# **County of Ventura Rapid Response Expert Team**

An Integrated Approach to Adult Protective Services Multi-Disciplinary Teams

# Human Services Agency Adult & Family Services 2011

4-26-2010:LBH

# **Table of Contents**

I. Introduction	3
II. The Multi-Disciplinary Team	5
III. Mission of the Rapid Response Expert Team	5
IV. Purpose of the Rapid Response Expert Team	5
V. Target Population	6
VI. Description, Members and Role of the Rapid Response Expert team	6
VII. Benefits of the Rapid Response Expert Team	6
VIII. Knowledge, Skills and Abilities Required for All Team Members	6
IX. Membership Requirements	7
X. Role of the Medical Practitioners	7
A. Physician	7
B. Scope of Practice for the Physician	8
C. The Medical Evaluation	8
D. Neuropsychologist	9
E. Mental Health Clinician	9
F. Rules for Medical Team Members	9
G. Public Health Nursing	10
XI. Goals of the Rapid Response Expert Team (not in order of importance)	10
XII. Adult Protective Services Risk Assessment Curve InstrumentError! Boo not defined.	kmark
XIII. Legal Authority	11
XIII. Case Selection Criteria*	12
Acknowledgments	13
Forms Appendix	

# County of Ventura Rapid Response Expert Team

#### I. Introduction

The County of Ventura Human Services Agency recognizes that the health, safety and well-being needs of dependent adults and the elderly in our community are diverse and often go unnoticed or unaddressed. Adult Protective Service (APS) programs are not mandated and therefore operate under state and local customs and practices. Because of the increasing demand to protect one of the many vulnerable populations within our community, APS has grown. However, there continues to be a lack of federal statutes to regulate the delivery of services; no mechanism on a national level to collect data related to abuse and neglect leading to best practices and no designated sustainable funding to support this vital program.

The lack of a federally driven system with unified definitions, best practice guidelines, field-use assessment tools and funding compels local governmental agencies to develop their own best practice approaches to address dependent and elder abuse and neglect.

In spite of the best efforts and dedication of the members and agencies represented on the Rapid Response team, dependent and elder abuse and neglect remains a hidden problem. Research from the Ohio Elder Abuse Interdisciplinary Team (Elder Abuse Task Force 2004) and other medical literature indicates the following reasons:

- 1. Elder abuse is seen as a family problem; outside interference is not needed or tolerated.
- 2. Elder abuse often occurs in private homes outside the view of the public. Children attend school and participate in other activities that provide opportunities for mandated reporters or other caring persons to report suspected abuse or neglect. Dependent adults and elderly persons remain at home hidden from the watchful eye of the neighborhood, community and public.
- 3. Elders are reluctant to report abuse by relatives or caregivers because they fear reprisal, abandonment and institutionalization. They also feel guilty and ashamed to report a family member particularly if the report results in an arrest. They may feel that no one can help or not realize that help is available.
- 4. Medical personnel fail to recognize signs and symptoms of abuse and neglect. Without proper training particularly in geriatric medicine, medical personnel attribute symptoms of abuse as normal signs of aging or may be concerned about interfering in their patient- doctor relationship. Ageism affects the ability of medical personnel to appropriately identify abuse or neglect.
- 5. Dearth of information in the medical literature about elder abuse and neglect.
- 6. Denial that elder and dependent adult abuse and neglect exists.

- 7. Lack of knowledge about how to make a report and fear of the aftermath of a report: how it might affect relationship with hospital, care facility, families, patient.
- 8. Medical providers lack access to the elder or dependent adult because they do not seek preventive or regular medical care.
- 9. Fear of confronting the alleged perpetrator.
- 10. Medical symptoms of abuse or neglect maybe present in a subtle form and not alert the physician or health care provider about the abuse or neglect.
- 11. Elder abuse is mistakenly viewed as a product of caregiver stress or a lack of caregiver training and not as a crime against the person.
- 12. Cases of financial abuse are not recognized by financial institutions and therefore, not reported.
- 13. Not unlike the laws and perceptions about domestic violence many years ago, Law Enforcement agencies may view elder abuse and neglect as a social or family problem and therefore, a civil issue versus a criminal one.

When adult protective service social workers respond to allegations of abuse and neglect, they often encounter dependent adults and elders with an array of complex risk indicators that severely impact their ability to live safely. Clients often have varying degrees of mental incapacity from mild to severe; a multitude of physical and emotional impairments and moderate to severe health risks and live in unsafe environments Some are isolated and lack a support network while others are embroiled in unhealthy family relationships or permit transients in their home. These factors increase their vulnerability to self-neglect and abuse by others leading to premature need for facility care, preventable hospitalizations, premature death, preventable illness, financial exploitation and homelessness.

Many APS cases present with difficult to resolve risk indicators, in particular those associated with self-neglect. This is due in part to the voluntary nature of the program and that many factors contribute to a client's acceptance of the services offered. Self-neglect is labor and time intensive because adult protective service social workers must be patient, pleasantly persistent and demonstrate superior interpersonal skills as they attempt to form a bond of trust with resistant, fearful and/or uncooperative clients. In many instances, the social worker must find creative ways to approach generational and entrenched family relationships that inhibit a positive outcome. An added complication is that adult protective service social workers constantly navigate the line between protecting the health, safety and well-being of the client and the client's right to self-determination.

#### II. The Multi-Disciplinary Team

Multi-disciplinary teams are effective when clients present with complex bio-psychosocial problems and interface with more than one agency or organization. The County of Ventura has two successful teams that come together to address difficult and complex APS cases:

The Financial Abuse Specialist Team (FAST) addresses financial abuse cases within our target population. Although financial abuse is the single most reported category within Adult Protective Services, medical and health related categories outweigh financial abuse issues. The Rapid Response Team is an established multidisciplinary/agency group that meets twice a month under the coordination and facilitation of Adult Protective Services. The purpose of the group is to discuss difficult APS cases and strategize possible interventions leading to a positive outcome. Prior the development of the Expert Team, a single Public Health nurse provided expert consultation on those cases with health needs that compromise the safety of the APS client.

