .53) for survival at 1 year. The quality of CPR was comparable and within guideline recommendations for both groups. After adjustment for ventricular fibrillation, response interval, witnessed arrest, or arrest in a public location, there was no significant difference in survival to hospital discharge for the intravenous group vs the no intravenous group (adjusted odds ratio, 1.15; 95% confidence interval, 0.69-1.91).

Conclusion Compared with patients who received ACLS without intravenous drug administration following out-of-hospital cardiac arrest, patients with intravenous access and drug administration had higher rates of short-term survival with no statistically significant improvement in survival to hospital discharge, quality of CPR, or long-term survival.

Trial Registration clinicaltrials.gov Identifier: NCT00121524

Context Intravenous access and drug administration are included in advanced cardiac life support (ACLS) guidelines despite a lack of evidence for improved outcomes. Epinephrine was an independent predictor of poor outcome in a large epidemiological study, possibly due to toxicity of the drug or cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) interruptions secondary to establishing an intravenous line and drug administration.
intravenous drug administration includes time-consuming factors like establishing intravenous access, preparation, and administration of drugs and saline, thereby potentially removing focus from good-quality CPR. There are recent reports of poor-quality CPR and protocol adherence among professional CPR providers, and some consider intravenous drug administration to be more important than giving good-quality chest compressions. With inadequate CPR quality, effects of drugs administered peripherally also may be diminished or absent. Because there are no randomized controlled studies showing improved survival to hospital discharge with any drugs routinely administered during CPR, we concluded such a study was warranted.

In this prospective, randomized controlled trial of intravenous drug administration during out-of-hospital cardiac arrest, we compared outcomes for patients receiving standard ACLS with intravenous drug administration (control) and patients receiving ACLS without intravenous drug administration (intervention).

**METHODS**

The city of Oslo has a single-tiered emergency medical service system administered by the Oslo University Hospital for a population of 540,000. On weekdays between 7:30 AM and 10:00 PM, an ambulance staffed by 2 paramedics and an anesthesiologist functions on the same level as the regular paramedic-staffed ambulances. Until January 2006, ACLS was performed according to the International Guidelines 2000, with the modification that patients with ventricular fibrillation received 3 minutes of CPR before the first shock and between unsuccessful series of shocks. The European Resuscitation Council Guidelines for Resuscitation 2005 were implemented in January 2006, incorporating this same modification of 3-minute periods of CPR. Defibrillators in manual mode are used and endotracheal intubation is standard for securing the airways. Two ambulances are routinely dispatched for suspected cardiac arrest. The physician-staffed ambulance is dispatched whenever available.

All hospitals in Oslo have goal-directed postresuscitation protocols including therapeutic hypothermia regardless of initial rhythm or arrest etiology. A prehospital 12-lead electrocardiogram is routinely transmitted to the cardiologist on call after return of spontaneous circulation (ROSC). If coronary angiography is indicated for possible percutaneous coronary intervention, patients are transported directly from the scene to the cardiac catheterization laboratory (no intravenous group). In the no intravenous group, intravenous access was to be established 5 minutes after ROSC, and drugs could then be given if indicated.

Exclusion criteria were (1) cardiac arrest witnessed by ambulance crew because these patients almost always have an intravenous needle in place at the time of the cardiac arrest, (2) resuscitation initiated or interrupted by physicians outside of the ambulance team, or (3) cardiac arrest induced by anaphylactic shock (which were the last criteria added in October 2006). The study was approved by the regional ethics committee. Informed consent for inclusion was waived as decided by this committee, but was required from survivors with 1-year follow-up.

**Study Design and Recruitment**

All patients older than 18 years with nontraumatic, out-of-hospital cardiac arrests between May 1, 2003, and April 28, 2008, were randomized by ambulance personnel on-site. Simple randomization occurred directly after ambulance personnel confirmed the cardiac arrest and then opened the sealed envelopes provided by the investigators. Patients were randomized to receive either ACLS with access to intravenous drug administration (intravenous group) or ACLS without access to intravenous drug administration (no intravenous group). In the no intravenous group, intravenous access was to be established 5 minutes after ROSC, and drugs could then be given if indicated.

Exclusion criteria were (1) cardiac arrest witnessed by ambulance crew because these patients almost always have an intravenous needle in place at the time of the cardiac arrest, (2) resuscitation initiated or interrupted by physicians outside of the ambulance team, or (3) cardiac arrest induced by asthma or anaphylactic shock (which were the last criteria added in October 2006). The study was approved by the regional ethics committee. Informed consent for inclusion was waived as decided by this committee, but was required from survivors with 1-year follow-up.

**Equipment and Data Collection**

Standard defibrillators (LIFEPAK 12 Physio-Control, Medtronic, Redmond, Washington) were used. Electrocardiograms with transthoracic impedance signals from these defibrillators were routinely transferred to a server at the National Competence Center for Emergency Medicine (Oslo, Norway) following cardiac arrest. Utstein cardiac arrest forms routinely completed by paramedics were submitted to the study supervisor along with a copy of the ambulance run sheet. Automated, computer-based dispatch center time records supplemented ambulance run sheets with regard to response intervals. For admitted patients, additional hospital records were obtained.

All trial data were documented according to the Utstein style. The primary end point was survival to hospital discharge. Secondary outcomes were 1-year survival, survival with favorable neurological outcome (using cerebral performance categories from 1 to 4), hospital admission with ROSC, and quality of CPR (ie, chest compression rate, pauses, and ventilation rate). The study was monitored annually with interim analysis by an external researcher who did not reveal any results to the investigators.

**Data Processing**

Data from each case were viewed and annotated using CODE-STAT 7.0 (Physio-Control, Medtronic) for detection of ventilations and chest compressions by changes in transthoracic impedance. Written information from patient report forms and locally adapted Utstein style forms were compared with typical changes in CPR patterns as shown using CODE-STAT 7.0. Initial rhythm assessment recorded on patient report forms were confirmed by these electrocardiographic recordings if possible. Time without spontaneous circulation, time without compressions during time without spontaneous circulation (hands-off time), pre-shock pauses, compression rate and actual number of compressions, and ventilations per minute were calculated.
lated for each episode. Hands-off ratio is defined as hands-off time divided by total time without ROSC. Electrocardiographic analysis was performed by 1 researcher (T.M.O.).

**Statistical Analysis**

Initial power analysis was based on survival statistics for the Oslo emergency medical service system and assumed that the survival rate would be doubled among patients not receiving epinephrine, as described previously in an observational study. With a projected survival rate of 7% in the intravenous group and 14% in the no intravenous group, 900 patients provided a power level of 91.4% with a type 1 error of 5%.

Analysis was performed on an intention-to-treat basis regardless of which treatment was actually given. Patients who were initially randomized, but were later found to meet predefined exclusion criteria were not included in the intention-to-treat analysis. Demographic and clinical data are presented as means with 95% confidence intervals (CIs), medians with ranges, or proportions. Crude effects between the 2 trial groups and survival were quantified by odds ratios (ORs) with 95% CIs. The χ² test for contingency tables with different degrees of freedom was used to detect associations between categorical independent variables. For continuous variables, the t test was used for normally distributed data and the Mann-Whitney test was used for nonnormally distributed data.

Confounders were identified and quantified by using the Mantel-Haenszel test for both short-term and long-term survival, and subsequent manual backward-elimination procedures were performed. Correlations between potential confounders were investigated. Comparison of Kaplan-Meier survival curves was obtained using the Breslow and log-rank test statistics for short-term and long-term survival, respectively.

Two-sided P values of less than .05 were considered significant. The statistical analyses were performed using the software packages SPSS version 15.0 and SamplePower version 2.0 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, Illinois) and Egret version 2.0.31 (Cytel Software Corporation, Cambridge, Massachusetts).

**RESULTS**

Resuscitation was attempted in 1183 patients who experienced cardiac arrest during the study period, and 851 of 946 eligible patients were successfully randomized with 418 patients in the intravenous group and 433 patients in the no intravenous access group. For reasons listed in **Figure 1**, 95 eligible patients were not randomized and further randomization and inclusion details are illustrated. Eligible, nonrandomized patients did not differ significantly from randomized patients with regard to demographic characteristics and outcomes.

Baseline demographic characteristics and CPR-quality parameters are listed in **TABLE 1**. Defibrillation was attempted in more patients in the intravenous group compared with the no intravenous group (47% vs 37%, respectively; OR, 1.16 [95% CI, 0.74-1.82]). More defibrillation shocks were delivered to those who received defibrillation in the intravenous group compared with the no intravenous group.

---

**Figure 1. Randomization Profile**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>474 Randomized to no intravenous administration group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>388 No intravenous drug administration established or administered as randomized</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 Intravenous drug administration occurred</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27 Restoration of spontaneous circulation and new cardiac arrest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 Hospital admission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Breach of protocol</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>442 Randomized to intravenous administration group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>344 Intravenous drug administration established and administered as randomized</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74 Intravenous drug administration not established prior to end of resuscitation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42 Restoration of spontaneous circulation before intravenous administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Inability to establish intravenous access</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Intravenous administration considered futile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 No explanation given</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>433 Included in primary analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>41 Excluded due to predefined exclusion criteria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 Bystander physician ordered treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 Cardiac arrest witnessed by ambulance crew</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Resuscitation not attempted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Traumatic etiology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Asthma-induced cardiac arrest</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>418 Included in primary analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>24 Excluded due to predefined exclusion criteria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 Cardiac arrest witnessed by ambulance crew</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Resuscitation not attempted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Traumatic etiology</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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(median, 3 [range, 1-22] vs 2 [range, 1-26], respectively; \( P = .008 \)). Both groups had adequate and similar CPR quality with few chest compression pauses (median hands-off ratio, 0.15 for the intravenous group and 0.14 for the no intravenous group) and the compression and ventilation rates were within the guideline recommendations (Table 1).

In the intravenous group, 44 of 418 patients (10.5%) survived to hospital discharge vs 40 of 433 (9.2%) in the no intravenous group (OR, 1.16; 95% CI, 0.74-1.82; \( P = .61 \)). Survival with favorable neurological outcome was 9.8% for the intravenous group and 8.1% for the no intravenous group (OR, 1.24; 95% CI, 0.77-1.98; \( P = .45 \)). Short-term survival was significantly better in the intravenous group than in the no intravenous group with 40% vs 25%, respectively, achieving ROSC (OR, 1.99; 95% CI, 1.48-2.67; \( P < .001 \)), 43% vs 29% admitted to the hospital (OR, 1.81; 95% CI, 1.36-2.40; \( P < .001 \)), and 30% vs 20% admitted to the intensive care unit (ICU) (OR, 1.67; 95% CI, 1.22-2.29; \( P = .002 \)) (Table 2). In-hospital treatments, including therapeutic hypothermia and percutaneous coronary intervention, were equally distributed between the 2 groups. There were no differences in cause of death among patients admitted to the ICU and most deaths were due to brain damage (Table 2).

Patients were divided into 2 predefined subgroups based on their initial rhythms (Table 3). In patients with an initial rhythm of ventricular fibrillation or pulseless ventricular tachycardia, there were no differences in short-term or long-term outcomes. In the subgroup with nonshockable rhythms (initial rhythm of asystole or pulseless electrical activity), the ROSC rate was 3-fold higher with intravenous treatment (\( P < .001 \)), but there was no difference in long-term outcome because the survival rate among those admitted to the ICU tended to be almost 3 times higher in the no intravenous group (\( P = .07 \); Table 3).

A public cardiac arrest location, response interval, and initial ventricular fibrillation were identified as potential confounders and were included in the logistic regression analysis. Multivariate logistic regression analyses for short-term survival (admitted to the ICU) and long-term survival (discharged from the hospital) were performed. After adjustment for confounders, patients in the intravenous group had a nonsignificant 15% increased chance of surviving to hospital discharge (adjusted OR [AOR], 1.15; 95% CI, 0.69-1.91) compared with patients in the no intravenous group. Patients with ventricular fibrillation or pulseless ventricular tachycardia as the initial rhythm had a 10-fold improvement in long-term survival (AOR, 10.47; 95% CI, 5.47-20.03). Patients with bystander-witnessed cardiac arrests or cardiac arrests in public places had a 2-fold improvement in long-term survival (AOR, 2.13 [95% CI, 1.02-4.45] and AOR, 2.03 [95% CI, 1.19-3.44], respectively), whereas the odds of long-term survival decreased by

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographic and Quality of Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation (CPR)</th>
<th>No Intravenous (n = 433)</th>
<th>Intravenous (n = 418)</th>
<th>( P ) Valueb</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, mean (SD), y</td>
<td>64 (17)</td>
<td>64 (18)</td>
<td>.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male sex, No. (%)</td>
<td>302 (70)</td>
<td>302 (72)</td>
<td>.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac etiology, No. (%)</td>
<td>305 (70)</td>
<td>300 (72)</td>
<td>.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location of arrest, No. (%)</td>
<td>238 (55)</td>
<td>237 (57)</td>
<td>.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public</td>
<td>159 (37)</td>
<td>144 (34)</td>
<td>.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>34 (8)</td>
<td>37 (9)</td>
<td>.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bystander witnessed, No. (%)</td>
<td>273 (63)</td>
<td>238 (58)</td>
<td>.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bystander basic life support, No. (%)</td>
<td>274 (63)</td>
<td>261 (62)</td>
<td>.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial rhythm, No. (%)</td>
<td>142 (33)</td>
<td>144 (34)</td>
<td>.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventricular fibrillation or pulseless ventricular tachycardia</td>
<td>228 (53)</td>
<td>192 (46)</td>
<td>.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asystole</td>
<td>63 (15)</td>
<td>82 (20)</td>
<td>.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulseless electrical activity</td>
<td>160 (37)</td>
<td>157 (38)</td>
<td>.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Response interval, mean (95% CI), min</td>
<td>10 (9-10)</td>
<td>10 (9-10)</td>
<td>.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intubation, No. (%)</td>
<td>363 (84)</td>
<td>368 (88)</td>
<td>.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intravenous drugs during resuscitation, No. (%)</td>
<td>42 (10)</td>
<td>343 (82)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epinephrine</td>
<td>37 (9)</td>
<td>330 (79)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atropine</td>
<td>20 (5)</td>
<td>194 (46)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amiodarone</td>
<td>17 (4)</td>
<td>69 (17)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defibrillation</td>
<td>160 (37)</td>
<td>194 (46)</td>
<td>.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of shocks when defibrillated, median (range)</td>
<td>2 (1-22)</td>
<td>3 (1-26)</td>
<td>.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrocardiogram available for analysis, No. (%)</td>
<td>329 (76)</td>
<td>314 (75)</td>
<td>.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPR duration, mean (95% CI), min</td>
<td>18 (17-19)</td>
<td>22 (20-23)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hands-off ratio, median (range)b</td>
<td>0.14 (0.01-0.59)</td>
<td>0.15 (0.02-0.89)</td>
<td>.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compression rate, mean (95% CI)b</td>
<td>116 (115-117)</td>
<td>117 (116-119)</td>
<td>.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compressions, mean (95% CI), minc</td>
<td>94 (93-117)</td>
<td>94 (92-117)</td>
<td>.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventilations, mean (95% CI), minc</td>
<td>11 (10-11)</td>
<td>11 (11-11)</td>
<td>.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freshen pause, median (range), s</td>
<td>11 (1-74)</td>
<td>12 (1-82)</td>
<td>.58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: CI, confidence interval.

\( ^a \)Data are missing for 80 patients in the group with advanced cardiac life support without intravenous access or administration (no intravenous) and 79 patients in the group with advanced cardiac life support and intravenous access and administration of drugs (intravenous).

\( ^b \)The differences between groups were analyzed using the \( \chi^2 \) test with continuity correction for categorical data and the \( t \) test or Mann-Whitney test for continuous data as appropriate.

\( ^c \)Indicates the proportion of time without chest compressions during the resuscitation effort.

\( ^d \)Indicates the rate of compressions when delivered.

\( ^e \)Indicates the average number of compressions actually given per minute during the resuscitation effort.

---
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17% for each minute of prolonged response interval (AOR, 0.83; 95% CI, 0.77-0.90). When adjusted for the same confounding factors, survival to ICU admission was higher for patients in the intravenous group (AOR, 1.78; 95% CI, 1.26-2.51).

The cumulative postcardiac arrest survival rate at 7 days was 14.6% (95% CI, 11.3%-17.9%) for patients in the intravenous group vs 12.8% (95% CI, 9.7%-15.9%) for patients in the no intravenous group, 11.3% (95% CI, 8.4%-14.2%) vs 8.8% (95% CI, 6.1%-11.5%), respectively, at 1 month, and 9.8% (95% CI, 6.9%-12.7%) vs 8.4% (95% CI, 5.9%-10.9%) at 1 year (FIGURE 2). Short-term survival was significantly higher for patients in the intravenous group compared with patients in the no intravenous group (Breslow P = .004), although there was no difference in long-term survival (log-rank P = .23).

**COMMENT**

Our results represent the first attempt, to our knowledge, to evaluate the effect of intravenous access and intravenous drug administration on outcome in patients with an out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. Short-term survival was higher in the intravenous group, but these nearly universally applied interventions were not associated with a statistically significant improvement in survival to hospital discharge.

Administration of intravenous drugs did not appear to interfere with the quality of CPR. Ambulance personnel delivered good-quality CPR with few pauses and with rates within guideline recommendations in both groups. This is important because potential improvements in intravenous medication administration during ACLS will not need to overcome an intrinsic tendency to degrade CPR.

We did not confirm the previous observational finding that intravenous epinephrine was an independent predictor for poor outcome. Our results are consistent with a multicenter study by Stiell et al22 that found no difference in survival after implementing intravenous drug administration during out-of-hospital cardiac arrest (OR, 1.1; 95% CI, 0.8-1.5).

Without differences in the predefined primary outcome, patients in the intravenous group received more defibrillations, were resuscitated for a longer period, and more frequently had ROSC. With similar and adequate CPR quality, this is likely due to the pharmacological effects of the drugs used (epinephrine, atropine, and/or amiodarone). This finding is consistent with previous animal studies with epinephrine,24 and even high-dose epinephrine,25 all of which documented improved short-term effects without improving long-term outcomes. While epinephrine can produce more spontaneously beating hearts in animal models, it is also associated with increased postresuscitation myocardial dysfunction that might partly explain these clinical observations.20,21 Negative postresuscitation effects of epinephrine also are reported to be more prominent after longer, more clinically relevant cardiac arrest periods (eg, 4-6 minutes) than short cardiac arrest periods (eg, 2 minutes).7 Moreover, an experimental study has recently documented detrimental effects of epinephrine on cerebral microcirculation.8

The clinical implications of an increased ROSC rate in the intravenous group are difficult to interpret. Should improved short-term outcome be regarded as unfulfilled potential that

---

**Table 2. In-Hospital Treatment and Outcome**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>No Intravenous (n = 433)</th>
<th>Intravenous (n = 418)</th>
<th>P Valuea</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Any ROSC during resuscitation</td>
<td>107 (25)</td>
<td>165 (40)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admitted to hospital</td>
<td>126 (29)</td>
<td>178 (43)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROSC</td>
<td>89 (21)</td>
<td>133 (32)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ongoing CPR</td>
<td>37 (9)</td>
<td>45 (11)</td>
<td>.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admitted to ICUb</td>
<td>88 (20)</td>
<td>125 (30)</td>
<td>.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awake at ICU admission</td>
<td>8 (9)</td>
<td>7 (6)</td>
<td>.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Therapeutic hypothermia</td>
<td>62 (70)</td>
<td>90 (72)</td>
<td>.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angiography or PCI</td>
<td>43 (49)</td>
<td>50 (40)</td>
<td>.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time in ICU, median (range), dC</td>
<td>6 (1-31)</td>
<td>4 (1-44)</td>
<td>.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cause of death in ICUd22</td>
<td>Brain</td>
<td>Cardiac</td>
<td>&gt;.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>26 (69)</td>
<td>52 (70)</td>
<td>&gt;.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8 (19)</td>
<td>12 (16)</td>
<td>.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Multiorgan failure</td>
<td>5 (12)</td>
<td>10 (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Discharged alive</td>
<td>40 (9.2)</td>
<td>44 (10.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebral performance score at discharge</td>
<td>30 (7.0)</td>
<td>37 (8.9)</td>
<td>.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 (good cerebral performance)</td>
<td>35 (8.1)</td>
<td>41 (9.8)</td>
<td>.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-2 (good cerebral performance to moderate cerebral disability)</td>
<td>5 (1.2)</td>
<td>4 (1.0)</td>
<td>&gt;.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 (severe cerebral disability)</td>
<td>3 (1.0)</td>
<td>3 (1.0)</td>
<td>&gt;.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 (coma or vegetative state)</td>
<td>2 (&lt;1.0)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discharged from hospital if admitted to ICU</td>
<td>40 (45)</td>
<td>44 (35)</td>
<td>.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alive 1 y after cardiac arresta</td>
<td>36 (8)</td>
<td>41 (10)</td>
<td>.53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CPR, cardiopulmonary resuscitation; ICU, intensive care unit; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention; ROSC, return of spontaneous circulation.

aThe differences between groups were analyzed using the x² test with continuity correction for categorical data and the Mann-Whitney test for number of days in the ICU.

bIncludes patients admitted to the ICU only.

cIncludes patients who died in the ICU only. Data are missing for 6, leaving 42 as the denominator in the group with advanced life support without intravenous access or drug administration (no intravenous), and 7, leaving 74 as the denominator in the group with advanced cardiac life support and intravenous access and administration of drugs (intravenous).

dIncludes patients in the no intravenous group and 1 patient in the intravenous group were lost to 1-year follow-up.
might be addressed with better post-
ROSC care, or unproductive resuscita-
tion of patients whose vital organ in-
jury makes them unlikely candidates for
long-term survival? In the present
study, most patients who died in the
hospital after initial successful resus-
citation in both groups had severe ce-
rebral damage. If present pharmaco-
logical interventions only facilitate
cardiac resuscitation in patients who
will ultimately experience irreversible
cerebral damage, this may cause an
additional burden on already overbur-
dened ICUs.

However, long-term survival can-
not be achieved without first restoring
circulation. Improved brain-directed
postresuscitation treatment might at
some point prevent irreversible cere-
bral damage and increase survival. At
present, the only established brain-
directed treatment is therapeutic hy-
perthermia,26,27 and the rate of which
was high in both groups (71% and 72%). It
is possible that for some patients in our
study with early postresuscitation car-
diac death, advanced options such as
mechanical chest compression de-
vices,28 extracorporeal membrane oxy-
genation,29 or left ventricular assist
devices30 could enable corrective treat-
ment of underlying causes and theo-
retically improve survival.

The results of our study highlight the
question of whether patients present-
ing with initial shockable rhythms and
nonshockable rhythms should be
treated differently. Initial shockable
rhythm was a potential effect modifier
in our statistical analysis, indicating that
the degree of benefit or harm of intra-
venous drug administration during car-
diac arrest may depend on the present-
ing rhythm. No differences in outcome
were found for patients with shock-
able rhythms, while patients with non-
shockable rhythms had higher rates of
ROSC in the intravenous group, but an
opposite tendency toward a lower rate
of survival to hospital discharge among
those admitted to the hospital. This sug-
gests that late toxicity after intrave-
nous drug administration contributes
importantly to the poor outcomes of
these patients.

Several studies have identified dissimi-
lar etiologies in subgroups with shock-
able and nonshockable rhythms,31-33 and
it seems reasonable that differences in
treatment strategies will emerge.34 Ret-
rospective subgroup analysis for cardiac
arrest times (<5 minutes, 5-10 minutes,
or >10 minutes) did not reveal any
suggestive information either alone or
combined with initial rhythm (data
not presented but available from authors
upon request). However, our study
was not powered for formal subgroup
analysis and no conclusions should be
drawn.

The present data indicating good-
quality CPR in both groups suggest that
the lack of improved long-term out-
come with ACLS with intravenous drug
administration cannot be explained by
poor-quality CPR.13 This does not ex-
clude the possibility that other drug regi-
mens might improve outcome. Early ad-
ministration, as recently advocated,35,36
must be evaluated in systems with shorter ambulance response intervals or
other intravenous drug regimens and
priorities that are different from the
present guidelines.

Our study has several limitations.
First, ambulance personnel could not
be blinded to the randomization.
Closely related to this, only patients
who were randomized to the no intra-
venous group could be monitored with
the lack of improved long-term outcome with ACLS with intravenous drug administration cannot be explained by poor-quality CPR. This does not exclude the possibility that other drug regimens might improve outcome. Early administration, as recently advocated, must be evaluated in systems with shorter ambulance response intervals or other intravenous drug regimens and priorities that are different from the present guidelines.