The Rapid Response Expert Team is designed to address those cases with difficult to resolve complex medical, health and mental health issues by adding medical expertise to the group. Funding for the Expert Team comes from Federal ear mark 1 year funding through Congressional support. The funding supports the expansion of the Rapid Response team. The US Office of Elder Rights oversees the outcome of the program.

# III. Mission of the Rapid Response Expert Team

The mission of the Rapid Response Expert Team is to provide case consultation and inhome assessments for clients with difficult to resolve complex medical and mental health risk indicators within Adult Protective Services target population. As time is available, consult on cases not under the jurisdiction of APS is provided.

#### IV. Purpose of the Rapid Response Expert Team

The Rapid Response Expert Team is an expansion of the Rapid Response teama multi-disciplinary/ multi-agency team that addresses the complex medical and mental health risk indicators of the Adult Protective Services target population. The purpose of the Rapid Response Expert Team is to bring medical/mental health and health perspective and consultation to the existing team. The medical team provides consultation to the team and conducts in-home evaluations when indicated to the clients referred to the Rapid Response Expert Team. The medical team does not provide treatment or services to the client and does not enter into a doctor-patient relationship.

# V. Target Population

The Rapid Response Expert Team focuses on the clients of Adult Protective Services: dependent adults and elders 65 and older with difficult to resolve complex medical and mental health risk indicators. The team at times consults about cases outside the jurisdiction of APS. The medical team can consult on those cases but will only conduct home assessments on those clients served by Adult Protective Services.

## VI. Description, Members and Role of the Rapid Response Expert team

The Expert Team will add medical and mental health practitioners to the existing Rapid Response team to be called the Rapid Response Expert Team. The team meets twice a month for 2 hours to discuss, consult and design intervention strategies on cases referred to Adult Protective Services. As resources allow, cases outside the jurisdiction of Adult Protective Services are discussed. Members of the Rapid Response team include AAA, Public Health, Public Guardian, Law Enforcement, Behavioral Health, District Attorney, LTC Ombudsman and Tri-Counties Regional Center (non-exclusive). Other members for consideration could include representation from the faith based community, animal control, domestic violence and code enforcement.

With the advent of the medical expertise added to the team, membership expands to include an MD, a second Public Health nurse, a neuropsychologist and a licensed mental health clinician.

# VII. Benefits of the Rapid Response Expert Team

- Support and validation for social workers and case managers
- Increase knowledge of community resources
- Enhance options and alternative solutions for consideration
- Increase coordination of inter-agency efforts
- Enhance networking among agencies who share similar focus and mission
- Provide a holistic approach to dependent and elder abuse and neglect (issues across the lifespan)
- Increase awareness of dependent and elder abuse and neglect
- Improve relationships among agencies who serve the target population

# VIII. Knowledge, Skills and Abilities Required for All Team Members

Members of the Rapid Response Expert Team must possess core characteristics and skills to ensure the purpose and mission of the team is met. These include the following:

- Positive communication and active listening
- Problem analysis
- Relationship building

- Genuine care and interest in the elderly and dependent adult/vulnerable populations
- Awareness of community resources
- Knowledge of the special needs of dependent and elderly persons

#### IX. Membership Requirements

Regular attendance at the twice monthly meetings and active participation is essential to the success of the group. The Rapid Response Expert Team leader is tasked with ensuring appropriate cases are selected and prepared for each meeting, setting the agenda and ensuring appropriate follow-up on cases presented.

Members must commit to keeping discussions about specific cases confidential. Each member signs a confidentiality statement. All materials are collected at the close of the meeting and maintained appropriately at Adult Protective Services.

## X. Role of the Medical Practitioners

## A. Physician

A geriatrician or a physician skilled in assessing and working with the elderly and dependent adult population is a critical member of the County of Ventura, Rapid Response Team Expert Team. The team physician provides a number of services for the members of the Rapid Response Team especially Adult Protective Services and law enforcement. For example, a physician may review medical records in a case brought by APS, or he/she may look over a list of medications for the DA to indicate whether or not the medications might have affected the person's capacity to sign a legal document. The team physician may follow up with the elder's physician as needed. Because of a limit on resources and time, the physician is not likely to be available as a forensic witness in a criminal proceeding.

The physician serves a vital role in assisting APS and other members of the Rapid Response Team in helping their clients. In this model, the physician works on behalf of the Rapid Response Team, not the client. In most cases, Adult Protective Services works on behalf of the client. This is an important distinction because the physician is not a treating physician and does not enter into a patient- doctor relationship.

The physician does not become the physician of record for the elder or adult with a disability. The physician may conduct a non-intrusive physical examination with written permission by the client but does not provide treatment or medical interventions. All treatment needs are referred to the primary care physician of record. If the person does not have a primary medical provider on record, the physician works with Public Health nurse to identify an appropriate clinic or physician in the community. The physician will keep notes on the assessment that becomes part of the APS file. The physician does not keep a separate medical file.

## B. Scope of Practice for the Physician

- 1. Reviews medical records
- 2. Reviews a list of medications
- 3. Consults with Adult Protective Services social workers about cases
- 4. Collaborates with Public Health nurses and other medical members of the team about cases
- 5. Makes home visits within desired time (usually 3-5 days) to assess mental status, Activities of Daily Living (ADL's), overall health, medical needs, medical issues affecting the abuse or neglect
- 6. Consults with primary medical provider as necessary
- 7. Attends Rapid Response Team and provides consultation to the team
- 8. Trains or informs medical personnel about identifying possible abuse and neglect
- Provides case consultation to Adult Protective Service social workers on cases that would not be presented to the Rapid Response Team only as time permits

# C. The Medical Evaluation

- 1. The medical examination is a non-invasive evaluation.
- 2. No blood or urine samples are taken.
- 3. A stethoscope is used to listen to the heart and lungs.
- 4. Blood pressure readings are taken on occasion.
- 5. Hands-on examinations are done depending on the circumstances or allegations. The scenario guides the exam.
- 6. The client signs a consent form for the examination by the physician.
- 7. The physician asks permission to examine the client's skin, checking for injuries and decubitus ulcers which may include asking them to remove some of their clothing as long as an appropriate witness is present.
- 8. The physician conducts gait and balance exams and observes the home and living environment.
- 9. The physician reviews the medication by looking at the actual bottles vs. a list of medication. Often, bottles are kept in numerous locations throughout the home.
- 10. The physician may contact the primary care physician and may facilitate the hospital/ER visit but usually through 911.
- 11. The physician does check capacity and will complete the capacity declaration as needed in consultation with the Neuropsychologist and or the mental health clinician.
- 12. The physician does not prescribe medications and does not diagnose.