Our study has several limitations.
First, ambulance personnel could not
be blinded to the randomization.
Closely related to this, only patients
who were randomized to the no intrave-
nous group could be monitored with
regard to protocol compliance. If in-
travenous drugs were administered to
a patient in the no intravenous group,
vigilance of the study protocol could be
documented. If intravenous drugs were
not administered to a patient in the in-
travenous group, several valid reasons
could exist, such as rapid ROSC. We
have no reason to believe that person-
nel refrained from establishing intra-

### Table 3. Outcome for Subgroups With and Without Ventricular Fibrillation or Pulseless Ventricular Tachycardia Rhythms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>With Rhythms, No. (%)</th>
<th>Without Rhythms, No. (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Intravenous</strong> (n = 144)</td>
<td><strong>No Intravenous</strong> (n = 142)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any ROSC during resuscitation</td>
<td>85 (59)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>P Value</strong></td>
<td>.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admitted to hospital</td>
<td>94 (65)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>P Value</strong></td>
<td>.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admitted to ICU</td>
<td>74 (51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>P Value</strong></td>
<td>.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discharged alive</td>
<td>39 (27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>P Value</strong></td>
<td>.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discharged with CPC score of 1-2</td>
<td>37 (26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>P Value</strong></td>
<td>.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discharged if admitted to ICU</td>
<td>39 (53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>P Value</strong></td>
<td>&gt;.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CPC, cerebral performance score; ICU, intensive care unit; ROSC, return of spontaneous circulation.

*(The differences between the groups were analyzed using the χ2 test with continuity correction.)*

### Figure 2. Cumulative Survival for Up to 1 Year After Cardiac Arrest

[Graph depicting cumulative survival over time, with lines for patients with and without intravenous drug administration during cardiac arrest.]
Our results indicate that clinical equipoise exists on the efficacy of intravenous drugs in the treatment of cardiac arrest and that more definitive trials could be ethically undertaken. Alternatively, the poor survival rates after cardiac arrest, which do not seem to be significantly improved by intravenous drug administration, indicate that research should be directed at new pharmacological interventions that hold promise of greater effect.

**CONCLUSION**

Despite improved short-term survival among patients randomized to receive intravenous access and drug administration, these nearly universal interventions were not associated with a statistically significant improvement in survival to hospital discharge. Larger trials examining resuscitation without intravenous access and drug administration, as well as of existing or new drugs, appear to be justified.
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I have learned throughout my life as a composer chiefly through my mistakes and pursuits of false assumptions, not by my exposure to founts of wisdom and knowledge.

—Igor Stravinsky (1882-1971)
# Cardiac Arrest – VF/VT

## ADULT

### BLS Procedures

- If collapse before dispatch, complete 5 cycles (2 minutes) of CPR, then attach AED
- If witnessed, immediately attach AED
- Airway management per VCEMS policy

### Defibrillate

- Use the biphasic energy settings that have been approved by service provider medical director
- Repeat every 2 minutes as indicated

### IV or IO access

#### Epinephrine

- IV/IO – 1:10,000: 1 mg (10 mL) q 3-5 min

#### Lidocaine

- IV/IO – 1 mg/kg q 3-5 min
  - Max 3 mg/kg

### ALS Airway Management

- If unable to ventilate by BLS measures, initiate appropriate advanced airway procedures

### POST-CONVERSION

- If patient converts to narrow complex rhythm greater than 50 bpm and not in 2nd or 3rd degree heart block
  
  - **Lidocaine**
    - IV/IO – 1 mg/kg
      - If Lidocaine has already been administered, then withhold this dose
  
- If VF/VT stops, then recurs, perform defibrillation at the last successful biphasic energy setting

### Base Hospital Orders only

#### Tricyclic Antidepressants

- **Sodium Bicarbonate**
  - IV/IO – 1 mEq/kg
    - Repeat 0.5 mEq/kg q 5 min

#### Torsades de Pointes

- **Magnesium Sulfate**
  - IV/IO – 2 gm over 2 min
  - May repeat x 1 in 5 min

### Additional Information:

- If sustained ROSC (< 30 seconds), perform 12-lead EKG. Transport to SRC
- If patient is **hypothermic** – only ONE round of medication administration and limit **defibrillation to 6 times** prior to Base Hospital contact. Field determination of death is discouraged in these patients and they should be transported to the most accessible receiving facility
- Ventricular tachycardia (VT) is a rate > 150 bpm

## PEDIATRIC

### BLS Procedures

- If collapse before dispatch, complete 5 cycles (2 minutes) of CPR, then attach AED
- If witnessed, immediately attach AED
- Airway management per VCEMS policy

### Defibrillate

- If patient still in VF/VT at rhythm check, increase to 4 Joules/kg
- Repeat every 2 minutes as indicated

### IV or IO access

#### Epinephrine 1:10,000

- IV/IO – 0.01mg/kg (0.1 mL/kg) q 3-5 min

#### Lidocaine

- Every 3-5 min
  - IV/IO – 1 mg/kg
  - Max 3 mg/kg

### ALS Airway Management

- If unable to ventilate by BLS measures, initiate appropriate advanced airway procedures

### POST-CONVERSION

- If patient converts to narrow complex rhythm greater than 50 bpm and not in 2nd or 3rd degree heart block
  
  - **Lidocaine**
    - IV/IO – 1 mg/kg
      - If Lidocaine has already been administered, then withhold this dose
  
- If VF/VT stops, then recurs, perform defibrillation at the last successful biphasic energy setting

### Base Hospital Orders only

#### Tricyclic Antidepressants

- **Sodium Bicarbonate**
  - IV/IO – 1 mEq/kg
    - Repeat 0.5 mEq/kg q 5 min

### Additional Information:

- If sustained ROSC (< 30 seconds), perform 12-lead EKG. Transport to SRC
- If patient is **hypothermic** – only ONE round of medication administration and limit **defibrillation to 6 times** prior to Base Hospital contact. Field determination of death is discouraged in these patients and they should be transported to the most accessible receiving facility
- Ventricular tachycardia (VT) is a rate > 150 bpm
Chest Pain – Acute Coronary Syndrome

BLS Procedures

Administer oxygen if dyspnea, signs of heart failure or shock, or SAO2 < 94%
Assist patient with prescribed Nitroglycerin as needed for chest pain
  • Hold if SBP < 100 mmHg

ALS Prior to Base Hospital Contact

Perform 12-lead ECG
  • If ****ACUTE MI SUSPECTED**** is present, expedite transport to closest STEMI Receiving Center
  • Document all initial and ongoing rhythm strips and ECG changes
For continuous chest pain consistent with ischemic heart disease:
  • Nitroglycerin
    o SL or lingual spray – 0.4 mg q 5 min for continued pain
    • No max dosage
    • Maintain SBP > 100 mmHg
    o If normal SBP < 100 mmHg, then maintain SBP > 90 mmHg
  • Aspirin
    o PO – 324 mg

IV access
  • 3 attempts only prior to Base Hospital contact
If pain persists and not relieved by NTG:
  • Morphine – per policy 705 - Pain Control
    o Maintain SBP > 100 mmHg
If patient presents or becomes hypotensive:
  • Elevate legs
  • Normal Saline
    o IV bolus – 250 mL
    • Unless CHF is present

Communication Failure Protocol

One additional IV attempt if not successful prior to initial BH contact
  • 4 attempts total per patient
Ventricular Ectopy – PVC’s > 10/min, multifocal PVC’s, or unsustained V-Tach
  • Lidocaine
    o IV – 1 mg/kg
    • May repeat 0.5 mg/kg slow IVP q 5-10 min for continued ectopy
    • Max 3 mg/kg
If hypotensive and signs of CHF are present or no response to fluid therapy:
  • Dopamine
    o IVPB – 10 mcg/kg/min

Base Hospital Orders only

Consult ED Physician for further treatment measures

Additional Information:
  • Nitroglycerin is contraindicated when erectile dysfunction medications (Viagra, Levitra, and Cialis) have been recently used (Viagra or Levitra within 24 hours; Cialis within 48 hours). NTG then may only be given by ED Physician order
**Ventricular Tachycardia Sustained – Not in Arrest**

### BLS Procedures

Administer oxygen as indicated

### ALS Prior to Base Hospital Contact

**IV Access**

**Stable – Mild to moderate chest pain/SOB**
- **Lidocaine**
  - IV – 1 mg/kg
  - Rate of 50 mg/min

**Unstable – ALOC, signs of shock or CHF**
- **Midazolam**
  - IV – 2 mg
    - Should only be given if it does not result in delay of synchronized cardioversion
    - For IV use – Dilute 5 mg (1mL) Midazolam with 4 mL NS for a final volume of 5 mL concentration of 1 mg/mL
- **Synchronized Cardioversion**
  - Use the biphasic energy settings that have been approved by service provider medical director
  - If patient needs sedation and there is a delay in obtaining sedation medication
    - **Lidocaine**
      - IV – 1 mg/kg
      - Rate of 50 mg/min

**Unstable polymorphic (irregular) VT:**
- **Defibrillation**
  - Use the biphasic energy settings that have been approved by service provider medical director

**POST-CONVERSION**
If patient converts to narrow complex rhythm greater than 50 bpm and not in 2nd or 3rd degree heart block
- **Lidocaine**
  - IV – 1 mg/kg
  - If Lidocaine has already been administered, then withhold this dose

If recurrent VT, perform synchronized cardioversion at last successful biphasic energy setting

### Communication Failure Protocol

**Stable/Unstable:**
- **Repeat Lidocaine**
  - IV – 0.5 mg/kg q 5-10 min
  - Max 3 mg/kg
  - Hold if decreased cardiac output, significant liver dysfunction, or in patient > 70 years of age

### Base Hospital Orders only

**Torsades de Pointes**
- **Magnesium Sulfate**
  - IVPB – 2 gm in 50 mL D5W infused over 5 min
  - May repeat x 1 if Torsades continues or recurs

Consult with ED Physician for further treatment measures

### Additional Information:
- Early base hospital contact is recommended in unusual circumstances, e.g. Torsades de Pointes, Tricyclic OD and renal failure.
- Ventricular tachycardia (VT) is a rate > 150 bpm
Childbirth

BLS Procedures

Determine
- number of pregnancies (gravida)
- number of deliveries (para)
- due date (weeks of gestation)
- onset/duration/frequency/intensity of contractions
- if a rupture of membranes has occurred (including color/date/time)
- if any expected complications during pregnancy are present
- **Visualize to determine if the presence of is crowning or any abnormal presenting part at perineum**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROLAPSED CORD</th>
<th>OTHER PRESENTING PART</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cover cord with wet saline dressing</td>
<td>Elevate hips</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Place mother in left-lateral Trendelenberg position</td>
<td>Assist delivery while initiating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide constant manual pressure on presenting part to avoid cord compression</td>
<td>Code-3 transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Assist with breech delivery while supporting the infant's body (covering to maintain body warmth)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initiate Code-3 transport if there is partial delivery of the infant and no further progress after 1-2 minutes</td>
<td>Place mother in left-lateral Trendelenberg position</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Initiate Code-3 transport</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If the HEAD is crowning, prepare to assist mother with delivery –

- Guide baby out

**ONLY IF SECRETIONS, INCLUDING MECOMIUM, CAUSE AIRWAY OBSTRUCTION:** Suction mouth, then nose

- If meconium is present, suction mouth and nose thoroughly prior to drying and stimulating to breathe

- Dry and stimulate (rub gently, but briskly with warm towel)
- Note time of birth
- Double clamp cord and cut with sterile scissors between clamps
- Begin transport
  - *Do not* wait for placenta to delivery
  - If placenta delivery is present, assist and package, then gently massage fundus
  - *Do not* massage fundus until the placenta has delivered

**Fetal Newborn** assessment – at 1 minute and 5 minutes post-delivery

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A - Appearance</th>
<th>P - Pulse</th>
<th>G - Grimace (reflexes) (reflex irritability)</th>
<th>A - Activity (muscle tone)</th>
<th>R - Respiration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blue/Pale</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Limp</td>
<td>Absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pink w/ blue extremities</td>
<td>&lt; 100 bpm</td>
<td>Grimace</td>
<td>Some flexion</td>
<td>Slow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pink</td>
<td>&gt; 100 bpm</td>
<td>Cough/Cry/Sneeze</td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>Good cry</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**APGAR score**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>A</strong> - Appearance</td>
<td>Blue/Pale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>P</strong> - Pulse</td>
<td>Absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>G</strong> - Grimace (reflexes) (reflex irritability)</td>
<td>Absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>A</strong> - Activity (muscle tone)</td>
<td>Limp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>R</strong> - Respirations</td>
<td>Absent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ALS Prior to Base Hospital Contact**

IV Access

**Base Hospital Orders only**

Consult with ED Physician for further treatment measures

Additional Information

- If a patient is in an area where the most accessible hospital does not have obstetric services, consult with the Base Hospital for destination determination.
I. PURPOSE: To establish requirements for nurse-staffed ALS Units


III. POLICY: An ALS Ambulance Company may be approved to employ or contract with Registered Nurses to staff ALS inter-facility transports providing the company adhere to the outlined conditions. This policy applies to interfacility ground transports only.