- 13. There is no billing of health insurance and no co-pays.
- 14. The client does not have to sign any documents for the Rapid Response Expert Team

#### D. Neuropsychologist

Neuropsychology is a specialty profession that focuses on the brain's cognitive functioning such as attention, language and memory. The neuropsychologist for the Rapid Response Expert Team conducts in home visits under the same boundaries and limitations of the physician. The key element of the role is to evaluate the client for dementia and to rule out other causes for memory loss and confusion. The neuropsychologist provides consultation to the Rapid Response Expert Team conducts an in-home evaluation and may conduct standardized testing leading to a capacity declaration as needed. The neuropsychologist does not provide services or treatment to the client. Notes are maintained in the APS file.

#### E. Mental Health Clinician

Working under the same boundaries and limitations of the physician, the mental health clinician conducts in-home assessments to identify mental health issues that may cause or contribute to the referral to Adult Protective Services. The clinician may initiate an involuntary hold (5150) when the client meets criteria of imminent danger to self or others. The clinician works in consultation with the physician, public health nurse, neuro-psychologist and other members of the team to provide the best services to APS and the Rapid Response Expert Team. The clinician does not provide treatment or services to the client but connects the client to treatment services in the private or public sector as appropriate. Notes are maintained in the APS file.

#### F. Rules for Medical Team Members

- 1. The medical practitioner is never the provider of record
- 2. The medial practitioner works on behalf of the Rapid Response Expert Team as a consultant
- 3. The medical practitioner may conduct in-home evaluations to assist the Rapid Response Team
- 4. The medical practitioner conducts the in-home evaluation with another member of the team- usually the Adult Protective Services social worker or Public Health nurse unless otherwise discussed and agreed upon by the team.
- 5. The medical practitioner gets written consent by the client to conduct the inhome evaluation.
- 6. The medical practitioner completes the required notations that become part of the Adult Protective Services record.

7. The medical practitioner does not provide treatment

## G. Public Health Nursing

It is important to note that the Public Health nurses works within their scope of practice that may include providing services in the home to the client per the existing Memorandum of Understanding between HSA and Public Health.

# XI. Goals, Objectives and Measurable Outcomes of the Rapid Response Expert Team for the project year 2010-2011

**Goal:** Reduce or eliminate the protective issue with improvement in health and safety of dependent adults and elders 65 and older who have difficult to resolve complex medical and mental health risk indicators and are served by Adult Protect Services and the Rapid Response Multi-Disciplinary Team.

Objectives	Measurable Outcomes
Utilize the County of Ventura TRIO (Tool for Risk, Interventions and Outcomes)	Establish a standardized approach in Adult Protective Services to risk assessment, intervention and outcomes
Conduct in- home assessments by medical/mental health experts	Of those cases presented to the RRET with difficult to resolve complex/mental health risk indicators, 70% who agree to participate will show a reduction or elimination of the protective issue with an improved outcome in health and safety
Increase medical/mental health resources to the Rapid Response Multi-Disciplinary Team	Positive increase in statements on the Integrated Team Monitoring & Assessment survey from pre-test to post- test related to increasing resources: 1) the team has the right membership to achieve its objectives and 2) the team is adequately resourced and supported to achieve its objectives
	Products
Disseminate findings and results of the project for possible replication and further study	Submit a final report on the design, development and efficacy of the County of Ventura Risk Assessment Curve.
	Submit a final report on the promising practice of an integrated medical/mental health multi-disciplinary team.
	Post project plan, design and findings on the County of Ventura Human Services Agency Intranet

# XII. Adult Protective Services Tool for Risk, Interventions and Outcomes: the APS-TRIO

The most critical task for adult protective service programs is to determine whether the allegation of abuse and neglect can be substantiated and if so, assess the level of risk and design interventions to ensure the safety and protection of the dependent adult or elder. It is a complex task because dependent adult and elder abuse and neglect are not spontaneous events but occur subtly over time in a deteriorating and progressive nature.

The lack of a standardized instrument used by field social workers to assess level of risk within adult protective service programs statewide is detrimental in ensuring reliability and accuracy in determining the appropriate response and need for protection. Training of social workers in understanding abuse and neglect is not a substitute for such an instrument. Instruments do exist for medical providers, clinics and community based service providers to assess if a referral to adult protective service is needed. However, there is no instrument for adult protective service program social workers who work in the field.

The County of Ventura Human Services Agency developed a risk assessment instrument and has been piloting the tool since October 2008. Early indications of its viability as a field-ready, reliable instrument is positive. The instrument was developed by observing the progressive nature of elder mistreatment which shares similar patterns to chronic diseases; one in particular is the addiction model.

Like addictions or other chronic illnesses, abuse and neglect follows a predictive path of progression. Without intervention, elder abuse and neglect often results in premature need for facility care, preventable hospitalizations, homelessness and early death. Using the research from chronic illness models and research on indicators of elder abuse and neglect, the Human Services Agency designed, developed and tested a field instrument that shows potential in accurately identifying the type of abuse and neglect and designing the appropriate service based on the clustering of risk indicators. Rigorous testing by a university or research center is the next step to validate the instrument.

# XIII. Legal Authority

The Rapid Response Expert Team functions under the authority of the California "multidisciplinary personnel team" for elderly and dependent adults as defined in the Welfare and Institutions Code 15610.55. Information sharing among designated agencies is contained in the Welfare and Institutions Code 15633-15633.5, 15754.

The Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 ensures the privacy and confidentiality of an individual's protected health information with defined exceptions. One of these exceptions is the release of medical information to Adult Protective Services. Medical providers are required to release up-to –date medical information without authorization or court order to ensure the health and safety of those persons

under the jurisdiction of Adult Protective Services. Confidentiality within the RRET is imperative to meeting the legal mandates for a multi-disciplinary team and for the maintenance of the integrity of the team.

Type of Case	Why Case Would Be Appropriate for Expert Team Discussion
Chronic case	Social Worker cannot think of any other direction to help resolve the case
Ethics regarding self-determination	Social Worker faces ethical dilemma regarding interventions that may conflict with self-determination
Teaching issue for the expert team	Case has interesting issue(s) that will teach the team members more about dependent adult/elder abuse and/or the value of the team approach
Easy case	Case has an easy solution and will give the Rapid Response Exert Team a feeling of success to prevent burn-out and encourage participation and use
Needs specialized expertise	Special skills or knowledge of particular Expert Team members are needed
Update	Current status of previously discussed case (information only)
Follow-up	Social Worker seeks follow-up discussion on previously discussed case
Access denied	Social Worker needs assistance in identifying ways to gain access to alleged victim
APS client (victim) refused services	APS client (victim) refuses all interventions by social worker
Abuser refuses services	Abuser refuses all interventions offered by social worker
Caregiver refuses services	Caregiver (who is not the abuser) refuses all interventions offered
Environmental problems	APS client has unmet needs for heat, water, housing or other environmental problem

## XIV. Case Selection Criteria\*

\*based on the Ohio I-Team model (page 13 of the I-Team Manual)

#### Acknowledgments

The Human Services Agency wishes to express appreciation to Dr. Mosqueda and the Orange County Forensics Team for inviting our planning group to visit one of their multi-disciplinary team meetings and for the generous sharing of information and documents. We also acknowledge the Ohio Elder Abuse Interdisciplinary team, Elder Abuse Task Force 2004 for sharing their I-Team manual. Many of the concepts and materials contained in this document are a result of the work completed by the Ohio team. Their manual is supported by the Ohio Attorney General's Office and the Ohio Department on Aging 2004. We also acknowledge the work of Drs. Swagerty, Takahashi and Evans in their article, *Elder Mistreatment* published in the American Family Physician, May 15, 1999.

Finally, we wish to acknowledge the dedication of the members of the Rapid Response Team who give of their time and expertise to help each other to better serve dependent adults and elders.

# FORMS APPENDIX

Rapid Response Expert Team Membership Roaster	A
Rapid Response Expert Team Flow Chart	В
Confidentiality Statement	C
Rapid Response Expert Team Case Consultation Request	D
Rapid Response Expert Team Action Plan and Follow up	E.1,E.2
Rapid Response Expert Team In-Home Referral	F
Ventura County Release of Information Authorization	G
Permission to be Seen	Н
Rapid Response Expert Team In Home Medical Assessment	I.1, I.2, I.3
Rapid Response Expert Team In Home Mental Health Evaluation	J.1,J.2,J.3
Practitioner's APS TRIO Contribution	К
Adult Protective Services Case Contact Note	L

Α.

Α.	VENTURA COL	JNTY RAPID RESPONS	E EXPERT TEAM			
MEMBER ROSTER AS OF JULY 2010						
NAME	AGENCY	PHONE #	E-MAIL ADDRESS	INITIAL		
Maria Arvelo, APS RRET LCSW	HSA/AFS/ APS	477-5304, 658-4455	maria.arvelo@ventura.org			
Azita Aslemand-Huebner	TCRC	351-3088	aahuebner@tri-counties.org			
Karen Boget	PA/PG	658-4484	Karen.Boget@ventura.org			
Tina Coates	VCBH	477-5731	Tina.Coates@ventura.org			
Katie Duffin	VCBH	981-5428	Katie.duffin@ventura.org			
Catherine Duggan	DA	654-3919	Catherine.Duggan@ventura.org			
Diane Emerick	Public Health	654-7620	Diane.emerick@ventura.org			
Stefanie Hadjoglou	HSA/APS	658-4451	stefanie.hadjoglou@ventura.org			
Scott Jones	Superior Court	981-5702	Scott.Jones@ventura.courts.ca.gov			
Erik Lande, Ph.D.	Self	988-6197	eriklande@yahoo.com			
Kathleen Linthicum	Public Health	658-4469	Kathleen.Linthicum@ventura.org			
John Lowery	PA/PG	658-4481	John.lowery@ventura.org			
Laurie Montes	PA/PG	658-4480	Laurie.Montes@ventura.org			
Robert Navarro	HSA/APS	658-4454	Robert.Navarro@ventura.org			
Monica Neece	AAA	477-7325	Monica.Neece@ventura.org			
Dennis O'Connell	VCBH	981-5400	Dennis.c'connell@ventura.org			
Theresa Pollara	DA	654-2505	Theresa.Pollara@ventura.org			
Chris Sharak	Public Health	658-4471	Chris.Sharak@ventura.org			
Marcy Snider	HSA/APS	658-4453	Marcy.Snider@ventura.org			
Cassandra Sproule	LTC Ombudsman	656-1986 x 15	complaint.res@ombudsmanventura.org			
Sylvia Taylor Stein	LTC Ombudsman	656-1986 x 13	staylor@OmbudsmanVentura.org			
Kathy Terry	LTC Ombudsman	656-1986 x 12	kterry@OmbudsmanVentura.org			
Scott Walker	CIT/VCSO	373-2314	scott.walker@ventura.org			
Jackson Wheeler	TCRC	351-3100	jw@tri-counties.org			
Patricia Wood	DA	654-2541	Pat.Wood@ventura.org			
Esther Yoon, M.D.	HCA		Esther.Yoon@ventura.org			

## RAPID RESPONSE EXPERT TEAM

Adult Protective Services Medical In Home multidisciplinary Consultation Team Representatives from: Area of Aging Agency, Human Services agency, Public Health, Public Guardian, Law Enforcement, District Attorney, Long Term Care Ombudsman, Behavioral Health, Superior Court, Tri-Counties Regional Center, Crisis Intervention Team, Victims Services & designated Medical Doctor, Neuropsychologist and LCSW