IV. PROCEDURE:

A. Vehicle Staffing Requirements

1. One registered nurse, currently licensed to practice in the State of California, shall be added to the ALS Support team, and shall meet the following requirements:

a. RN with a minimum of two (2) years experience in a critical care area within the previous three (3) years, prior to employment with the ambulance provider.

b. Current BLS and ACLS certification from the American Heart Association.

c. Successful completion of an in-house orientation program sponsored by the provider agency.

d. For pediatric CCT's only: Pediatric Advanced Life Support (PALS), Pediatric Education for Prehospital Providers (PEPP) or Emergency Nurses Pediatric Course (ENPC).

e. Optional endotracheal intubation training.

If the nurse is ET trained, the nurse may be added to a BLS team for CCT purposes.

f. Certification in any one of the following: Certified Emergency Nurse (CEN); Critical Care Registered Nurse (CCRN); Mobile Intensive Care Nurse (MICN); Certified Flight Registered Nurse (CFRN), Certified Nurse Anesthetist; Post Anesthesia Recovery Nurse (PAR); may challenge/pass Ventura County MICN certification exam.
2. To maintain authorization as a CCT nurse, s/he will:
   a. Work a minimum of 384 hours in a critical care area (including time worked as a CCT RN) per year, unless employed full time as a critical care transfer nurse.
   b. If the nurse is ET trained, s/he shall obtain a minimum of three (3) successful intubations or demonstration of skills competency to the provider medical director every year.
   c. Maintain current ACLS certification.
   d. For pediatric CCT's only: PALS, PEPP or ENPC.

3. Nurses used to provide ALS in accordance with this policy, may be employed by the ambulance provider or be sub-contracted, at the provider's option.

4. Ambulance providers shall provide an internal orientation to EMT-Is and EMT-Ps participating in nurse-staffed ambulance transports.

B. Equipment:
   1. In addition of the items required by California Administrative Code, Title 13, the ambulance provider shall provide, at a minimum, the following equipment for nurse-staffed ALS units:
      a. ALS equipment (EMT-P Standard Drug & Equipment List)
      b. Manual defibrillator with external pacemaker
      c. Infusion pump(s)
      d. Back-up power source
      e. Pulse oximeter

C. Medical Direction: An agency providing CCTs shall have:
   1. Medical protocols to be followed by the RN at the ALS level which have been approved and signed by a Physician, and
   2. Either a
      a. Physician Director
         Provider shall have either full or part-time Physician Director qualified by training and/or experience and recent practice in emergency or acute critical care medicine. The candidate for Physician Director must be approved by the Medical Director. The Physician Director shall:
         1) Ensure the ongoing training of all medical personnel involved.
         2) Ensure the quality of patient transfers being conducted by the provider by conducting patient care audits.
         3) Be familiar with applicable patient transfer laws, or
      b. Nursing Coordinator
Provider shall have either full or part-time RN employed as Nursing Coordinator qualified by training and/or experience and recent practice in emergency or acute critical care nursing. The Nursing Coordinator shall:

1) Provide ongoing training of all medical personnel involved.
2) Ensure quality of patient transfers being conducted by the provider by conducting patient care audits.
3) Be familiar with applicable patient transfer laws

3. Procedures/Protocols

a. Each company providing nurse-staffed ALS units shall develop and maintain procedures for the hiring and training of nursing personnel and vehicle staffing.

b. Each provider must develop a manual clearly displaying:
   1. Malpractice insurance coverage.
   2. Identify and accessibility of the Physician Director and Nursing Coordinator.
   3. Vehicle inventory lists
   4. Copies of all related interfacility transfer paperwork
   5. Statement of responsibility of the sending physician for the patient during transfer and in accordance with COBRA and SB317 laws.
   6. Guidelines for change in patient destination due to patient condition
   7. Protocols (Standing Orders) based on ACLS, PALS/PEPP, or NALS guidelines.

c. Procedures and protocols shall be subject to review by the VC EMS.

4. CQI

a. The Physician Director and/or Nursing Coordinator shall be responsible for performing quality assurance outcome audits.

b. Patient transport record review shall be performed at least quarterly and involve the use of pre-established criteria.

c. All transports resulting in adverse patient outcome shall be reviewed and reported to the VC EMS Agency per Policy 150.

d. Periodic staff conferences on audit and outcomes are required in order to improve or revise protocols.

e. Records of all these activities shall be kept by the provider and be made available for inspection and audit by VC EMS.
f. Report (quarterly) to VC EMS. Reports are to include general statistics (number of runs, types of runs, outcomes, intubation statistics, incidents during which EMT-P assistance at ALS level is required).

5. Program Approval

Requests for approval must be made in writing sixty (60) days prior to anticipated service starting date, to the administrator of VC EMS, and must include:

a. Proposed identification and location of the nurse-staffed unit.
b. Procedures and protocols
c. Documentation of qualifications of the proposed Physician Director (if applicable).
d. Documentation of qualifications for the proposed Nursing Coordinator.
e. Preliminary plan for quality assurance audits.
f. Agreement to comply with all policies and procedures of VC EMS.

VC EMS shall notify the applicant in writing within ten (10) working days of lack of documentation. The applicant shall be notified in writing within thirty (30) days of receipt of complete package of approval or denial of the program.

6. Program Review

a. VCEMS may perform periodic on-site audits of records to ensure compliance with this policy.
b. Non-compliance with this policy may cause VC EMS to suspend or revoke approval to provide nurse-staffed ALS inter-facility transports.
A. Description

Title of Agenda Item: Policy 507: Critical Care Transports

Description of Item
Currently this policy requires that CCT vehicle staffing consists of an RN added to an ALS team. We believe the policy ought to allow for various levels of CCT staffing, for example, an RN with a BLS or ALS team. In many cases, an RN and one EMT is sufficient. About 30% of the CCTs done in this county are stable, long term vent-dependant patients going to a sub-acute facility. Another 20% are patients with no IV drips, no ventilator, but an RN is requested by the transferring facility. The RN will receive report from the transferring facility and determine the staffing level needed, according to company policy and procedures. Patients with an unstable airway will not be transferred. If a patient deteriorates enroute, the CCT ambulance can divert to closest emergency facility. If the ETA to that facility is greater than 10 minutes and the RN requires assistance, the ambulance will pull over and request assistance from an ALS unit.

B. Analysis

How will this enhance the Ventura County EMS System?
This procedure allows for a more appropriate utilization of resources. About half of the CCTs done are out of county which removes an ALS unit from service for a significant amount of time.

Advantages
- Less impact on 911 ALS response system
- Utilizes costly resources appropriately
- More options to staff the CCT unit
- Decreased response times for both CCTs and 911

Disadvantages
- Less people to help with patient care. However, this extra help has not proven to be necessary and the RN may always request more staff if he or she foresees a situation that may require it.
-No one ET trained in ambulance. However, most of the patients are intubated or trached already. A good BLS airway can be sufficient and the RN may request help if required.

Financial Impact
Utilizing appropriate resources is more cost effective.

Who has this item been presented to or reviewed by?
Dr. Salvucci.

Attach any proposals or supportive documentation to this form.

C. EMS Agency Review

Received by VC EMS Agency: _______________________

Reviewed by EMS Administrator: _______________________

Assigned to:

| Purpose: | _______________________
| Purpose: | _______________________
| Purpose: | _______________________
| Purpose: | _______________________

EMS Staff Review Summary
______________________________________________________________________
______________________________________________________________________
______________________________________________________________________
______________________________________________________________________

D. Disposition

☐ Add as PSC Agenda item on: _______________________
☐ Inadequate or incomplete information - return submission
☐ Not to be addressed at this time, resubmit in ____________________.
☐ Adopt item
☐ Refer to: (for review and comment)
  ☐ CQI Subcommittee
  ☐ EMD Subcommittee
  ☐ Prehospital Educators
  ☐ MCI Subcommittee
  ☐ Other: ___________________________

EMS Administrator Signature: _______________________
Date: __________
I. PURPOSE: To provide guidelines for the use of physical and chemical restraints during the course of emergency medical treatment or during an inter-facility transport (IFT) for patients who are violent or potentially violent to themselves or others.

II. AUTHORITY: California Health and Safety Code, Sections: 1797.2, 1798; California Code of Regulations, Title 22, Sections: 100075, 100147, 100160; California Administrative Code, Title 13, Section 1103.2.

III. DEFINITIONS:

A. Verbal Restraint: Any verbal communication from a pre-hospital provider to a patient utilized for the sole purpose of limiting or inhibiting the patient’s behavior.

B. Physical Restraint: Any method in which a technique or piece of equipment is applied to the patient’s body in a manner that reduces the subject’s ability to move his arms, legs, head, or body.

C. Chemical Restraint: Any pharmaceutical administered by healthcare providers that is used specifically for the purpose of limiting or controlling a person’s behavior or movement.

IV. POLICY:

A. Physical Restraint

1. Prior to use of physical or chemical restraints, every attempt to calm patient should be made using verbal, non physical means.

2. Perform a physical assessment and obtain a medical history as soon as safe and appropriate. Treat any underlying conditions per VCEMS 705 Treatment guidelines.

3. If necessary, apply soft physical restraints while performing assessment and obtaining history.

4. Padded soft restraints shall be the only form of restraints utilized by EMS providers.
5. Restraints shall be applied in a manner that does not compromise vascular, neurological, or respiratory status.

6. Extremities in which restraints are applied shall be continuously monitored for signs of decreased neurologic and vascular function.

7. Patients shall not be transported in a prone position. The patient’s position shall be in a manner that does not compromise vascular or respiratory status at any point. Additionally, the patient position shall not prohibit the provider from performing any and all assessment and treatment tasks.

8. Restraints shall not be attached to the hand rails of the gurney.

9. Handcuffs applied by law enforcement require that an officer remain with the patient whenever possible to ensure provider and patient safety and to facilitate removal of the restraint device if a change in the patient’s condition requires it.
   a. If the patient is restrained with handcuffs and placed on a gurney, two to three pairs of handcuffs shall be utilized to allow the patient’s arms to remain at his side. This facilitates easy access to the patient’s arms for vital sign assessment and medication administration. The patient should not be placed on gurney with hands or arms restrained behind patient’s back.
   b. In the event that the law enforcement agency is not able to accompany the patient in the ambulance, a law enforcement unit must follow the ambulance in tandem along a predetermined route to the receiving facility. A plan to address any problems that may arise while transporting the patient should be discussed with the following officer prior to leaving the scene.

B. Chemical Restraint

1. If while in restraints, the patient demonstrates behavior that may result in harm to the patient or providers, chemical restraint should be considered.
   a. Refer to VCEMS Policy 705: Behavioral Emergencies for guidance and administration of appropriate chemical restraint.
   b. It is important again to investigate and treat possible underlying causes of erratic behavior (e.g. hypoglycemia, trauma, meningitis).

C. Required Documentation
I.1. Instances in which physical or chemical restraints are applied shall be documented according to VCEMS Policy 1000. Required documentation shall include:
   a. Type of restraint applied (e.g. soft padded restraint, midazolam, handcuffs by law enforcement)
   b. Reason restraints were utilized.
   c. Location on patient restraints were utilized
   d. Personnel and agency applying restraints.
   e. Time restraints were applied
   f. Every 10 minute neurologic and vascular checks

II.2. Base Hospital shall be notified in all circumstances in which physical and chemical restraints are utilized.
Facts about Primary Stroke Center Certification

The Joint Commission’s Primary Stroke Center Certification Program, launched in December 2003, was developed in collaboration with the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association (AHA/ASA). As of January 1, 2011, there are more than 800 certified primary stroke centers in 49 states. Certification is available only to stroke programs in Joint Commission-accredited acute care hospitals.

The Certificate of Distinction for Primary Stroke Centers recognizes centers that make exceptional efforts to foster better outcomes for stroke care. It is The Joint Commission’s first advanced certification program. Programs applying for advanced certification must meet the requirements for Disease-Specific Care Certification plus additional, clinically specific requirements and expectations. Certified primary stroke centers:

- Use a standardized method of delivering care based on the Brain Attack Coalition recommendations for establishment of primary stroke centers.
- Support a patient’s self-management activities.
- Tailor treatment and intervention to individual needs.
- Promote the flow of patient information across settings and providers, while protecting patient rights, security and privacy.
- Analyze and use standardized performance measure data to continually improve treatment plans.
- Demonstrate their application of and compliance with the clinical practice guidelines published by the AHA/ASA or equivalent evidence-based guidelines.

Certification process
On-site certification reviews are conducted by reviewers with expertise in stroke care. The certification decision is based on the evaluation of standards, clinical practice guidelines and performance measurement activities. Primary Stroke Centers that successfully demonstrate compliance in all three areas are awarded certification for a two-year period. At the end of the first year, the organization is required to attest to its continued compliance with standards and evidence of performance measurement and improvement activities. To maintain certification, the cycle repeats with an on-site review conducted every two years and a bi-annual submission of an acceptable assessment of compliance by the organization.

Standards
The standards are published in the Disease-Specific Care Certification Manual. They incorporate the “Recommendations for the Establishment of Primary Stroke Centers” developed by the Brain Attack Coalition. The chapters address:

- Program management
- Delivering or facilitating clinical care
- Supporting self-management
- Clinical information management
- Performance improvement and measurement

Performance measurement
Certified primary stroke centers must collect and report on eight National Inpatient Hospital Quality Measures for stroke. Data are submitted quarterly to The Joint Commission through the secure extranet site no later than 45 days following the end of the calendar quarter. The eight measures include:

- Venous thromboembolism (VTE) prophylaxis
- Discharged on antithrombotic therapy
- Anticoagulation therapy for atrial fibrillation/flutter
- Thrombolytic therapy
- Antithrombotic therapy by end of hospital day two
- Discharged on statin medication
• Stroke education
• Assessed for rehabilitation

The measures and definitions are in the Specifications Manual for National Hospital Inpatient Quality Measures. Certified programs must use the current version of the manual which is updated twice yearly. Future versions of the manual are posted six months prior to the effective date. Revisions called "Release Notes" show all the changes made to a particular version. Questions about core measure specifications can be submitted to the Performance Measurement Q&A Forum.

The measures have been endorsed by the National Quality Forum and approved as a core measure set for use in the Joint Commission’s ORYX program. For more information, go to the website.

Government recognition of Joint Commission Primary Stroke Center Certification
As of January 1, 2011, 11 states require or recognize The Joint Commission’s Primary Stroke Center Certification for designation as a primary stroke center. These include Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Maryland, North Dakota, Oklahoma, Rhode Island, Texas, Virginia and Washington. For more information about government recognition, contact Jen Hoppe, associate director, State Relations, (630) 792-5261.

Information available to the public
Quality Reports for Joint Commission certified Primary Stroke Centers are available on the Quality Check™ website.

For more information about Primary Stroke Center certification, please visit the website, contact the Disease-Specific Care Certification Program, or call (630) 792-5291.
May 19, 2011

Daniel Smiley  
Interim Director  
Emergency Medical Services Authority  
10901 Gold Center Drive, Suite 400  
Rancho Cordova, CA 95670-6073

Dear Mr. Smiley:

Please accept this 18-month report from Dr. David Chase and myself on the trial study “Early use of the King Airway and impedance threshold device by basic and advanced life support personnel in the treatment of adult patients with out-of-hospital cardiac arrest.”

Please let me know if you need additional information.

Sincerely;

Angelo Salvucci, MD  
Medical Director

Cc: David Chase, MD, Ventura County Medical Center
Introduction:
The Ventura County EMS system has BLS and ALS first responders and ALS ambulance services. Cardiac arrest treatment protocols begin with 2-rescuer CPR with bag-mask ventilation (BMV) at a 30:2 compression:ventilation ratio and use of an AED. Paramedics continue 30:2 CPR with BMV, and include rhythm assessment, defibrillation, IV/IO medications, and advanced airway as needed.

In the 2005 American Heart Association (AHA) Guidelines for CPR and Emergency Cardiovascular Care (ECC), the impedance threshold device (ITD) was designated as Class IIa (Benefit >> Risk. It is reasonable to perform procedure/administer treatment).

- “Although increased long-term survival rates have not been documented, when the ITD is used by trained personnel as an adjunct to CPR in intubated adult cardiac arrest patients, it can improve hemodynamic parameters and ROSC (Class IIa).”

The King Airway (KA) is not specifically mentioned in the 2005 Guidelines, but two other perilaryngeal airways, the Combitube and Laryngeal Mask Airway (LMA) were also Class IIa.

- “Thus, it is acceptable for healthcare professionals to use the Combitube as an alternative to the endotracheal tube for airway management in cardiac arrest (Class IIa).”
- “It is acceptable for healthcare professionals to use the LMA as an alternative to the endotracheal tube for airway management in cardiac arrest (Class IIa).”

The objective of this trial study was to determine if a revision of EMS treatment protocols, to include early insertion of a KA and application of an ITD in adult (age >= 18) patients with out-of-hospital cardiac arrest, would increase the likelihood of survival with favorable neurologic function (CPC 1 or 2).

Methods:

Study Design
The trial is a prospective, non-randomized, historically controlled intervention study to evaluate the effect of the introduction of the KA and ITD into EMS cardiac arrest treatment protocols. All adult cardiac patients who met clinical criteria were included. Historical controls were all cardiac arrest patients over the 18 months prior to the intervention meeting the same criteria.

The trial study was approved by the Ventura County Medical Center (VCMC) Institutional Review Board. The VCMC IRB also approved the continuation of the trial on request for renewal at one year.
Setting
Ventura County, California. Population 800,000. EMS response with BLS and ALS fire department first responders and paramedic-staffed ambulances.

Duration
Control period: March 1, 2008 through August 31, 2009
Intervention period: September 1, 2009 through February 28, 2011
Proposed extension period: March 1, 2011 through August 31, 2012

Inclusion criteria
All patients who are presumed to be 18 years of age or older and sustain a non-traumatic cardiac arrest.

Exclusion criteria
Patients less than 18 years of age, traumatic cardiac arrest and patients that had return of spontaneous circulation (ROSC) prior to KA insertion attempt.

Training
All EMTs and paramedics in Ventura County that treat EMS patients attended an in-service training that included the use of the KA and ITD. Training materials and personnel were provided by Ventura County Fire Protection District (VCFPD) and the ITD device manufacturer (Advanced Circulatory Systems, Minneapolis, MN). Train-the-trainer sessions were conducted for individual service providers. The two hour training session taught field providers the indications, contraindications, use and trouble shooting of the ITD. For BLS personnel, training included the indications, contraindications, placement, trouble shooting and use of the KA. ALS personnel had received training on use of the KA prior to the study. Also included in the training was a review of the 2005 AHA Guidelines as well as reinforcement of a team approach and how to work together when using the device.

Protocol
Treatment protocols were revised.
Prior to the trial the cardiac arrest protocol included:
   BLS:
   - CPR with BMV at 2 ventilations/30 compressions
   - AED analysis, shock as indicated
   ALS:
   - Advanced airway after IV, medications, earlier if unable to ventilate

Trial protocol for the first 9 months included:
   BLS/ALS:
   - Chest compressions at 100/min
   - Insert KA and attach ITD
   - Asynchronous ventilations at 10/min
   - AED analysis, shock as indicated
   - If ROSC, remove ITD
Trial protocol for the second 9 months included:

BLS/ALS:
- Chest compressions at 100/min
- BMV and attach ITD
- 30:2 compression:ventilation ratio

Hospital care
The KA and ITD remained in place until the patient’s care was transferred to hospital personnel. All hospital respiratory therapy and emergency department personnel were advised of the study.

Primary Outcome Measure
Survival to Hospital Discharge with CPC 1 or 2

Data Collection
The following data was collected on all patients:
- name (for hospital follow-up)
- sex
- age
- incident number
- date
- time of arrest
- etiology of arrest
- time of first CPR
- time of defibrillation (if applicable)
- bystander witnessed/EMS witnessed/not witnessed
- initial rhythm
- airway management (BVM only, KA, ETT)
- waveform capnography / initial ETCO2 in mmHg values
- return of spontaneous circulation (ROSC) (Y,N)
- time of spontaneous circulation (ROSC)
- timing light use
- admission to hospital
- outcome
  - died in hospital
  - survived to discharge
    - cerebral performance category
    - overall performance category

Patient care reports and equipment use forms were submitted by all prehospital care providers to the EMS Division of VCFPD, in a paperwork-equipment exchange system. VCFPD secured all data received from hospitals regarding survival outcomes of study patients. All records regarding this trial have been maintained in a locked file and or secured electronic data base which can be accessed by the EMS quality managers involved in the study.
Results:

Table 1

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EMTs trained</td>
<td>484</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paramedics trained</td>
<td>230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMT King Airway insertion attempts</td>
<td>168 total patients, 1 attempt only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMT King Airway insertion success</td>
<td>111 (66%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM King Airway insertion attempts</td>
<td>227 total patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM King Airway insertion success</td>
<td>159 1st attempt, 13 2nd attempt = 172 (76%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complications</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20: Unable to insert: Emesis in oropharynx</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48: Unable to insert: No emesis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29: Unable to ventilate after insertion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21: Emesis in airway section of device</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EMT King Airway insertion success rate was 66% and paramedic was 76%. Complications are listed in Table 1.

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VT/VF</td>
<td>35 (35%)</td>
<td>77 (27%)</td>
<td>62 (25%)</td>
<td>43 (18%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AS</td>
<td>53 (52%)</td>
<td>126 (45%)</td>
<td>127 (51%)</td>
<td>138 (58%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEA</td>
<td>14 (14%)</td>
<td>78 (28%)</td>
<td>56 (23%)</td>
<td>58 (24%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROSC</td>
<td>28 (27.7%)</td>
<td>101 (35.9%)</td>
<td>88 (35.6%)</td>
<td>82 (34.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D/C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alive</td>
<td>10 (9.9%)</td>
<td>34 (12.1%)</td>
<td>29 (11.7%)</td>
<td>22 (9.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPC 1/2</td>
<td>9 (8.9%)</td>
<td>29 (10.3%)</td>
<td>18 (7.3%)</td>
<td>20 (8.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPC 3/4</td>
<td>1 (1.0%)</td>
<td>5 (1.8%)</td>
<td>11 (4.5%)</td>
<td>2 (0.8%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N = All cardiac arrests, cardiac etiology

487 patients were enrolled in the intervention period (247 in the first 9 months, 240 in the second 9 months) and results were compared to the 382 patients in the prior 18 month control period.

During the first 9-month intervention period, results for ROSC (33.8% vs. 35.6%) and overall survival (11.5% vs. 11.7%) remained essentially unchanged from the control period.

There was a trend toward a reduction in the proportion of patients with CPC 1 and 2 scores (9.9% to 7.3%) and an increase in CPC 3 and 4 (1.6% to 4.5%). These differences were not statistically significant.

The KA was discontinued after the first 9 month intervention period and the protocol changed to include 2-hand mask grip for BMV ventilation with an ITD.

In the second 9-month period the overall survival was 9.2% with a CPC 1 and 2 proportion of 8.3%.
Discussion:
In the first 9 months there was no improvement in the overall survival or proportion of patients with CPC 1 or 2 with use of the KA and ITD. The KA was removed from the BLS protocol and used by paramedics only if unable to ventilate and unable to insert an endotracheal tube.

The King Airway is a Class IIa device in the 2010 AHA Guidelines (2):
- During CPR performed by providers trained in its use, the supraglottic airway is a reasonable alternative to bag-mask ventilation (Class IIa, LOE B) and endotracheal intubation (Class IIa, LOE A).

However, this recommendation is based upon studies that reported ability to insert the device and to adequately ventilate patients (3-5). We were unable to identify a study that evaluated the effect of the device on cardiac arrest survival with favorable neurologic outcome.

A possible explanation for the observed increase in patients with hospital discharge CPC scores of 3 and 4 is the potential for reduced brain circulation from carotid artery compression by the KA oropharyngeal balloon. Carotid bulb compression with the LMA has been shown to decrease carotid blood flow in anesthetized normotensive adults (6), and this effect would be expected to be more prominent in the low-flow setting. Further investigation is needed.

The ITD is a Class IIb (Benefit >= Risk, Procedure/treatment may be considered) device in the 2010 AHA Guidelines (2):
- “The use of the ITD may be considered by trained personnel as a CPR adjunct in adult cardiac arrest (Class IIb, LOE B).”