**GOAL**: Reduce or eliminate the protective issue with improvement in health and safety of dependent adults and elders 65+, who have difficult to resolve complex medical and mental health risk indicators who are served by Adult Protective Services (APS) and the Rapid Response Multidisciplinary Team. **OBJECTIVES**:

1) Utilize the Ventura APS Tool for Risks, Interventions, & Outcomes (**APS TRIO**) interventions 8/9/10 2) Conduct in-home assessments by medical/mental health expert practitioners that include a physician (**MD**), Public Health Nurse (**PH RN**), Licensed Clinical Social Worker (**LCSW**), Neuropsychologist (**PHD**)

3) Increase medical / mental health resources to Rapid Response MDT

4) Disseminate findings and results of the project for possible replication and further study

Prior to scheduled RRET Meetings (2<sup>nd</sup> & 4<sup>th</sup> Wed of each month) members generate referral form to be sent to APS (**fax (805 650-1521)**. At the RRET meeting the referring party provides a brief case presentation, addressing relevant information, status of protective issue and identifies focus of consultation request and associated questions. Team consults, generating an action plan which can include specific team member(s) interventions and recommendations to the referring party. RRET facilitator documents developed Action Plan. When the case is an **<u>OPEN APS CASE</u>**, the RRET can deploy the Medical expert(s) and / or Mental Health expert(s) to provide in home evaluation. MD, PH RN or LCSW in home evaluation can be deployed, prior to the RRET consultation presentation, for the purpose of better facilitating the RRET's ability to formulate the action plan when warranted and possible. APS SW generates In-Home Evaluation Referral to recommended practitioners to be deployed. Consent to be seen by practitioner(s) is acquired. Medical / Mental Health expert(s) consults with referring <u>APS Social Worker, the designated Point of Contact</u>, and coordinates in-home appointment. Medical / Mental Health expert(s) is deployed within 3 to 5 business days of RRET meeting unless otherwise indicated (APS TRIO interventions 12 /13).

Medical / MH Practitioner initiates in- home evaluation process. APS client agrees to evaluation, signs consent. Psychologist secures consent for evaluation and release of information authorization, as needed – using private practice forms. MD and LCSW secure release of information authorization, as needed - using <u>Ventura County consent form: specifying to & from information flow, and collateral contact names and/or agencies.</u> Practitioner provides evaluation. Practitioner consults with collateral contacts and client as needed. Practitioner generates written evaluation or summary report, APS TRIO contribution form and consults with APS SW &/or Public Health RN. (Fax # 805 650-1521) Practitioner may provide <u>verbal</u> follow up consultation with client and direct feedback to the RRET. (All generated documents are maintained in APS file.)

Medical / MH Practitioner initiates in- home evaluation process. APS client refuses evaluation. Medical / MH expert generates summary of contact observations and consults with APS SW &/or Public Health Rn. Practitioner may provide direct feedback to the RRET. (All generated documents are maintained in APS file.)

APS SW – Point of Contact reviews summary and recommendations to determine needed follow consultation(s), interventions / implementation strategy to reduce / eliminate protective issue(s). APS SW consults with Program Manager / Supervisor providing on going status of case progress, facilitating APS Program Manager / Supervisor's ability to inform RRET of progress and status of case.

APS Program Manager / Supervisor &/or APS SW, Medical / Mental Health expert(s) provide follow up, reporting results of in home evaluation(s), positive outcomes, case progress and status of protective issues as the case evolves.

## CONFIDENTIALITY STATEMENT

The purpose of the Rapid Response Expert Team is to provide consultation to members of the team on difficult to serve cases and as needed, members of the Expert Team will conduct in-home assessments and evaluations. In order to assure a coordinated response that fully addresses all systemic concerns surrounding these cases; the Rapid Response Expert Team must have access to pertinent existing records on each person's elder abuse and/or neglect referral or case (WIC 15610.55\*). This includes any social services reports, court documents, police records, mental health records, hospital or medical related data, and any other information.

With this purpose in mind, I the undersigned, as a representative of the agency listed below agree that all information secured in this review meeting will remain confidential, and will not be used for reasons other than that which it is intended. No material will be taken from the meeting with case identifying information.

Print Name of Agency Represented

Print Name & Sign

Date

\* Welfare and Institution Code 15610.55 – "45 CFR § 512 (a) permits covered entities to comply with laws requiring the use or disclosure of protected health information, provided the use or disclosure meets and is limited to relevant requirements of such laws. To allow covered entities to appropriately share information in this context of protecting individuals against abuse and neglect and domestic violence, and to harmonize the Privacy Rule with existing state and Federal laws mandating uses and disclosure of protected health information. Where and to the extent such disclosers are required by law, no authorization or court order is required."

RRET 6/2010

# Rapid Response Expert Team Case Consultation Request Form

CASE CONSULTATION REQUEST	FORM TO		LETED BY R	EFERRING AG	ENCY,	fax to: (805)650	)-1521)	DATE:
REFERRING AGENCY:				NAME:	-	\$ <i>L</i>		·
TELEPHONE: ( )				EMAIL:				
TYPE OF CASE CONSULTATION	REQUEST			RRET	IEMBE	ERS REQUESTED	)	
Case consultation				_		Health		ical Doctor
Criminal consultation		—	Health Nurse			ective Services		ropsychologist
Medical Consultation Other:			c Guardian Enforcement			orney Idsman	LCS	VV
CONSULTATION QUESTIONS:					Onbu	luoman		
CLIENT INFORMATION								
VICTIM NAME (LAST, FIRST)	,					SSN		
AGE DOB	GENDER		ETHN	ICITY		PRESENT MARI	TAL STAT	ſUS
LANGUAGE (CHECK ONE):	NON-VEI	RBAL	ENG	IISH		OTHER		
VICTIM'S ADDRESS:				CI	ΓY			ZIP CODE
TELEPHONE () -	RESIDE	NCE TYP	E				CASE	
LIVES WITH (Specify Relationships)				CARE ARRAN	GEME	NT		
ILLNESSES				MEDICATION	6			
PHYSICAL FUNCTIONAL STATUS				COGNITIVE A	ND PS	YCHOLOGICAL S	TATUS	
					MN	ISE Score:	Date	completed:
PHYSICIAN NAME:		-	TELEPHONE	:()		INSURANCE :		
TYPES OF ABUSE (CHECK AL	L THAT AP	PLY)	Perpetration	ted by Other	🗌 Se	elf-Neglect		
Physical - Assault/Battery		Financial	-	Sexual		Isolation		Malnutri. / Dehydrat.
Physical - Constraint or Depriva		Abandonr	-	Neglect	P			Health & Safety Hazard
Physical - Chemical Restraint		Abduction		Medical / Me	dicatio	n	are Oth	ner:
SUSPECTED ABUSER(s):		_						
RELATIONSHIP OF SUSPECTED AB	USER(s)	AGE	GENDER	ETHNIC	ITY			
BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF PROE							IT'S HOM	E 🗌 OUTSIDE HOME
BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF PROE								
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:								
Other Agency Involvement / Contacts	Made:							
Other Agency Involvement / Contacts	Made:							

# Ventura County Rapid Response Expert Team Action Plan / Follow up

Ini	tial RRET Consultation Date:	Client:		
Su	mmary of Issues:			
	(here Dhere			
AC	tion Plan:	Completed by:	Due	
Re	commendations & Interventions	Designated Person/Agency	Date	Concluded
1.		<u> </u>		
2.				
3.				
4.				
				n lata d
De	ployed In Home evaluations Public Health Nurse	Attempted	Com	pleted
	Medical Doctor		I	
	LCSW			
	Neuropsychologist			
Ou	tcomes: Unresolved Improved Health	and Safety 🔲 Reduced or Eliminated	d Protective I	ssue
	mmary of Situation After Recommendations			

E.1

Consultation Date:	Client:	

ion Plan Follow Up re. Iitional Recommended Interventions	Person/Agency	Due Date
ments:		

Initial

# Ventura County Human Services Agency Adult Protective Services

F.

<u>R</u> apid <u>R</u> esponse	e <u>E</u> xpert <u>T</u> eam	n In-Home	Evalu	ation <b>R</b>	eferral form
Presented to RRET on :	for de	eployment of:	(manually r	nark boxes be	low that apply)
Medical Doctor * Spe	cify Priority Level of				chologist **
	Home Evaluation	ROUTINE		LCSW	C
Reason for Referral:					
Kason for Kelerran					
<b>Referring APS Social W</b>	orker: <u> </u>		Phone: _		
APS Client Informatio	n				
Client's Name:	1	Case #			
DOB :		Gender	••••	Ethnicit	ty:
Primary Language:		Insurar	nce:	_	1
Address:					
Phone :					
COMMENTS:					



# RELEASE OF INFORMATION

I,	
NAME OF A	DULT SERVICES CLIENT
RESIDING A	AT:
ADULT SER	VICES CLIENT ADDRESS
ON THIS DA	Υ
DATE	
Hereby auth concerning:	norize you to release to Ventura County Adult Services workers specific information
	Medical Records (including medical history, results of any physical or laboratory examinations and tests, medical and physical therapy and treatment).
	Psychological records (including social history, results of any psychological assessments, tests or examinations and counseling or therapy progress reports).
	Information to determine my income and assets, such as bank statements, Social Security, SSI, retirement, direct deposit, etc.
	Other(specify):
as it pertain	s to the following people (list by name):

Furthermore, for the purpose of obtaining the information noted above, I grant permission for the disclosure of applicable and appropriate information by the party from whom information is requested. I understand that these records shall remain confidential. I understand that this Release of Information is void 180 days after the date of signing.

This form was completed in its entirety and was read by me (or read to me) prior to signing:

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT

DATE

BIRTHPLACE

**BIRTH DATE** 

SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER

WITNESS (IF APPLICABLE)

#### County of Ventura Rapid Response Expert Team Permission to be seen

County of Ventura Rapid Response Expert Team 1001 Partridge Ventura CA 93003

Client's NAME: \_\_\_\_\_\_

PROCEDURE

I hereby give my permission to be seen by one of the above indicated physicians, psychologists and/or licensed clinical social worker in order to evaluate my condition. I understand that by agreeing to be seen by any of the above individuals, I consent to any information obtained being shared with representatives from adult protective services, law enforcement, the district attorney's office, and/or the referring party.

I understand that I may revoke this authorization at any time and may refuse examination by any of the above individuals. I also understand that this is not consent to any treatment, only examination and evaluation.

SIGNED: \_\_\_\_\_

Client

DATE: \_\_\_\_\_

SIGNED: \_\_\_\_\_

DATE: \_\_\_\_\_

# Ventura County Rapid Response Team In-home Medical Assessment

Client Name: \_\_\_\_ Case # \_\_\_\_

Date of Birth: \_\_\_\_\_

Assigned Adult Protective Services Social Worker: \_\_\_\_\_

Type of Alleged Abuse: \_\_\_\_\_

Purpose of Evaluation: \_\_\_\_\_

Background Narrative (reason for referral to the Rapid Response Expert team)

Date of evaluation \_\_\_\_\_

Persons Present: \_\_\_\_\_

□ Written / □ Verbal Permission granted / Acting as a witness \_\_\_\_\_

Location: \_\_\_\_\_

# Pertinent Medical History:

#### Medications

Medications	Doses	How Administered	Compliance		

# Function:

# **Activities of Daily Living**

ADL	Independent	Partial Assist/Reason	Total Assist/Reason
Dressing			
Eating			
Ambulating			
Transferring			
Hygiene / Toileting			

\_\_\_\_\_ Independent with ADLs

#### Intermediate Activities of Daily Living

IADL	Independent	Partial Assist/Reason	Total Assist/Reason
Shopping			
Housekeeping			
Accounting			
Food Preparation			
Transportation			

\_\_\_\_ Independent with IADLs

#### **Environment:**

<b>Physical Ex</b>	am				
BP	Ρ	RR	O2 sat	Height	Weight
Vision: R	/ L		В		

Cognitive Assessment (see attached form for actual test):

MMSE Total:

Errors include:

Clock draw total:

Interview with client:

Medical Record Review (Facility/Dates) (If applicable):

Assessment:

**Recommendations:** 

NAME and DISCIPLINE

SIGNATURE

1.2

# Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE)

Patient's Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Date:

# <u>Instructions:</u> Ask the questions in the order listed. Score one point for each correct response within each question or activity.