Preliminary results (7) from the ROC PRIMED study (8) indicate that the ITD did not improve survival. However, the trial was conducted in a number of sites with varying protocols, many of them different than in Ventura County, and the individual site results are yet not published. Two recent studies conclude that the ITD, as part of a bundle of care with standard CPR (9) and active compression-decompression CPR (10) is associated with improved cardiac arrest survival.

Limitations:
The sample size for the first 9 months of the trial was insufficient to have statistically significant results for the KA/ITD portion. The IRB approval was to evaluate whether the change in protocol resulted in an improvement in care, and, when it was clear that there was no patient benefit, it was necessary to revise the protocol.

Similarly, there are no statistically significant results for the ITD portion of the study at this time, and data collection is continuing.

Hospital care has changed during the trial that will affect the analysis. All patients with ROSC are now triaged to a STEMI Receiving Center to be evaluated for therapeutic hypothermia.

Conclusion/Recommendations:
We did not find a benefit from use of the King Airway as a routine device and will continue to use bag-mask ventilation and endotracheal intubation as indicated.

The potential benefit of the impedance threshold device is not yet known and should be further evaluated.

We recommend that the impedance threshold device portion of the trial be continued for an additional 18 months.
References:


I. PURPOSE: To define child, dependent adult and elder abuse and outline the required reporting procedure for prehospital care personnel in all cases of suspected child, dependent adult and elder abuse.

II. AUTHORITY: Welfare and Institutions code Section 15630-15632

III. POLICY: EMS Provider will report all suspected cases of abuse.

IV. DEFINITIONS:
A. "Abuse of an elder or a dependent adult" means physical abuse, neglect, intimidation, cruel punishment, fiduciary abuse, abandonment, isolation, or treatment with resulting physical harm or pain or mental suffering, or the deprivation by a care custodian of goods and services which are necessary to avoid physical harm or mental suffering.

1. "Isolation" means any of the following:
   a. Acts intentionally committed for the purpose of preventing, and that do serve to prevent, an elder or dependent adult from receiving his or her mail or telephone calls.

   Telling a caller or prospective visitor that an elder or dependent adult is not present, or does not wish to talk with the caller, or does not wish to meet with the visitor, where the statement is false, is contrary to the express wishes of the elder or the dependent adult, whether he or she is competent or not, and is made for the purpose of preventing the elder or dependent adult from having contact with family, friends, or concerned persons.

   False imprisonment, as defined in Section 236 of the Penal Code.

   Physical restraint of an elder or dependent adult for the purpose of preventing the elder or dependent adult from meeting with visitors.

   b. The acts set forth in paragraph a. shall be subject to a rebuttal
presumption that they do not constitute isolation if they are performed pursuant to the instructions of a physician licensed to practice medicine in the State of California, who is caring for the elder or dependent adult at the time the instructions are given, and who gives the instructions as part of his or her medical care.

c. The acts set forth in paragraph a. shall not constitute isolation if they are performed in response to a reasonably perceived threat of danger to property or physical safety.

2. "Child" means any person under the age of 18 years.

3. "Child abuse" means physical injury which is inflicted by other than accidental means on a child by another person....sexual assault of a child....neglect of a child or abuse in out-of-home care.

4. "Dependent Adult" means any person residing in this state between the ages of 18 and 64, who has physical or mental limitations which restrict his or her ability to carry out normal activities or to protect his or her rights including, but not limited to, persons who have physical or developmental disabilities or whose physical or mental abilities have diminished because of age.

5. “Dependent adult” includes any person between the ages of 18 and 64 years who is admitted as an inpatient to a 24-hour health facility, as defined in Sections 1250, 1250.2, and 1250.3 of the Health and Safety Code.

6. "Elder" means any person residing in this state, 65 years of age or older"

7. “Health practitioner” means a physician and surgeon, psychiatrist, psychologist, dentist, resident, intern, podiatrist, chiropractor, licensed nurse, dental hygienist, licensed clinical social worker or associate clinical social worker, marriage, family, and child counselor, or any other person who is currently licensed under Division 2 (commencing with Section 500) of the Business and Professions Code, any emergency medical technician I or II, paramedic, or person certified pursuant to Division 2.5 (commencing with Section 1797) of the Health and Safety Code, a psychological assistant registered pursuant to Section 2913 of the Business and Professions Code, a marriage, family, and child counselor trainee, as defined in subdivision © of Section 4980.03 of the Business and Professions Code, state or county public health or social service employee who treats an elder or a dependent adult for any condition, or a
coroner.

8. "Physical abuse means all of the following:
   a. Assault, as defined in Section 240 of the Penal Code
   b. Battery, as defined in Section 242 of the Penal Code
   c. Assault with a deadly weapon or force likely to produce great bodily injury, as defined by Section 245 of the Penal Code
   d. Unreasonable physical constraint or prolonged or continual deprivation of food or water.
   e. Sexual Assault, which means any of the following:
      1) Sexual battery, as defined in Section 243.4 of the Penal Code
      2) Rape, as defined in Section 261 of the Penal Code
      3) Rape in concert, as described in Section 264.1 of the Penal Code
      4) Incest, as defined in Section 285 of the Penal Code
      5) Sodomy, as defined in Section 286 of the Penal Code
      6) Oral copulation, as defined in Section 288a of the Penal Code
      7) Penetration of a genital or anal opening by a foreign object, as defined in Section 289 of the Penal Code.
   f. Use of a physical or chemical restraint or psychotropic medication under any of the following conditions:
      1) For punishment
      2) For a period significantly beyond that for which the restraint or medication was authorized pursuant to the instructions of a physician licensed in the State of California, who is providing medical care to the elder or dependent adult at the time the instructions are given.

9. "Reasonable suspicion" means that it is objectively reasonable for a person to entertain such a suspicion based upon facts that could cause a reasonable person in a like position, drawing when appropriate, on his or her training and experience, to suspect child abuse.

V. PROCEDURE:

1. Report by telephone to a county child or adult protective agency (Ventura County Human Services Agency at (805-654-3200) or to a local law enforcement agency immediately or as soon as possible. The telephone report shall include the
following:

a. Name, address, telephone number, and occupation of the person making the report
b. Name and address of the victim
c. Date, time and place of the incident
d. Other details, including the reporter's observations and beliefs concerning the incident
e. Any statement relating to the incident made by the victim
f. The name of any individuals believed to have knowledge of the incident
g. The name of the individuals believed to be responsible for the incident and their connection to the victim.
h. Present location of the child
i. Nature and extent of the injury
j. Information that led such person to suspect child abuse

2. Report in writing to the agency contacted by telephone within two working days of receiving the information concerning the incident.

3. When two (2) or more persons who are required to report are present and jointly have knowledge of a suspected instance of child, dependent adult or elder abuse, and when there is agreement among them, the telephone report may be made by a member of the team selected by mutual agreement and a single report may be made and signed by such selected member of the reporting team. Any member who has knowledge that the member designated to report has failed to do so, shall thereafter make such report.

4. The reporting duties are individual, and no supervisor or administrator may impede or inhibit such reporting duties and no person making such report shall be subject to any sanction for making such report. However, internal procedures to facilitate reporting and apprise supervisors and administrators of reports may be established provided that they are not inconsistent with the provisions of this article.
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Base Hospitals
Los Robles Hospital Medical Center
215 W. Janss Road
Thousand Oaks, CA 91360
(805) 370-4435

St. John’s Regional Medical Center
1600 N. Rose Ave.
Oxnard, CA 93030
(805) 988-2663

Simi Valley Hospital
2975 N. Sycamore Dr
Simi Valley, CA 93065
(805) 955-6100

Ventura County Medical Center
3291 Loma Vista Road
Ventura, CA 93003
(805) 652-6165

Receiving Hospitals
Community Memorial Hospital
147 No. Brent
Ventura, CA 93003
(805) 652-5018

Ojai Valley Community Hospital
1306 Maricopa Highway
Ojai, CA 93023
(805) 640-2260

St. John’s Pleasant Valley Hospital
2309 Antonio Avenue
Camarillo, CA 93010
(805) 389-5811

VCMC/Santa Paula Hospital
825 N. 10th Street
Santa Paula, CA 93060
(805) 933-8663
I. PURPOSE: To define levels of interfacility transfer and to assure that patients requiring interfacility transfer are accompanied by personnel capable and authorized to provide care.

II. AUTHORITY: Health and Safety Code, Sections 1797.218, 1797.220, and 1798.

III. POLICY: A patient shall be transferred according to his/her medical condition and accompanied by EMS personnel whose training meets the medical needs of the patient during interfacility transfer. The transferring physician shall be responsible for determining the medical need for transfer and for arranging the transfer. The patient shall not be transferred to another facility until the receiving hospital and physician consent to accept the patient. The transferring physician retains responsibility for the patient until care is assumed at the receiving hospital.

If a patient requires care during an interfacility transfer which is beyond the scope of practice of an EMT-1 or EMT-P or requires specialized equipment for which an EMT-1 or EMT-P is untrained or unauthorized to operate, and it is medically necessary to transfer the patient, a registered nurse or physician shall accompany the patient. If a registered nurse accompanies the patient, appropriate orders for care during the transfer shall be written by the transferring physician.

IV. TRANSFER RESPONSIBILITIES

A. All Hospitals shall:
   1. Establish their own written transfer policy clearly defining administrative and professional responsibilities.
   2. Have written transfer agreements with hospitals with specialty services, and county hospitals.

B. Transferring Hospital
   1. Maintains responsibility for patient until patient care is assumed at receiving facility.
   2. Assures that an appropriate vehicle, equipment and level of personnel is used in the transfer.
C. Transferring Physician
   1. Maintains responsibility for patient until patient care is assumed at receiving facility.
   2. Determines level of medical assistance to be provided for the patient during transfer.
   3. Receives confirmation from the receiving physician and receiving hospital that appropriate diagnostic and/or treatment services are available to treat the patient's condition and that appropriate space, equipment and personnel are available prior to the transfer.

D. Receiving Physician
   1. Makes suitable arrangements for the care of the patient at the receiving hospital.
   2. Determines and confirms that appropriate diagnostic and/or treatment services are available to treat the patient's condition and that appropriate space, equipment and personnel are available prior to the transfer, in conjunction with the transferring physician.

E. Transportation Provider
   1. The patient being transferred must be provided with appropriate medical care, including qualified personnel and appropriate equipment, throughout the transfer process. The personnel and equipment provided by the transporting agency shall comply with local EMS agency protocols.
   2. Interfacility transport within the jurisdiction of VC EMS shall be performed by an ALS or BLS ambulance.
      a. BLS transfers shall be done in accordance with EMT Scope of Practice per Policy 300
      b. ALS transfers shall be done in accordance with EMT-P Scope of Practice per Policy 310

IV. PROCEDURE:
   A. Non-Emergency Transfers
      Non emergency transfers shall be transported in a manner which allows the provider to comply with response time requirements.
   B. Emergency Transfers
      Emergency transfers require documentation by the transferring hospital that the condition of the patient medically necessitates emergency transfer. Provider agency dispatchers shall verify that this need exists when transferring hospital personnel make the request for the transfer.
1. The transferring physician will determine the patient’s resource requirements and request an inter-facility ALS, or BLS transfer unit using the following guidelines:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient Condition/Treatment</th>
<th>EMT</th>
<th>EMT-P</th>
<th>RN/RT/MD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. Vital signs stable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Oxygen by mask or cannula</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Peripheral IV glucose or isotonic balanced salt solutions running</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Continuous respiratory assistance needed (EMT-P scope management)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Peripheral IV medications running or anticipated (EMT-P scope)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f. EMT-P level interventions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g. Central IV line in place</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h. Respiratory assistance needed (outside EMT-P scope of practice)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i. IV Medications (outside EMT-P scope of practice)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>j. PA line in place</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k. Arterial line in place</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l. Temporary pacemaker in place</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m. ICP line in place</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n. IABP in place</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o. Chest tube</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p. IV Pump</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>q. Standing Orders Written by Transferring Facility MD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r. Medical interventions planned or anticipated (outside EMT-P scope of practice)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s. Thoracostomy tube attached to Heimlich valve</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. The transferring hospital advises the provider of the following:

a. Patient's name
b. Diagnosis/level of acuity
c. Destination
d. Transfer date and time
e. Unit/Department transferring the patient
f. Special equipment with patient
g. Hospital personnel attending patient
h. Patient medications

3. The transferring physician and nurse will complete documentation of the medical record. All test results, X-ray, and other patient data, as well as all pertinent transfer forms, will
be copied and sent with the patient at the time of transfer. If data are not available at the
time of transfer, such data will be telephoned to the transfer liaison at the receiving
facility and then sent by FAX or mail as soon thereafter as possible.

4. Upon departure, the Transferring Facility will call the Receiving Facility and confirm
arrangements for receiving the patient and provide an estimated time of arrival (ETA).

5. The Transferring Facility will provide:
   a. A verbal report appropriate for patient condition
   b. Review of written orders, including DNAR status.
   c. A completed transfer form from Transferring Facility.

V. DOCUMENTATION

A. Documentation of Care for Interfacility transfers will be done in accordance to Policy 1000.
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I. PURPOSE: To establish criteria for withholding or termination of resuscitation and
determination of death by prehospital EMS personnel.

II. AUTHORITY: Health and Safety Code, Division 2.5, Sections 1797.220,1798 and 7180.
   Government Code 27491 and 27491.2. California Code of Regulations, Title 22,
   Division 9, Section 100175.

III. POLICY: Prehospital EMS personnel may withhold or terminate resuscitation and determine
that a patient is dead, and leave the body in custody of medical or law enforcement
personnel, according to the procedures outlined in this policy.

IV. DEFINITION:
   1. Prehospital EMS personnel: Prehospital EMS personnel mean all responding
      EMT-Is and Paramedics, and flight nurses.
   2. Further Assessment: “Further assessment” refers to a methodical evaluation for
      signs/symptoms of life in the apparently deceased person. This evaluation includes
      examination of the respiratory, cardiac and neurological systems, and a
determination of the presence or absence of rigor mortis and dependent lividity. The
      patient who displays any signs of life during the course of this assessment may NOT
      be determined to be dead,
   3. Hospital: A licensed health care institution that provides acute medical care.
   4. Skilled Nursing Facility: A licensed health care institution that provides non-acute
      care for elderly or chronically ill patients, and has licensed medical personnel on
      scene (RN or LVN).
   5. Hospice: A care program into which terminally ill patients may be enrolled, to assist
      with the management of palliative care during the terminal stages of illness.

V. PROCEDURE:
   A. General Guidelines:
1. The highest medical authority on scene shall determine death in the field.
   a. If BLS responders have any questions or uncertainty regarding determination of death, BLS measures shall be instituted until arrival of ALS personnel.
   b. If ALS responders have questions or uncertainty regarding determination of death, ALS measures shall be instituted until base hospital contact is made and orders received.

2. Prehospital EMS personnel who have determined death in the field in accordance with the parameters of this policy are not required to make base hospital contact.

3. Prehospital EMS personnel who arrive on scene after the patient is determined to be dead shall not re-evaluate the patient.

**PATIENTS WHO ARE OBVIOUSLY DEAD**

Upon arrival, prehospital EMS personnel shall rapidly assess the patient. For patients suffering any of the following conditions, no further assessment is required. No treatment shall be started and the patient shall be determined to be dead.

- Decapitation,
- Incineration,
- Hemicorporectomy, or
- Decomposition.

**PATIENTS WHO APPEAR TO BE DEAD**

*(WITH Rigor Mortis and/or Dependent Lividity)*

B. Patients who are apneic and pulseless require further assessment as described in table 1.

1. If rigor mortis and/or dependent lividity are present, and if no response for all the assessment procedures indicates signs of life, the patient shall be determined to be dead.

2. Rigor mortis is determined by checking the jaw and other joints for rigidity.

3. Dependent lividity is determined by checking dependent areas of the body for purplish-red discoloration.
Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CATEGORY</th>
<th>ASSESSMENT PROCEDURES</th>
<th>FINDINGS FOR DETERMINATION OF DEATH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory</td>
<td>Open the patient’s airway. Auscultate lungs or feel for breaths while observing chest for movement for a minimum of 30 seconds.</td>
<td>No spontaneous breathing. No breath sounds on auscultation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac</td>
<td>Palpate the carotid artery (brachial for infant) for a minimum of 1 minute. Auscultate for heart sounds for minimum 1 minute. OR AL S ONLY- Monitor the patient’s cardiac rhythm for minimum of 1 minute. Check asystole in 2 leads. Obtain a 6-second strip to be retained with the EMS provider documentation.</td>
<td>No pulse. No heart sounds.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurological</td>
<td>Check for pupil response to light. Check for response to painful stimuli.</td>
<td>No pupillary response. No response to painful stimuli.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. While in the process of the assessment procedures, if any response indicates signs of life, resuscitation measures shall take place immediately.

2. **If rigor mortis and/or dependent lividity are present**, and if no response for all the assessment procedures indicates signs of life, the patient shall be determined to be dead.

**PATIENTS WHO APPEAR TO BE DEAD:**

(WITHOUT Rigor Mortis and/or DEPENDENT LIVIDITY)

C. Patients who appear to be dead but display no signs of rigor mortis and/or dependent lividity shall have the cause of apparent death determined to be **MEDICAL** (non-traumatic, including drowning, ingestion, asphyxiation, hanging, poisoning, lightning strikes, and electrocution), or **TRAUMATIC** (and injuries are sufficient to cause death).

1. **MEDICAL ETIOLOGY**: Resuscitation measures shall take place.

2. **TRAUMATIC ETIOLOGY**: Further assessment as defined in Table 1 shall be performed. If no response for all the assessment procedures, the patient’s age should be determined. (reasonable estimation appropriate if positive determination of age is not possible)

   a. For patients younger than 18 years of age, resuscitation measures, including transport to the hospital shall take place.

   b. For patients 18 years or older:

   1) **BLS RESPONDERS**:
a) If the time from initial determination of pulselessness and apnea until hospital arrival is estimated to be less than 20 minutes, resuscitation measures, including transport to the hospital shall take place.

b) If the time from initial determination of pulselessness and apnea until hospital arrival is estimated to be 20 minutes or more, the patient may be determined to be dead.

2) ALS RESPONDERS:

a). If the time from initial determination of pulselessness and apnea until hospital arrival is re-estimated to be less than twenty minutes, using a cardiac monitor, the patient's rhythm should be assessed.

1. If the rhythm is narrow complex PEA, wide complex PEA greater than 30 beats per minute, ventricular tachycardia or ventricular fibrillation, resuscitation measures, including transport to the hospital, shall take place.

2. If the rhythm is asystole or wide complex PEA at a rate of 30 beats per minute or slower, the patient shall be determined to be dead.

b.) If the time from initial determination of pulselessness and apnea until hospital arrival is estimated to be twenty minutes or more, the patient may be determined to be dead, regardless of cardiac rhythm.

D. Termination of Resuscitation

1. Base hospitals and EMS personnel should consider terminating resuscitation measures on adult patients (age 18 and older) who are in cardiopulmonary arrest and fail to respond to treatment under VC EMS Policy 705: Cardiac Arrest, Adult.

2. If resuscitation measures have been initiated, base hospital contact should be attempted before resuscitation is terminated and the patient determined to be dead.

3. If unable to make base hospital contact, resuscitation efforts may be terminated and the patient determined to be dead using the following criteria:
a. Patients without evidence of trauma who meet termination of resuscitation criteria in VC EMS Policy 705: Cardiac Arrest, Adult.

b. Patients with blunt or penetrating trauma if the cardiac rhythm is or becomes asystole or wide complex PEA at a rate less than 30 beats per minute.

4. In cases of cardiopulmonary arrest as a result of a lightning strike, electrocution or suspected hypothermia, CPR shall be performed for a minimum of one hour. **BLS responders in these circumstances shall make all reasonable attempts to access ALS care.**

E. Documentation

1. EMS personnel will document determination of death in the approved Ventura County Documentation System (AVCDS).

F. Disposition of Decedent’s Body

1. Deaths that occur in hospitals or skilled nursing facilities, or to patients enrolled in hospice programs, do not require law enforcement response. Under these circumstances the body may be left at the scene.

2. Deaths that occur anyplace other than a hospital or skilled nursing facility **except to patients enrolled in hospice programs**, must be reported to law enforcement personnel and the body must be left in their custody.
# Ventura County EMS Determination of Death

## DECAPITATION, INCINERATION, HEMICORPORECTOMY OR DECOMPOSITION?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NO</th>
<th>YES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>DOD</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## RIGOR OR LIVIDITY?

![Diagram of decision flow]

**RIGOR:** Check the jaw and other joints for rigidity. **LIVIDITY:** Check the dependent areas of the body for purplish-red discoloration.

### * FURTHER ASSESSMENT PROCEDURES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#1 Respiratory</th>
<th>BLS and ALS:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1. Open airway.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Auscultate lungs or feel for breaths, while observing the chest for 30 seconds.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#2 Cardiac</th>
<th>BLS:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1. Palpate carotid pulse for 1 minute. (Check brachial pulse in infants.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Auscultate heart sounds for 1 minute.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ALS:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1. Palpate carotid pulse for 1 minute. (Check brachial pulse in infants.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Monitor rhythm for 1 minute; check asystole in 2 leads. Print 6-second strip.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#3 Neuro</th>
<th>BLS and ALS:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1. Check pupils for response to light.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Check for response to painful stimuli.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## TRAUMATIC

Blunt or Penetrating Trauma (Sufficient to Cause Death)

### ANY RESPONSE TO FURTHER ASSESSMENT?*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>TREAT</strong></td>
<td><strong>DOD</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## MEDICAL

(Including Drowning, Ingestion, Asphyxiation, Hanging, Poisoning, Lightning Strike, Electrocution)

### ANY RESPONSE TO FURTHER ASSESSMENT?*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>TREAT</strong></td>
<td><strong>DOD</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## YOUNGER THAN 18 YEARS OF AGE?

### HOSPITAL ETA LESS THAN 20 MIN?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>TREAT</strong></td>
<td><strong>DOD</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Narrow complex PEA, Wide Complex PEA > 30/min, VT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>TREAT</strong></td>
<td><strong>DOD</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
I. PURPOSE: To define the indications and use of oral glucose by EMTs.


III. POLICY:
A. Oral glucose is to be used only if the patient meets the following criteria:
   1. The patient has a history of diabetes controlled by medication
   2. Shows signs or symptoms of altered mental status.
   3. The patient is conscious, able to swallow and protect their airway (intact gag reflex).

IV. PROCEDURE:
A. The following instructions should be followed:
   1. Check the expiration date of the oral glucose
   2. Monitor patient’s airway closely during administration
   3. Administer the entire tube in small increments
      a. Squeeze small portions of the oral glucose into the mouth between the cheek and gum or
      b. Place small portions of the oral glucose on a tongue depressor and deposit the medication between the cheek and gum
   4. Lightly massage the cheek to increase absorption; the medication should not be swallowed.
   5. If the patient loses consciousness or seizes, stop administration, consider suctioning.
6. Reassess the patient for improvement in mental status
7. Document the patient’s assessment, the time and amount of medication administered and patient’s reassessment.
I. PURPOSE: To establish a procedure for locating, identifying, and transporting medications in order to assist in the prompt and accurate hospital evaluation and treatment of patients.


III. POLICY:

A. Reasonable efforts are to be made to determine the essential information for all medications: name, strength, dose, route, frequency, and time of last dose.

B. For patients who do not know this information, either a detailed list or the medications in their original containers will be taken with the patient to the hospital whenever possible.

C. Medications include all prescriptions, nutritional and herbal supplements, over-the-counter preparations, pumps, patches, inhalers, drops, sprays, suppositories, creams or ointments.

IV. PROCEDURE:

A. For patients who do not know all of the essential information on all of their medications, either a list of medications with essential information or the medications in the original containers should be taken to the hospital.

B. If unable to locate the original labeled medication containers, pills in unlabeled containers or pills not in containers will be taken.

C. If the patient or family objects to turning over the medication to EMS personnel, the family must be told of their importance and instructed to take them to the emergency department promptly.

D. Medications taken to the hospital are to be turned over to an identified individual hospital staff person.
E. Hospital staff is responsible for returning the medications to patient or family.

F. EMS personnel must document all actions on the Approved VCEMS Documentation System, including discussing medications, taking them to the hospital, the person to whom they were turned over, and explain if unable to obtain essential information or medications.
I. Purpose: To define the use of pre-existing vascular access devices (PVAD) by Ventura County Emergency Medical Technician- Paramedics (EMT-P) in the prehospital setting.

II. Policy: PVADs may be used in the prehospital setting as set forth by this document.

III. Definition: A PVAD is a heparin/saline lock or an indwelling catheter/device placed into a vein, to provide vascular access for those patients requiring long term intravenous therapy or hemodialysis. Internal subcutaneous indwelling devices are not to be accessed by prehospital field personnel.