Maximum Score	Patient's Score	Questions
5		"What is the year? Season? Date? Day of the week? Month?"
5		"Where are we now: State? County? Town/city? Hospital? Floor?"
3		The examiner names three unrelated objects clearly and slowly, then
		asks the patient to name all three of them. The patient's response is
		used for scoring. The examiner repeats them until patient learns all of
		them, if possible. Number of trials:
5		"I would like you to count backward from 100 by sevens." (93, 86, 79,
		72, 65) Stop after five answers.
3		Alternative: "Spell WORLD backwards." (D-L-R-O-W)
3		"Earlier I told you the names of three things. Can you tell me what
2		those were?" Show the patient two simple objects, such as a wristwatch and a
2		pencil, and ask the patient to name them.
1		"Repeat the phrase: 'No ifs, ands, or buts.'"
3		"Take the paper in your right hand, fold it in half, and put it on the
5		floor." (The examiner gives the patient a piece of blank paper.)
1		"Please read this and do what it says." (Written instruction is "Close
		your eyes.")
1		"Make up and write a sentence about anything." (This sentence must
		contain a noun and a verb.)
1		"Please copy this picture." (The examiner gives the patient a blank
		piece of paper and asks him/her to draw the symbol below. All 10
		angles must be present and two must intersect.)
30		TOTAL
	n Dourpor 9 Fr	

(Adapted from Rovner & Folstein, 1987)

I.3

# **RRET / Adult Protective Services in Home Mental Health Wellness Evaluation**

Client Name:Case #:				
Part I: Mental Status			Dat	e: <u>//,</u>
Appearance:	unremarkable	obese underweight	unkempt	☐ bizarre
Attitude/Rapport:	cooperative uncooperative	composed guarded / suspicious	hostile / negative	withdrawn regressed
Facial Expression:	attentive cheerful	sad stern	congruent distressed	vacant indifferent
Eye Contact:				
Motor Activity:	unremarkable psychomotor retard.	restless, agitation	uncoordinated tics	tremors writhing
Gait:	ambulatory uses aide	not ambulatory	shuffles	brisk leans
Speech:	mute mute impaired fluency	☐ loud ☐ pressured,	☐ slow or rapid ☐ weak	☐ aphasic ☐ inaudible
Oriented to:				
Thought Process:	<ul> <li>disorganized</li> <li>loose associations</li> <li>incoherent</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>circumstantial</li> <li>tangential</li> <li>flight of ideas</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>inhibited /slow</li> <li>vague, incoherent</li> <li>impoverished</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>derailed / blocked</li> <li>perseverates</li> <li>own meaning</li> </ul>
Perception:	distortions depersonalization	derealization hallucinations v,o,A,K	☐ illusions ☐ bizarre	<pre>sight problems hearing problems</pre>
Thought Content:	suicidal Ideation homicidal Ideation paranoid	guarded obsessions overvalued ideas	delusions ideas of reference compulsions	phobias     preoccupation    theme
Comment Attention/Concentration:	: (ImpoverIshed/a	average/full) fund of knowl	ledge, level of intelligenc	e Severely impaired
Memory:	impaired immediate impaired recent past impaired remote	confabulation     mood related     age decline	dementive process     medical condition	☐ agnosia ☐ aphasia ☐ apaxia
Judgment:	☐ good - fair ☐ poor ☐ impaired t:	<ul> <li>lacks common sense</li> <li>poor reality testing</li> <li>illogical planning</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>poor decision making</li> <li>vague</li> <li>immature, naive</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>impulsive</li> <li>impropriety</li> <li>mood related</li> </ul>
Abstract Reasoning:	poor     concrete	☐ simplistic ☐ flexibility or rigid	☐ faulty reasoning ☐ overly abstract	<pre>distorted deccentric</pre>
Insight:	good- adequate	poor	🗌 denial	non displayed
Mood: WNL Comment		<pre>elevated - euphoric expansive</pre>	pessimistic     apathetic	☐ hostile ☐ avoidant
Affect:	<ul> <li>mood constrained</li> <li>flat, restricted range</li> </ul>	☐ labile, ☐ heightened, aroused	☐ blunt, constricted ☐ inappropriate	incongruent
Emotional States Displayed / Reported Commen	enjoyment satisfaction relatedness sadness t	☐ grief ☐ lonely ☐ fearful ☐ worry	<ul> <li>☐ irritability</li> <li>☐ anger</li> <li>☐ anxious</li> <li>☐ overwhelmed</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>shame, embarrassed</li> <li>diminished self esteem</li> <li>guilt, self reproach</li> <li></li> </ul>

Completed by: \_\_\_\_

Signature

	<b>RRET / Adult Protective Services In Home Mental Health</b>	Wellness Evaluation
mo		Caso #