IV. Procedure: After successful completion of an approved Ventura County training module, an EMT-P may access a PVAD and administer normal saline and medications, for a patient with the following conditions:

A. Peripheral Vein Heparin/Saline Lock
   1. Any conditions requiring intravenous fluids and/or medications

B. Central Vein Indwelling Catheter/Device
   Urgent need to administer fluids and/or medications which can only be given by the IV route and a peripheral IV site is not readily/immediately available.

C. Hemodialysis Fistula (to be used only in the absence of peripheral or central IV access):
   Urgent need to administer fluids and/or medications which can only be given by the IV route and an alternate IV site is not readily/immediately available.
I. PURPOSE: To define the indications, procedure, and documentation for intraosseous insertion (IO) and infusion by paramedics.


III. POLICY: IO may be performed by paramedics who have successfully completed a training program approved by the EMS Medical Director.

A. Training

The EMS service provider will ensure their paramedics successfully complete an approved training program and will notify EMS when that is completed.

B. Indications

Patient with an altered level of consciousness (ALOC) or in extremis AND there is an urgent need to administer intravenous fluids or medications AND venous access is not readily available.

1. Manual IO: For patients less than 8 years of age.
2. EZ-IO device: For patients of all ages.

C. Contraindications

1. Recent fracture (within 6 weeks) of selected bone.
2. Congenital deformities of selected bone.
3. Grossly contaminated skin, skin injury, burn, or infection at the insertion site.
4. Excessive adipose tissue at the insertion site with the absence of anatomical landmarks.
5. IO in same bone within previous 48 hours.

IV. PROCEDURE:

A. Manual IO insertion

1. Assemble the needed equipment
a. 16-18 gauge IO needle (1.5 inches long)
b. Alcohol wipes
c. Sterile gauze pads
d. Two (2) 5 mL syringes and a primed IV line (with or without stopcock)
e. IV fluids: 500 mL NS only
f. Tape
g. Splinting device

2. Choose the appropriate insertion site. Locate the landmarks approximately 2 cm below the patella and 1 cm medial, on the anteromedial flat bony surface of the proximal tibia.

3. Prepare the site utilizing aseptic technique with alcohol wipe.

4. Fill one syringe with NS

5. To insert the IO needle:
   a. Stabilize the site.
   b. Grasp the needle with obturator and insert through skin over the selected site at a 90° angle to the skin surface.
   c. Once the bone has been reached, continue to apply pressure rotating and gently pushing the needle forward.
   d. When the needle is felt to ‘pop’ into the bone marrow space, remove the obturator, attach the empty 5 mL syringe and attempt to aspirate bone marrow.
   e. For responsive patient infuse 2% cardiac lidocaine prior to fluid/medication administration for pain management:
      1 mg/kg (max 40 mg) slow IVP over 60 seconds.
   f. Attach the 5 mL syringe containing NS and attempt to flush the IO needle. If successful, remove the syringe, connect the IV tubing and secure the needle.
   g. Infuse NS and/or medications.
   h. Splint and secure the IO needle.
   i. Document distal pulses and skin color to extremity utilized for IO insertion before and after procedure. Monitor for complications.

B. EZ-IO insertion

1. Assemble the needed equipment
   a. Choose appropriate size IO needle
1) 15 mm needle sets (pink): 3-39 kg
2) 25 mm needle sets (blue): \( \geq 40 \) kg
3) 45 mm needle sets (yellow): For patients with excessive adipose tissue at insertion site

b. Alcohol wipes
c. Sterile gauze pads
d. 10 mL syringe
e. EZ Connect tubing
f. IV fluids
   1) 3-39 kg: 500 mL NS
   2) \( \geq 40 \) kg: 1 L NS
g. Tape or approved manufacturer securing device

2. Prime EZ Connect tubing with 1 mL fluid
   a. If less than 2 years old, prime with NS
   b. If \( \geq 2 \) years old, prime with 2% cardiac lidocaine (20 mg)

3. Locate the appropriate insertion site on the anteromedial flat surface of the proximal tibia.
   a. Pediatric: 2 cm below the patella, 1 cm medial
   b. Adult: 2 cm medial to the mid tibial tuberosity

4. Prepare the site utilizing aseptic technique with alcohol wipes.

5. To insert the EZ-IO needle:
   a. Connect appropriate size needle set to the EZ-IO driver.
   b. Stabilize the site.
   c. Position the EZ-IO needle at 90° to the underlying bone and insert it into the skin. Continue to insert the needle until contacting the bone. Ensure at least one black band is visible above the skin.
   d. Once contact with the bone is made, activate the driver and advance the needle without pressure until the bone has been penetrated.
   e. Once properly placed, attach primed EZ Connect tubing and attempt to aspirate bone marrow.
   f. For responsive patients, slow infusion of 2% cardiac lidocaine over 60 seconds prior to fluid/medication administration for pain management.
      1) 3-39 kg: 1 mg/kg
2)  ≥40 kg: 40 mg

g.  Flush with 10 mL NS to assess patency. If successful, begin to infuse fluid.

h.  Splint the IO needle with tape or an approved manufacturer stabilization device.

i.  Document time of insertion on included purple arm band and place on patient’s wrist.

j.  Document distal pulses and skin color before and after procedure and monitor for complications.

C.  IO Fluid Administration

1.  Active pushing of fluids may be more successful than gravity infusion. Use of a pressure to assist with fluid administration is recommended, and usually needed, but not required.

2.  Fluid administration on smaller patients should be given via syringe boluses to control/monitor amount infused. Close observation of the flow rate and total amount of fluid infused is required.

3.  If infiltration occurs or the IO needle is accidentally removed, stop the infusion, leave the connector tubing attached.

D.  Documentation

1.  Document any attempt(s) at establishing a peripheral IV prior to attempting/placing an IO infusion on the approved Ventura County documentation system (AVCDS) and Intraosseous Infusion Data Form (Appendix A).

2.  The site(s) and number of attempts to establish an IO infusion shall be documented on the AVCDS, as well as the medications and amount of fluids administered during patient care.

E.  Quality Assurance

Each use of an IO infusion will be reviewed by the Base Hospital, EMS service provider and EMS. The Intraosseous Infusion Data Form (Appendix A) will be completed for all IO insertion attempt.


**VENTURA COUNTY EMS AGENCY**  
**INTRAOSSEOUS INFUSION CQI FORM**

---

### DEMOGRAPHICS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DATE:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INCIDENT NUMBER:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INSERTING PARAMEDIC:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGENCY:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PATIENT AGE:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PATIENT WEIGHT:</td>
<td>☐ lbs ☐ kgs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INDICATIONS:</td>
<td>☐ Cardiac Arrest ☐ Shock ☐ Other:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Describe conditions:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ALOC?**  
☐ Yes ☐ No

**Extremis?**  
☐ Yes ☐ No

**IV access unavailable?**  
☐ Yes ☐ No

**Explain:**

---

### IO INFUSION ATTEMPT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Needle size:</td>
<td>☐ 15mm ☐ 25mm ☐ 45mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of IO attempts:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insertion site:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distal Pulses Documented:</td>
<td>☐ Yes ☐ No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EZ Connect Primed:</td>
<td>☐ Lidocaine ☐ NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infiltration:</td>
<td>☐ Yes ☐ No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saline infused</td>
<td>☐ 500mL ☐ 1000mL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IO Secured:</td>
<td>☐ Yes ☐ No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lidocaine 2%</td>
<td>☐ Yes ☐ No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure infusion?</td>
<td>☐ Yes ☐ No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMMENTS:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

*This form is to be completed on all cases where IO infusion is attempted  
Please submit this form to your agency, the base hospital and to VC EMSA*
VENTURA COUNTY
EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICES AGENCY

Skills Assessment

Name__________________Agency_________________Date_________________

☐ Demonstrates, proper body substance isolation
☐ States indication for EZ-IO use
☐ States contraindication for EZ-IO use
☐ Correctly locates target site
☐ Cleans site according to protocol
☐ Considers 2% cardiac lidocaine for patients responsive to pain
☐ Correctly assembles EZ-IO Driver and Needle Set
☐ Stabilizes the insertion site, inserts EZ-IO Needle Set, removes stylet and confirms placement
☐ Demonstrates safe stylet disposal
☐ Connects primed extension set and flushes the catheter
☐ Connects appropriate fluid with pressure infuser and adjusts flow as instructed
☐ Demonstrates appropriate securing of the EZ-IO
☐ States requirements for VC EMS documentation

Instructor Signature: ______________________________ Date_____________
I. PURPOSE: To define the indications, procedure and documentation for the use of Continuous Positive Airway Pressure (CPAP) by paramedics.

II. AUTHORITY: Health and Safety Code, Sections 1797.220 and 1798. California Code of Regulations, Title 22, Division 9, Section 10063.

III. POLICY: Paramedics may utilize CPAP on patients in accordance with Ventura County Policy 705.

IV. PROCEDURE:

A. Training: Prior to using CPAP the paramedic must successfully complete a training program approved by the VC EMS Medical Director, which includes operation of the device to be used.

B. Indications: Patients age 8 and above with one or more of the following:
   1. Congestive Heart Failure with acute pulmonary edema
   2. Near drowning
   3. Any cause of respiratory failure.

C. Contraindications:
   1. Absolute
      a. Respiratory or cardiac arrest
      b. Agonal respirations
      c. Unconsciousness
      e. Pneumothorax
      f. Inability to maintain airway patency
      g. Head injury with increased ICP
   2. Relative:
      a. Decreased LOC
      b. Unable to tolerate mask
      c. Systolic blood pressure < 90
d. Vomiting

E. Patient Treatment

1. Place patient in a seated position with legs dependant
2. Monitor ECG, Vital signs, SpO2
3. Set up CPAP system
4. Explain procedure to patient.
5. Apply mask while reassuring patient.
6. Frequently reevaluate patient. Normally, the patient should improve in the first 5 minutes with CPAP, as evidenced by a decreased heart rate, respiratory rate and/or blood pressure and an increased SpO2. Should the patient become worse with CPAP, remove the CPAP device and assist ventilations with BVM as needed.

D. DOCUMENTATION

1. The use of CPAP must be documented.
2. Vital signs and SpO2 must be documented every 5 minutes.
3. Narrative documentation should include a description of the patient's response to CPAP.
I. PURPOSE: To define the treatment and transportation of the patient on whom a TASER has been used.

II. AUTHORITY: Health and Safety Code, Sections 1797.214, 1797.220, 1798, and 1798.200, California Code of Regulations, Title 22, Section 100169.

III. POLICY: It is the policy of the Ventura County Sheriff’s Department that all persons on whom a TASER is used be medically cleared prior to incarceration. Law enforcement officers may remove the TASER probes and may transport individuals in custody to an emergency department. On occasion, EMS personnel may be called to evaluate and transport patients with or without the probes in place.

A. TASER probes should not be removed by EMS personnel unless they interfere with the safe transportation of the patient.

B. Patients should be transported to the closest available hospital or the hospital requested by the law enforcement officer.

IV. PROCEDURE:

A. When safe to do so, patients should be immediately evaluated, with particular attention to signs and symptoms of excited delirium.

B. Any injuries or medical conditions will be treated according to the appropriate treatment protocol.

C. These patients will be in the custody of law enforcement and will require transportation to an emergency department for medical clearance.

D. If the transporting paramedic determines that the patient is a risk to him/herself and/or the ambulance personnel, law enforcement officer(s) may be requested to accompany the patient.

E. Unless otherwise contraindicated, the patient should be adequately and safely restrained in an upright position prior to transport.

F. If one or both of the TASER probes require removal for safe transportation:
   1. Verify the wires to the probes have been severed.
   2. Use routine biohazard precautions.
3. Place one hand on the patient in the area where the probe is embedded and stabilize the skin surrounding the puncture site between two fingers. Keep your hand several inches away from the probe. With your other hand, in one fluid motion pull the probe straight out from the puncture site.

4. Reinsert TASER probes, point down, into the discharged air cartridge and hand it to the law enforcement officer.

5. Apply direct pressure for bleeding, and apply a sterile dressing to the wound site.

G. If the TASER may be in a dangerous area (e.g., face, neck, hand, bone, groin or spinal column), where it may injure bone, nerves, blood vessels, or an eye, do NOT remove the probe. Transport the patient to the ED in an appropriate position.

H. Refer to Policy 705: Behavioral Emergencies if patient requires sedation.