Name	

J.2

Part II. Clinical Evaluation		
Response Expert Team Presentation (RRET)Date: Evaluation Date(s):		
DOB:   Age:   Gender   M   F   Ethnicity:		
Reason for evaluation: Adult Protective Services (APS) Social Worker (SW), presented         case to the Rapid Response Expert Team (RRET), due to suspected self neglect and / or mistreatment by:         Self for Neglect physical care medical physical care malnutrition / hydration mental health         Other for physical abuse emotional abuse financial exploitation abandonment         If known name / relationship) financial exploitation abandonment		
The RRET recommended In-Home Mental Health Wellness Evaluation due to existing mental health concerns.		
<u>Purpose of evaluation</u> : To identify mental health concerns that have contributed to and may promote continue risk for self neglect and or mistreatment; to identify mental health recommendations to assist APS in the development of strategies and interventions to reduce and or eliminate the identified protective issue(s) placing client in jeopardy.		
APS Referral History / Current Living Circumstances		
<ul> <li>APS Biopsychosocial Assessment Review</li> <li>Clinical Mental Status Assessment</li> <li>Collateral Consultation: <u>APS SW -</u></li> <li>Folstein Mini-Mental Status Exam</li> <li>Score administered by</li> <li>Montreal Cognitive Assessment</li> <li>Montreal Cognitive Assessment</li> <li>Montreal Cognitive Assessment</li> <li>Montreal Cognitive Assessment</li></ul>		
Significant History: Events, Family / Relational Dynamics:		
Social History / Current Supports  Self identified as Isolated		
Strengths/Hobbies/Interests (employment, education, leisure activities):		
Typical Day / Daily Routines		
ADL: independent assistance other, IADL: independent assistance other Appetite: normal, decreased, increased Nutritional Concerns: Sleep Concerns: none med. dependent interrupted insomnia early A.M. waking hypersomnia		
Previous Psychiatric History / Psychotropic Medication:		
Trauma History denied incidental narration identified trauma / childhood adolescent adult military Type and circumstance:		
Suicide / Homicide Risk Assessment and History:       Denies       Confirmed (gestures, previous attempts, lethality)         Current Suicidal Risk:       Denied SI       Ideation       Intent / Plan         Current Homicide Risk:       Denied HI       Ideation       Intent / Plan         Initiated Intervention       N/A       Crisis team       Police         Response determination:		
Drug / Alcohol/Tobacco Use: 🗌 Denied 🔲 Confirmed, Type: 🔲 Abuse:		
Significant Medical History (surgeries/accidents/major illnesses) 🗌 TBI, 🔲 UTR, 🗌 Stroke, 🗌 Vascular):		
Current Medical Diagnoses/ Current Prescribed Medication:		
Ambulatory:       Yes       No,       Adaptive Aides       cane       walker       wheelchair       prosthetic device         glasses       hearing aid       dentures		
Current Emotional/Behavioral Functioning Diagnostic Impression (presentation; relatedness; rule out medical contributing factors; displayed / reported symptoms: subclinical or meets criteria, psychotic features, SI, HI; mood, problematic behaviors, defenses, adaptive / maladaptive coping, risks & prognosis continued vulnerability to allegation(s), concerns / issues)		
Client Identified Concerns / Sources of Stress / Barriers / Flexibility, Receptivity to alternatives and changes: Additional Relevant Information: Recommendations (service needs/ access, linkage):		
Completed by:		
Signature APS Rapid Response Expert Team L.C.S.W.		
Page 2 of 2		

#### **RRET Adult Protective Services In Home Mental Health Wellness Evaluation**

Client Name:				Case #:
Part I. Mental Status - Not Completed		Part II. Clinical Evaluation – Not Completed		
Date presented to RRET:		Contact Date:		
DOB:	Age:	Gender 🗌 M	🗌 F	Ethnicity:

Reason for evaluation: Adult Protective Services	s (APS) Social Worker (SW)	, pi	resented
case to the Rapid Response Expert Team			
Self for Neglect physical care medical physica	al care 🔲 malnutrition / hydrat	ion 🔲 mental health	
Other	_for 🗌 physical abuse	emotional abuse	neglect
(If known name / relationship)	financial exploitation	abandonment	
The RRET recommended In-Home Mental Health W	ellness Evaluation due to ex	kisting mental health c	oncerns.

<u>Purpose of evaluation</u>: To identify mental health concerns that have contributed to and may promote continue risk for self neglect and or mistreatment; to identify mental health recommendations to assist APS in the development of strategies and interventions to reduce and or eliminate the identified protective issue(s) placing client in jeopardy.

#### APS Referral History / Current Living Circumstances:

Contact Note (<u>Provide reason(s) for not completing in-home Mental Health Wellness evaluation</u>, include all consultations, observations, risks [SI, HI], additional relevant information, recommendations (service needs/ access, linkage) :

Plan:

APS Rapid Response Expert Team L.C.S.W.

Signature

# Ventura County Human Services Agency Adult Protective Services

	pert Team Practitioner's Contribution
Client's Name:	Case #:
Assigned APS Social Worker:	Presented to RRET Y D N
Practitioner:	Presentation Date:
	practitionar
Check all items that resulted from contact with	practitioner
Completed In-home evaluation on :	lealth Nurse I Item #13 LCSW
☐ Item # 12 Medical	
Item # 1 Accepts education and information	☐ Item # 20 Client demonstrates self advocacy
☐ Item # 2 Established bond of trust & engages	Item # 27 Decrease in hospital use
☐ Item # 3 Client accepts that problem exist	☐ Item # 29 Improved nutritional status
Item # 4 Referral / linking to services	☐ Item # 28 Improved functional status
	Capacity Assessment (criteria met or not)
Item # 30 Contributed to Improved physical health, medical conditions	Litem # 31 Contributed to Improved mental health
Assessment of pain	Reduction of acute presenting problem
Assessment of continence status	Reduction of fear, Improved sense of safety
Assessment of vision and hearing	Reduction in stress
Safety assessment	Assessment of pain
Assessment of fall risk, frailty indicators	Debriefing
Drug regimen review, ID out dated meds.	Mental health psychoeducation
Identified a consistent pharmacy	Improved understanding of life circumstances
Diagnose health condition	Life stage processing
Stabilized health condition	Improved coping strategies, skills
Improved grooming, ADL's,	Reduction of problematic behaviors
Improved health practices	Improved relational dynamics
Permits advocacy efforts	Educate caregiver re. client's mental health
Connect client to primary medical care	Permits advocacy efforts
Educate caregiver re. client's health	Increase contemplation factors for change
Increase contemplation factors for change	Enhance receptivity to services
Enhance receptivity to services	Bridging for future services
Bridging for future services	
Comments:	
Completed by :	Date:

RRET 5/26/2011

Ault Protective Services Rapid Response Expert Team Case Contact Note

Client's Name:	Case No. :
Date:	
Purpose of Contact:	

Plan:

APS / RRET LCSW